Research Paper

Volume : 2 | Issue : 11 | November 2013 • ISSN No 2277 - 8179

Assessment of Physicochemical Characteristics of Groundwater Quality of Industrial Area, Aurangabad. (Maharashtra)

Medical Science

KEYWORDS : Pollution, Groundwater, Boar wells & Industrial area

Zainab Jawdat Musa Marine Science Center, University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq

ABSTRACT

Pollution of water bodies is one of the areas of major concern to environmentalists. Water quality is an index of health and well being of a society. Industrialization, urbanization and modern agriculture practices have direct impact on the water resources. A systematic study has been carried out to assess the physicochemical characteristics of water samples of boar wells from MIDC area Aurangabad. The parameters were analysed such as Temperature, pH, Electrical Conductivity, Alkalinity, Nitrate, DO, BOD & COD. This research work shows that the water quality of the boar wells from MIDC area is deteoriated possibly due to improper release of industrial effluents.

Introduction:

Our life span is longer today than ever before, and we are becoming aware that our entire environment particularly our food and water systems should be scrutinized. Perhaps certain substances should be added and others removed from the little over a quart of water needed by every person each day. Water is a chemical compound and to make decision about the water, a person should know enough about basic chemistry to understand waters unique behavior.

Aurangabad industrial area is one of the fastest developed industrial sectors. This growth has taken toll on the geological resources in the city and groundwater is primarily one such resource. With the surface water supply being no longer able to supply the needs of the city. Groundwater becomes the only alternate source of good quality water. But there are problems of ground water contamination in certain parts of the city, particularly in the industrial belts. Once the groundwater contaminated it may remain in unusable or oven hazardous condition for decades or even centuries. In general, the main cause of groundwater pollution is due to discharge of effluents which could be domestic, agricultural and industrial, or a combination of all these. Water is essential to all forms of life and makes up 50-97% of the weight of all plants and animals and about 70% of human body (Buchholz, 1998). Despite its importance, water is the most poorly managed resource in the world (Fakayode, 2005).The quality of water may be described according to its physico-chemical and micro-biological characteristics (N.S. Bhandari and Kapil Nayal, 2008). The quality of water is described by its physical and chemical characteristics. But if, some correlations were possible among these parameters then significant ones would be fairly useful to indicate the quality of water (Dhembare et.al., 1997).The studies on physico- chemical parameters of various water bodies have been performed in different countries (Habib et al., 1997). "Water Quality" in term of ground water is generally used to express the physical, chemical or biological state of water. The increase in urbanization and industrialization are generating huge quality of waste and wastewater. The disposal of these waste and wastewater without proper treatment on unlined surface is finding its way to groundwater through percolation. The increase in use of chemical fertilizers, insecticide and pesticide in agricultural field has also contaminated the ground water (Handa, 1981).

Material and Methods:

The present study was carried out from Industrial area of Aurangabad District to evaluate the quality of the ground water. The aim of the study is to assess the impact of urbanization and industrialization and rapid growing developmental activities in the study area on the quality of ground water and to locate various sources and types of pollutants which are responsible for changes in ground water quality. To assess the ground water quality in Aurangabad region eight sampling stations (Boar wells), which are scattered in the main areas of Industries. The selected sites are of approximately 1000 to 1500 m far from each other. The parameters used for the analysis of water are located in industrial areas, creating certain interference in the surface water and ground water. In addition to water quality. Analysis of the samples was carried out seasonally throughout the years from summer 2011 to winter 2012. Each parameter was analysed seasonally. In order to undertake accurate estimation of water quality, water analysis are done for the parameters like Temperature, pH, Electrical conductivity, Alkalinity, Nitrates, Dissolved oxygen(DO),Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD), Chemical Oxygen demand (COD).etc These analyses were carried out by referring the standard procedures according of APHA, AWWA, and WPCT, 1995, Trividy, and Goel (1986) and NEERI. The results are expressed as ppm or milligram per liter.

Result and Discussion:

Temperature: In the present study the water temperature varied from 29.17^{0}C (Boar well no.5) to 31.43^{0}C (Boar well no.6) in summer season, from 24.69 ^{0}C (Boar well no.5) to 26.78^{0}C (Boar well no.2) in monsoon season, and from 21.11^{0}C (Boar well no.3) to 22.54^{0}C (Boar well no.2) in winter. Basically the temperature is an important parameter because of its effects on the chemical and biological reactions on the organisms in water. (Kulkarni and Pawar, 2006). Speeding up of the chemical reactions in water, reduces the solubility of gases and amplifies then tastes and odours (Suryawanshi, *et.al*, 2004).

pH: In this study the pH values ranged from 7.17 (Boar well no.1) to 8.19 (Boar well no.2) in summer season, from 6.97 (Boar well no.1) to 8.11 (Boar well no.8) in monsoon and from 6.70 (Boar well no.4) to 7.88 (Boar well no.2) in winter. pH is the measure of the acidity or alkalinity of water and measured in terms of hydrogen ion concentrations in water. The pH of the water sample indicates the neutral to alkaline nature which may be due to the pressure of bicarbonate which undergo hydrolysis in solution (Kulkarni and Pawar, 2006). The alkaline pH of the water samples indicates the presence of very weak basis salts (Mariappan, *et.al*, 2005). pH is very important in regulating the respiration and enzyme system. (Sivagurunathan and Dhina-Karan, 2005).

Electrical Conductivity: The electrical conductivity (EC) vary from 194.28 (Boar well no.5) to 369.21 mmhos/cm (Boar well no.1) in summer, from 278.18 (Boar well no.3) to 379.88 mmhos/cm (Boar well no.6) in monsoon and from 204.75 (Boar well no.) to 397.95 mmhos/cm (Boar well no.) in winter season. Electrical Conductivity is the capacity of a solution to conduct the electric current since most of the salts present in ionic forms are therefore capable of conducting current. A sudden increase in conductivity of the water is the indicator of the addition of the pollutant to the water (Trivedy and Goel, 1986). The high values of EC indicated that the potential stressors (domestic and industrial waste waters) in their locations could have actual influence on the water quality of wells in the study area (Murugesan and Kanna, 2007).

Alkalinity: The total alkalinity in the study area varied from 150.94 (Boar well no.2) to 222.15 mg/l (Boar well no.7) in summer, from 160.89 (Boar well no.2) to 236.54 mg/l (Boar well no.4) in monsoon and from 144.9 (Boar well no.3) to 199.7 mg/l (Boar well no.6) in winter season. The WHO acceptable limit for total alkalinity is 200 mg/l, beyond this limit taste may become

unpleasant. Organically polluted water may also have alkalinity derived from the salts of organic weak acids like acetic acids, propionic acids and hydrosulphuric acid. Ammonia and hydroxides are also important sources of alkalinity in certain conditions (Swayer and MCkarty, 1985).

Nitrates: The nitrate content varied from 13.9 (Boar well no.5) to 29.9 mg/l (Boar well no.2) in summer, from 11.6 (Boar well no.3) to 28.9 mg/l (Boar well no.2) in monsoon and from 12.2 (Boar well no.6) to 29.4 mg/l (Boar well no.2) in winter season.

Dissolved Oxygen (DO): The dissolved oxygen (DO) value in the study area varied from 6.95mg/l (Boar well no.3) to 7.56 mg/l (Boar well no.4) in summer, from 7.16 (Boar well no.4) to 7.85 mg/l (Boar well no.5) in monsoon and from 7.23 (Boar well no.2) to 7.78 mg/l (Boar well no.3) in winter season. Dissolved oxygen is one of the most important indicator parameter for assessing pollution strength which influences an aquatic ecosystem. The dissolved oxygen level in natural water depends on physical, chemical and biological activities of the water body (Mohammad Musaddia and Anil Fokmare, 2002).DO is inversely proportional with temperature and hence in summer decrease in DO may be due to high temperature and low solubility of oxygen in water, consequently affecting BOD (Singh, *et.al*., 1991).

Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD): The BOD values varied from 10.89 mg/l (Boar well no.4) to 15.58 mg/l (Boar well no.2) in summer, from 7.46 mg/l (Boar well no.8) to 12.89 mg/l (Boar well no.4) in monsoon and from 5.26 (Boar well no.4) to 8.85 mg/l (Boar well no.8) in winter season. Overall the BOD values are beyond the permissible limit stated by ISI (1983) as a 2.0 mg/l, in all the seasons. Measuring the amount of oxygen needed for microorganisms present in waste water to convert organic matter to inorganic matter is measured as amount of oxygen consumed in lab test over a period of 5 days at 20^0C. Tolerance limit of BOD for industrial effluents discharged into inland surface waters is 30 ppm (Verghese, *et,al*., 2006)

Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD): The variations in the COD values during the study period was varied from 22.88 (Boar well no.5) to 26.54 mg/l (Boar well no.2) in summer, from 18.18 (Boar well no.5) to 27.89 mg/l (Boar well no.8) in monsoon and from 21.54 (Boar well no.2) to 31.13 mg/l (Boar well no.7) in winter season. Chemical oxygen demand (COD) measures the equivalent of that portion of the organic matter in a sample that is susceptible to oxidation by a strong chemical oxidant and is an important parameter for stream and industrial waste water studies. It is the measure of oxygen required to oxidize the organic and inorganic matter present in water by means of chemical reaction. (Swayer and Mccarty, 1985).

Table 1:-Seasonal variation of Physicochemical Parameters of the Ground water from the Study area (2011-2012).

Boar Well No.	Temperature			Ph			E. Conductivity			Alkalinity		
	S	M	W	S	M	W	S	M	W	S	M	W
1	30.22	26.58	22.28	7.17	6.97	7.24	369.21	363.69	334.91	211.35	210.17	173.7
2	31.11	26.78	22.54	8.19	7.79	7.88	326.42	365.78	277.30	150.94	160.89	164.5
3	30.17	24.77	21.11	8.03	7.84	7.70	217.12	278.18	254.65	210.65	198.65	144.9
4	30.13	25.90	21.95	8.03	7.15	6.70	311.99	395.14	361.66	190.84	236.54	190.2
5	29.17	24.69	21.77	8.07	7.34	7.87	194.28	344.15	281.29	212.95	164.76	191.7
6	31.43	26.29	21.12	7.29	7.17	6.94	335.56	379.88	357.68	193.76	198.43	199.7
7	31.19	25.55	21.22	8.09	8.06	7.69	366.35	373.78	384.70	222.15	198.15	170.7
8	31.05	26.54	21.50	7.67	8.11	7.49	358.61	378.82	284.55	198.19	168.51	170.9

Table 2:-Seasonal variation of Physicochemical Parameters of the Ground water from the study area (2011-2012).

Boar Well No.	Nitrate			Dissolve Oxygen			BOD			COD		
	S	M	W	S	M	W	S	M	W	S	M	W
1	22.6	14.1	17.2	7.14	7.25	7.56	13.56	8.26	7.19	25.12	21.19	29.26
2	29.9	28.9	29.4	7.23	7.45	7.23	15.58	9.34	6.56	26.54	23.45	21.54
3	17.7	11.6	12.2	6.95	7.29	7.78	13.56	11.56	6.88	24.85	23.11	29.56
4	19.7	12.7	17.2	7.56	7.16	7.69	10.89	12.89	5.26	25.56	25.46	28.56
5	13.9	14.2	13.8	7.20	7.85	7.45	11.56	12.58	6.89	22.88	18.18	29.12
6	16.5	19.6	12.2	7.26	7.59	7.56	13.58	8.25	8.26	26.54	20.54	29.78
7	16.7	22.8	13.6	7.33	7.77	7.49	14.61	9.56	7.54	26.26	21.56	31.13
8	28.9	17.4	19.2	7.14	7.50	7.74	11.28	7.46	8.85	25.18	27.89	28.19

S= Summer. **M**= Monsoon. **W**=Winter

REFERENCE

American Public Helath Association (APHA), American Water works Association and Water Pollution control Federation (1995): Standards Methods for the examination of water and waste water (Ed. A.D.Eaton Clesceri, L.S., Greenberg, A.E. and Trussel, R.R.) (19th Ed.), APHA, Washington D.C. | Buchholz R. A., (1998). Principles of environmental management. The Greening of Business, 2nd. Prentice- Hall, London, UK. | Bhandari N. S. and Kapil Nayal, (2008): E-Journal of Chemistry, 5(2),342 | Dhembore, A.J. and Pondhe, G.M. (1997) Correlation of Ground water quality parameters of Sonai area, Maharashtra state. Pollution Research, 16 (3) : 189-190. | Fakayode S. O., (2005). Impact of industrial effluents on water quality of the receiving Alaro River in Ibadan, Nigeria, Ajeam-Ragee, 10, 1-13. | Habib, O.A., R. Tippett and K.J. Murphy (1997) Seasonal Change In Phytoplankton Community Structure In Relation To Physico-Chemical Factors In Loch Lomond. Scotland. Hydrobiologia 35: 63-79. | Handa, B.K. (1981): Hydrochemistry, water quality and water pollution in U.P., Tech. Report, CGWB, Ministry of Irrigation, pp. 1-137. | Kulkarni M.K. and N.J. Pawar (2006): Impact of Urbanisation on the Quality of Ground Water in the Ramnadi Basin, Pune. Indian Journal of Environmental Protection. 26 (10): P 877-884. | Mariappan, V., M.R. Rajan, A. David Ravindran and P.P. Rabakaran (2005): A Systemic study of Water Quality Index Among the Physico-chemical Characteristics of Ground Water in and Around Thanjavur Town Indian Journal of Environmental Protection. 25 (6): p 551-555. | Mohammad, Musaddiq, and Anil Fokmare (2002): Determination of water quality index (WQI) of surface water source of Akola city Maharashtra. J.Aqual. Biol. 12 (2): 27-31. | Murugesan, A. Ramu and N. Kannan (2007): Ground Water Quality Assessment in and Around Maduria, Indian Journal of Environmental Protection. 27(2) pp 125-136. | National Environmental Engineering and Research Institute (1995a): Groundwater quality in problem areas: A status report, Part III, 13-52. | River Water at Trimbakeshwar & Kopargaon, Maharashtra (India) Indian Journal of Applied Research Vol.3.(3) pp 149-152. | Sivagurunathan, P. and B. Dhina karan (2005): Seasonal Variation in Drinking Water Quality of Sethiyathope Area in Cuddalore District. Indian J. Environmental Protection, 25 (10) : p 905-911. | Singh, J.P., P.K. Yadav, S.Singh and S.C.Prasad (1991): BOD Contamination in Kali river at Sadhu Ashram in Aligarh, India, poll. Res. 18(1): 109-110. | Suryawanshi, M, B, K, B. Kalyankar, and B. N. Pande (2004) : Poll Ress, 23 (4) pp 649-653. | Swayer, C.N. and P.L. McCarty (1985): Chemistry for Environmenta Engineering, 3rd Ed, Mc Graw-Hill Book Comp., Singapore. | Trivedi, R.K. and P.K. Goel (1986): Chemical and biological methods for water pollution studies. Environmental publication, Karad, PP: 215. | Verghese, P.S., A. Mishra and M. Singh (2006): Physico-chemical characteristics and Heavy Metal Concentration in Waste Water from Gold-Silver Plating Sites of Agra City-A Case Study. Indian J. Environmental Protection, vol., 26 (12), 1112-1117.