

# A Comprehensive Review of Counter-Flow Wet Cooling Towers

\*Ali Abd Mohammed, \*Alaa Abdulrazaq Jassim, \*\*Eldon R. Rene

\*Chemical Department, Engineering College/ University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq

\*\*Department of Water Supply, Sanitation and Environmental Engineering, IHE Delft Institute for Water Education, 2611AX Delft, The Netherlands

<sup>1</sup>Received: 12/03/2025; Accepted: 08/04/2025; Published: 20/04/2025

## ABSTRACT

Cooling towers are critical components in various industrial and commercial applications, including power plants, refineries, and HVAC systems. They are designed to reject excess heat from processes by evaporative cooling, which involves the exchange of sensible and latent heat between water and air. This paper provides a comprehensive review of the literature on cooling towers, focusing on their modeling, performance analysis, and optimization. The review covers the evolution of mathematical models, from the fundamental Merkel model to more advanced approaches such as the Poppe model and the Effectiveness-NTU method. Additionally, the paper discusses the impact of operational parameters such as air and water flow rates, humidity, and temperature on cooling tower performance. The review also highlights recent advancements in cooling tower design and optimization, including using computational tools like MATLAB and GAMS. Finally, the paper identifies gaps in the current research and suggests future directions for improving cooling tower efficiency and sustainability.

**Keywords:** Cooling towers; Merkel model; Poppe model; evaporation loss; Effectiveness-NTU Method; Cooling Tower Performance

## Highlights

1. Mathematical models for cooling towers (Merkel model, Poppe model, Effectiveness-NTU model).
2. Factors affecting the performance of cooling towers, with a focus on operational variables.
3. Recent developments in the design of cooling towers.

## INTRODUCTION TO COOLING TOWERS

The cooling tower is one of the important devices used for heat rejection. It is used to reject the excess heat in the fluids to the atmosphere of the gas. Cooling towers are efficient for cooling industrial water influx from moderate to nearly ambient temperatures. It is believed that cooling towers are preferred over other heat exchanger devices economically because they do not require another liquid, such as water, to cool the primary liquid; they use air to cool the fluids. Cooling tower operation depends on evaporative cooling and the exchange of sensible heat. The cooling tower's evaporative cooling results in the loss of a small quantity of evaporated water. The water comes into contact with the air in the tower's fill. When cold air comes into contact with hot water, sensible heat transfer occurs [1,2]. A large quantity of heat is transferred to the cold air through evaporative cooling, while about 25% of the heat is transferred through sensible heat [1].

Cooling towers are typically employed to dissipate heat (similar to a heat exchanger) that is released into the atmosphere during a heat exchange procedure, which cools hot water to a temperature near the wet-bulb temperature. These towers are indispensable components of power plants (including nuclear and steam power plants), as well as oil refineries, petrochemical plants, natural gas processing plants, food industry manufacturers, and air conditioning (HVAC) [3, 4]. The term "cooled tower" includes all equipment that expels direct heat (open circuit) and indirect heat (closed circuit) [5,6]. Open circuit cooling towers consist of a stream of water to be cooled and air currents in direct contact with one another. These towers are referred to as evaporative cooling because a small portion of the hot water

<sup>1</sup> How to cite the article: Mohammed A.A., Jassim A.A., Rene E.R. (April, 2025); Optimized Selection Of Features For COVID-19 Diagnosis Using Cough Sound Datasets In Health-Care System; *International Journal of Advances in Engineering Research*, Vol 29, Issue 4, 1-7

is permitted to evaporate while a cold air current from the top produces saturated steam. Conversely, closed-circuit cooling towers utilize the reverse process. Antifreeze is required in closed-circuit cooling towers, but not in open-circuit towers

## COOLING TOWER THEORY

A moist cooling tower, which is the most prevalent and effective variety of cooling towers, utilizes both sensible and latent heat transfer between the ambient air and the circulating water [7]. The advantage of a Wet cooling tower over an air-cooled heat exchanger is that it can utilize evaporative cooling instead of depending only on the temperature difference between the two media. A fraction of the heated liquid water undergoes evaporation, absorbing energy from the rest of the liquid water and causing a significant decrease in its temperature. This phenomenon may be used to improve the cooling process, as long as the air's moisture level is below saturation at the water's temperature. Even if the surrounding air is already saturated, it can still absorb more moisture if it is heated by contact with hot water. The evaporation-induced water loss is minimal compared to the overall water flow rate, although it is influenced by the specific operating circumstances. The average losses amount to about 1 to 3% of the flow rate of the circulating water, or 1% for every 7 K of range (water temperature change) [8, 9]. Furthermore, apart from the loss of heat via latent means, the direct interaction between the flowing water and the surrounding air also allows for the transmission of noticeable heat. In a conventional cooling tower, the transmission of latent heat is the main factor, but the specific proportion of latent heat transfer to sensible heat transfer varies depending on the operating circumstances.

As the water and airflow through the tower and come into contact, the water cools while the air warms up. The temperature difference between the cooled output water and the input air (wet bulb) is often known as the approach. The approach depends on the fluctuating wet-bulb temperature of the surrounding air, which is influenced by the season and time of day, as well as the desired cold water temperature. Increasing the heat transfer surface area between the water and air inside a cooling tower will reduce the temperature difference between the water exiting the tower and the wet-bulb temperature of the incoming air. In other words, the temperature of the cold water coming out will approach the wet-bulb temperature, thereby improving the cooling tower's efficiency. The range refers to the overall decrease in the water's temperature within the tower. Climate significantly impacts cooling tower performance. High humidity, reflected in elevated wet-bulb temperatures, reduces the effectiveness of evaporative cooling. Therefore, the cooling tower design must consider the anticipated climatic conditions, particularly peak wet-bulb temperatures. A well-designed tower should maintain sufficient cooling capacity such that the design wet-bulb temperature is exceeded only for a limited percentage of the typical hottest summer periods (3-5%). This necessitates a thorough assessment of climatic factors, including peak temperatures and humidity levels, in order to select appropriate cooling tower design parameters. [10, 11].

## LITERATURE REVIEW

**Merkel (1925)** [13] presented the first simplifying assumption mathematical model for cooling tower analysis. Although this model is basic in the knowledge of heat and mass transfer in cooling towers, it ignores some important considerations, such as heat transfer resistance in the water layer. Under some working conditions, **Nahavandi et al. (1975)** [14] showed that the Merkel model might cause mistakes of up to 12%. By considering water evaporation losses, the researchers created a fresh method that increases the analytical accuracy. Based on a more thorough investigation of cooling towers, **Sutherland (1983)** [15] demonstrated that applying the Merkel model might cause under-sizing of the tower by 5–15%. The study also looked at how tower performance was affected by atmospheric pressure; NTU rises with increasing pressure. Introduced the Effectiveness-NTU approach for tower analysis cooling in 1989, **Jaber and Webb** [16] Their research revealed that this approach can be implemented to all kinds of flow (counter-flow, cross-flow, and parallel flow) and fits rather nicely with heat exchanger design theory. The results show when calculating the required NTU for water cooling from 35 °C to 30 °C with a humid air temperature entering 25°C, the following results were obtained: Using the LMED method:  $K_m A / m_w = 0.76$  and Using efficacy method-NTU:  $K_m A / m_w = 0.74$ . Developed a mathematical model based on experimental data to examine cooling tower performance in **Dreyer and Ernes (1996)** [17]. The model forecasts transfer characteristics and pressure drop across packing materials; the outcomes revealed that the model fairly forecasts trends in transfer and pressure drop. Proposed an enhanced model that considers heat transfer resistance in the water layer, improving the analysis's accuracy.

**Khan and Zubair (2001)** [18] The new model, according to the results, lowers tower efficiency error by up to 15%. **Khan et al. (2003)** [19] present a detailed model for analyzing the thermal performance of counter-flow cooling towers, validated against existing literature data. The key finding is that evaporation dominates heat transfer in cooling towers and accounts for up to 90% of all heat transfer at the tower top. The research also revealed that raising the

water flow rate lowers general heat transfer efficiency. Examined how fouling affected cooling tower performance with **Khan and Zubair (2004)** [20]. The findings revealed that especially in medium-sized towers, fouling accumulation lowers tower efficiency. Developed a model to examine the effect of material accumulation on cooling tower performance, **Queshi et al. (2004)** [21]. The findings revealed that material buildup might lower tower efficiency by up to 6.5%. Comparatively to the Merkel and Poppe models, **Kloppers and Kroger (2004)** [22] found that the Poppe model is more accurate in examining saturated air. The study advised applying the same approach for the analysis of tower performance as well as fill. **Kloppers and Krüger (2005)** [23] tested several models of mechanical and natural draft cooling towers. Less exact models such as Merkel and NTU produce similar outlet water temperature forecasts, according to the findings. Developed a model to examine water evaporation in cooling towers (**Papaeftimiou et al., 2006**) [24]. The findings revealed that raising the inlet air temperature lowers cooling efficiency by 3% due to low evaporation, increases the rate of water flow to air reduces the total water temperature by 2%, and reduces the cooling efficiency by 2.5%. **Ren et al. (2006)** [25] developed an analytical framework for the study of cooling tower performance. The model lowers the relative error to less than 2% when compared to numerical integration, according to the findings.

**Jin et al. (2007)** [26] present a simplified model for the study of cooling tower performance. With an error rate of 5.6%, the findings revealed that the model could highly precisely forecast tower performance. **Qi et al. (2008)** [27] created a better mathematical model for the study of cooling tower performance. Particularly in the analysis of water mass loss, the results revealed that the new model is more accurate than previous ones. **Ren et al. (2008)** [28] investigated cooling tower water evaporation. The results revealed that the model is sensitive to the saturation level of the inlet air; lower wet-bulb temperatures boost cooling capacity by 2.25%, while the overall water temperature decrease diminishes with a higher water-to-air mass flow ratio by 3.5%. Developed a model for heat and mass transfer analysis in cooling towers under **Klimanek et al. (2009)** [29] With less than 1% of errors, the model proved consistent with the Poppe model. **Costello et al. (2009)** [30] examined cooling tower performance under constrained running conditions. The optimal performance requires a water-to-air flow rate ratio (L/G) less than 1.0, according to the findings. Using Visual Studio .NET, **Panjeshi et al. (2010)** [31] developed a model for cooling tower design. Raising the wet-bulb air temperature increases the outlet water temperature, according to the findings. **Ragupathy et al. (2011)** [32] investigated how well-expanded wire mesh packing cooled towers. The results revealed that vertical packing performs better than horizontal packing. **Rubio-Castro et al. (2011)** [33] developed a Poppe model-based optimization method for cooling tower design. Results showed that the evaporation rate using the Merkel method was 1.156 kg/s When using poppe 0.8425 kg/s, the evaporation rate decreased by 27% when using poppe. Developed a technique using operational data for cooling tower performance analysis, **Pan et al. (2011)** [34]. Changing fan positions revealed that power output might rise by up to 260 kW. **Rao et al. (2011)** [35] optimized the design of cooling towers using the artificial bee colony algorithm. According to the results, the algorithm could raise tower efficiency by up to 10.5%. **Picardo and Variyar (2012)** [36] devised a condensed approach for computing cooling tower packing height. Rising excess airflow lowers packing height by 3.5%, according to the findings. **Khamis et al. 2014** [37] Designed fresh correlations for a study on cooling tower performance. The findings revealed that these relationships might enhance operational tower performance. **Nasrabadi et al. (2014a)** [38] investigated low-temperature process cooling tower use. The model could forecast outlet water temperatures with an accuracy of 0.29°C for low-temperature processes and 0.57 °C for high-temperature operations, according to the findings. **Nasrabadi et al. (2014b)** [39] looked at temperate climate cooling tower performance. The studies revealed that towers do better in dry environments. **Singh et al. (2016)** [40] investigated varying fill types' performance in cooling towers. At 25.9%, wire mesh packing offers the best efficiency according to the findings. **Llano-Restrepo et al. (2016)** [41] created a mathematical model for the analysis of cooling tower performance. The model's predictions of mass transfer coefficients revealed accuracy. **Zhou and Ding (2017)** [42] investigated how packing affected Mechanical draft wet cooling towers (MDWCTs) cooling tower performance. The findings revealed that packing lowers outlet water temperature by up to 1.5°C. **Forero et al. (2018)** [43] investigated how well wood splash packing cooled towers. Higher water-to-air flow ratios cause a marked drop in tower efficiency, according to the findings. **Mishra et al. (2019)** [44] investigated how adding silica gel mesh to cooling tower performance affected it. The mesh increases the cooling range by up to 2°C, according to the results. Investigated mass and heat transfer in evaporative cooling systems by **Jes et al. (2019)** [45] Analytical solutions found in the results fit numerical solutions rather nicely.

**Muthukumar et al. (2019)** [46] investigated how well subtropical cooling towers performed. The findings revealed that water loss might reach 4050 liters hourly. **Mustafa Kilic et al. (2020)** [47] present a numerical analysis of a novel cooling tower design incorporating swirling jets to reduce evaporation loss and enhance efficiency. A reduction in air inlet temperature from 40°C to 10°C. decreases evaporation loss by 62% and 81%, respectively. Similarly, decreasing the Reynolds number from 8500 to 3900 also significantly reduces evaporation loss (30%) but leads to a cooling effectiveness decrease (28. 5%). **Shublaq et al. (2020)** [48] investigated how filters (Four types of filters were tested: metal aluminum board, fiberglass, folded primary filters, and glass pocket filters) might help to lower water evaporation loss in cooling towers. The findings revealed that metal filters cut water loss by 17%. **BamiMore et al.**

(2021) [49] investigated how cooling tower performance varied with inlet water temperature. Rising water temperature reportedly increases air moisture content. **Navarro et al. (2022)** [50] assessed inverted cooling tower performance. The Poppe model yields more accurate findings than the Merkel model, according to the results. **Kumar et al. (2023)** [51] investigated how well Celdek-packed cooled towers. The packing increases tower efficiency by up to 58%, according to the findings. Examined the effects of fouling and weather on cooling tower performance in 2024 **Arefimanesh and Heyhat** [52]. The data revealed that fouling lowers water consumption and raises the outlet water temperature. **Navarro et al. 2024** [53] Expected cooling tower performance in concentrated solar power plants compared to theoretical models. The Poppe model turns out to be more accurate than the Merkel model.

## SUMMARY

The literature review examined various aspects of the induced draft counter flow wet cooling tower, particularly the factors influencing the system's thermal performance. Many researchers have concentrated on developing established mathematical models such as Merkel, Poppe, and the efficiency method. These models were studied and validated for their accuracy and precision using experimental and theoretical data. Factors affecting the cooling tower's performance were explored, including changes in operating conditions like inlet and outlet water temperature, dry bulb air temperature, wet bulb temperature, water and air flow rates, humidity, air velocity, type and height of fill, cooling tower height, fouling, and the Lewis number. Additionally, some researchers employed programming software, including MATLAB, GAMS, and C++, to analyze these factors. Most of the data used were theoretical and experimental.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The IHE Delft Water and Development Partnership Programme, financed by the Dutch Ministry of Foreign Affairs, provided support for this research through the project *Reuse of nutrient-rich treated wastewater for a food self-sufficiency in MENA: Addressing health concerns of emerging contaminants of small-scale farmers through agro-ecological tools* (SafeAgroMENA)

## REFERENCE

- [1] Venkatesh PY. Creating a new model to predict cooling tower performance and determining energy-saving opportunities through economizer operation [Master's thesis]. May 2014. Available from: [http://scholarworks.umass.edu/masters\\_theses\\_2](http://scholarworks.umass.edu/masters_theses_2).
- [2] Morvay ZK, Gvozdenac DD. Fundamentals for analysis and calculation of energy and environmental performance. In: Applied industrial energy and environmental management. Part III, Toolbox 12, Cooling Towers. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2004.
- [3] Mantelli MHB. Development of porous media thermosyphon technology for vapor recovering in cross-current cooling towers. Appl Therm Eng. 2016;108:398-413.
- [4] Zargar A, Kodkani A, Peris A, Clare E, Cook J, Karupothula P, et al. Numerical analysis of a counter-flow wet cooling tower and its plume. Int J Thermofluids. 2022.
- [5] Hossain A, Islam S, Rahman Z, Salahuddin AZM, Mollah AS. An intelligent flow control system of coolant for a water reactor-based cooling tower. Energy Procedia. 2019;160:566-573. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2019.02.207>.
- [6] Krader B. Narodna Umjetnost (Folk Art), Knjiga 2. Ed. Maja Bošković-Stulli. (Institut za Narodnu Umjetnost, Zagreb, 1963.). J Int Folk Music Counc. 1965;17(Part 1):57-58. <https://doi.org/10.2307/942340>.
- [7] Stanford W, Hill GB. Cooling towers: Principles and practice. Carter Thermal Engineering Ltd.; 1967.
- [8] Kröger DG. Air-cooled heat exchangers and cooling towers. PennWell Corporation; 2004.
- [9] ASHRAE Handbook: Heating, Ventilating, and Air-conditioning Systems and Equipment. USA. ASHRAE 2008.
- [10] SPX Cooling Technologies Inc. Cooling tower fundamentals. 2nd ed. SPX Cooling Technologies Inc.; 2009.
- [11] Baker D. Cooling tower performance. Chemical Publishing Co.; 1984.
- [12] Gilani, N., Hendijani, A. D., & Shirmohammadi, R. (2019). Developing of a novel water-efficient configuration for shower C.T integrated with the liquid desiccant cooling system. Applied Thermal Engineering, 154, 180-195.
- [13] Merkel F. Design of forced draft counter flow wet cooling tower. Chem Eng. 1925;45(739).
- [14] Nahavandi AN, Kershah RM, Serico BJ. The effect of evaporation losses in the analysis of counter flow cooling towers. Nucl Eng Des. 1975;32(1):29-36.
- [15] Sutherland JW. Analysis of mechanical-draught counter flow air/water cooling towers. J Heat Transfer. 1983;105:576-583.
- [16] Jaber H, Webb RL. Design of cooling towers by the Effectiveness-NTU Method. J Heat Transfer. 1989;111(4):837-843. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.3250794>.

- [17] Dreyer A, Erens P. Modeling of cooling tower splash pack. *Int J Heat Mass Transfer*. 1996;39(1):109-123. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0017-9310\(96\)85010-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0017-9310(96)85010-1).
- [18] Khan J, Zubair SM. An improved design and rating analyses of counter flow wet cooling towers. *J Heat Transfer*. 2001;123(4):770-778. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.1376395>.
- [19] Khan J, Yaqub M, Zubair SM. Performance characteristics of counter flow wet cooling towers. *Energy Convers Manag*. 2003;44(13):2073-2091. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0196-8904\(02\)00231-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0196-8904(02)00231-5).
- [20] Khan J, Zubair SM. A study of fouling and its effects on the performance of counter flow wet cooling towers. *Proc Inst Mech Eng Part E J Process Mech Eng*. 2004;218(1):43-51. <https://doi.org/10.1243/095440804322860636>.
- [21] Khan J, Qureshi BA, Zubair SM. A comprehensive design and performance evaluation study of counter flow wet cooling towers. *Int J Refrig*. 2004;27(8):914-923. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jirefrig.2004.04.012>.
- [22] Kloppers J, Kröger D. A critical investigation into the heat and mass transfer analysis of counter flow wet-cooling towers. *Int J Heat Mass Transfer*. 2004;48(3-4):765-777. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jheatmasstransfer.2004.09.004>.
- [23] Kloppers JC, Kroger DG. Cooling tower performance evaluation: Merkel, Poppe, and e-NTU methods of analysis. *J Eng Gas Turbines Power*. 2005;127(1):1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.1787504>.
- [24] Papaefthimiou VD, Zannis TC, Rogdakis ED. Thermodynamic study of wet cooling tower performance. *Int J Energy Res*. 2006;30(6):411-426. <https://doi.org/10.1002/er.1158>.
- [25] Ren C. An analytical approach to the heat and mass transfer processes in counterblow cooling towers. *J Heat Transfer*. 2006;128(11):1142-1148. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.2352780>.
- [26] Jin GY, Cai WJ, Lu L, Lee EL, Chiang A. A simplified modeling of mechanical cooling tower for control and optimization of HVAC systems. *Energy Convers Manag*. 2007;48:355-365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2006.07.010>.
- [27] Qi X, Liu Z. Further investigation on the performance of a shower cooling tower. *Energy Convers Manag*. 2008;49:570-577. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2007.07.038>.
- [28] Ren CQ. Corrections to the simple effectiveness-NTU method for counter flow cooling towers and packed bed liquid desiccant-air contact systems. *Int J Heat Mass Transfer*. 2008;51(1-2):237-245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jheatmasstransfer.2007.04.028>.
- [29] Klimanek A, Bialecki RA. Solution of heat and mass transfer in counter flow wet-cooling tower fills. *Int Commun Heat Mass Transfer*. 2009;36:547-553. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2009.03.007>.
- [30] Costelloe B, Finn DP. Heat transfer correlations for low approach evaporative cooling systems in buildings. *Appl Therm Eng*. 2009;29(1):105-115.
- [31] Panjeshi MH, Ataie A, Gharaie. A comprehensive approach to an optimum design and simulation model of mechanical draft wet cooling tower. *J Chem Eng*. 2010;29(1):1-10.
- [32] Ragupathy RRA. Thermal performance of forced draft counter flow wet cooling tower with expanded wire mesh packing. *Int J Tech Phys Probl Eng*. 2011;(6):19-23.
- [33] Rubio-Castro E, Serna-González M, Ponce-Ortega JM, Morales-Cabrera MA. Optimization of mechanical draft counter flow wet-cooling towers using a rigorous model. *Appl Therm Eng*. 2011;31(16):3615-3628. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2011.07.029>.
- [34] Pan T, Xu D, Li Z, Shieh SS, Jang SS. Efficiency improvement of cogeneration system using statistical model. *Energy Convers Manag*. 2013;68:169-176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2012.12.026>.
- [35] Rao R, Patel V. Optimization of mechanical draft counter flow wet-cooling tower using artificial bee colony algorithm. *Energy Convers Manag*. 2011;52(7):2611-2622. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2011.02.010>.
- [36] Picardo JR, Variyar JE. The Merkel equation revisited: A novel method to compute the packed height of a cooling tower. *Energy Convers Manag*. 2012;57:167-172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2011.12.016>.
- [37] Khamis MM, Hassab MA. Innovative correlation for calculating thermal performance of counter flow wet-cooling tower. *Energy*. 2014;74(C):855-862. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2014.07.059>.
- [38] Nasrabadi M, Finn DP. Mathematical modeling of a low temperature low approach direct cooling tower for the provision of high temperature chilled water for conditioning of building spaces. *Appl Therm Eng*. 2014;64:273-282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2013.12.025>.
- [39] Nasrabadi M, Finn DP. Performance analysis of a low approach low temperature direct cooling tower for high-temperature building cooling systems. *Energy Build*. 2014;84:674-689. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.09.019>.
- [40] Singh K, Das R. An experimental and multi-objective optimization study of a forced draft cooling tower with different fills. *Energy Convers Manag*. 2016;111:417-430. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2015.12.080>.
- [41] Llano-Restrepo M, Monsalve-Reyes R. Modeling and simulation of counter flow wet cooling towers and the accurate calculation and correlation of mass transfer coefficients for thermal performance prediction. *Int J Refrig*. 2016;74:47-72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jirefrig.2016.10.018>.

- [42] Zhou Y, Zhu X, Ding X. Theoretical investigation on thermal performance of new structure closed wet cooling tower. *Heat Transfer Eng.* 2017;39(5):1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01457632.2017.1312899>.
- [43] Forero JD, Ochoa GV, Quiñones LO. Performance of the volumetric mass transfer coefficient in a cooling tower. 2018.
- [44] Mishra B, Srivastava A, Yadav L. Performance analysis of cooling tower using desiccant. *Heat Mass Transfer.* 2019;1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00231-019-02759-y>.
- [45] Jes J, Ortiz-Del-Castillo JR, Hernández-Calderón OM, Calderón C, Rios-Iribe EY, González-Llanes MD, et al. Analytical solution of the governing equations for heat and mass transfer in evaporative cooling process. *Int J Refrig.* 2019;111:178-187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrefrig.2019.11.019>.
- [46] Muthukumar P, Naik BK, Goswami A. Performance evaluation of a mechanical draft cross flow cooling towers employed in a subtropical region. *J Inst Eng India Ser C.* 2019;100:333-341.
- [47] Kılıç M, Öztatar M, Akyüz AÖ, Tuncer AD, Güngör A. Increasing the thermal performance of cooling tower by utilizing swirling jets. *Int Adv Res Eng J.* 2020;4(2):57-63.
- [48] Shublaq M, Sleiti AK. Experimental analysis of water evaporation losses in cooling towers using filters. *Appl Therm Eng.* 2020;175:115418.
- [49] BamiMore OT, EniBe S, Adedeji PA. Parametric effects on the performance of an industrial cooling tower. *J Therm Eng.* 2021;7(4):904-917. <https://doi.org/10.18186/thermal.930791>.
- [50] Navarro P, Ruiz J, Hernández M, Kaiser A, Lucas M. Critical evaluation of the thermal performance analysis of a new cooling tower prototype. *Appl Therm Eng.* 2022;213:118719. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2022.118719>.
- [51] Kumar S, Sachidananda H, Salins SS, Naresh M, Kamal A, Hakeem S, et al. Estimation of performance parameters of a counter flow cooling tower using biomass packing. *Therm Sci Eng Prog.* 2023;44:102071. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsep.2023.102071>.
- [52] Arefimanesh A, Heyhat MM. Investigation of the simultaneous effect of fouling and ambient conditions on cooling performance and water consumption of a wet cooling tower. *Case Stud Therm Eng.* 2024;58:104426.
- [53] Navarro P, Serrano JM, Roca L, Palenzuela P, Lucas M, Ruiz J. A comparative study on predicting wet cooling tower performance in combined cooling systems for heat rejection in CSP plants. *Appl Therm Eng.* 2024;123718.

## ABOUT AUTHOR

Name: Ali Abd Mohammed Abdulzahra

Birthday: 15. 3.1995

Birthplace: Basrah, Iraq

Bachelor's degree in Chemical Engineering, College of Engineering, Chemical Engineering Department, University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq, 2019

Master's: Student, Chemical Engineering Department, College of Engineering, University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq, Since 2022

Research Interests: Simulation and Modelling of Reforming Process in Petroleum Refinery

Scientific Publications: one paper.

Scientific Memberships: Iraqi Engineers Union.



Name: Alaa Abdulrazaq Jassim



Birthday: 4. 12.1971

Birth Place: Basrah, Iraq

Bachelor's degree in Chemical Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq, 1993

Master: Chemical Department, College of Engineering, University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq, 1996

Doctorate: Chemical Engineering Department, College of Engineering, University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq, Since 2003.

The Last Scientific Position: Prof (Doctorate) in Chemical Engineering Department, College of Engineering, Basrah, University, Basrah, Basrah, Iraq, Since 2014

Research Interests: Simulation and modelling and Waterdesalination

Scientific Publications: 23 papers

Scientific Memberships: Member in Association of University Lecturers, Member of Iraqi Engineers Union.



Name: Eldon R.Rene

Department of Water Supply, Sanitation and Environmental Engineering, IHE Delft Institute for Water Education, 2611AX Delft, The Netherlands