



## Original Article

# Prevalence and correlation of glycemic control achievement in patients with type 2 diabetes in Iraq: A retrospective analysis of a tertiary care database over a 9-year period

Abbas Ali Mansour<sup>1,\*</sup>, Nassar T.Y. Alibrahim, Haider A. Alidrisi, Ali H. Alhamza, Ammar M. Almomin, Ibrahim Abbood Zaboon, Muayad Baheer Kadhim, Rudha Naser Hussein, Hussein Ali Nwayyir, Adel Gassab Mohammed, Dheyaa K.J. Al-Waeli, Ibrahim Hani Hussein, FDEMC Study group

Faiha Specialized Diabetes, Endocrine and Metabolism Center (FDEMC), Basrah Health Directorate, University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 25 November 2019

Received in revised form

18 March 2020

Accepted 19 March 2020

## Keywords:

Type 2 diabetes mellitus

Glycemic control

HbA1c target

Basrah

Iraq

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** This study was designed to assess the achievement of a glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) target in Iraqi type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients via retrospective analysis of a tertiary care database over a 9-year period.

**Methods:** A total of 12,869 patients with T2DM with mean (SEM) age: 51.4(0.1) years, and 54.4% were females registered into Faiha Specialized Diabetes, Endocrine and Metabolism Center(FDEMC) database between August 2008 and July 2017 were included in this retrospective study. Data were recorded for each patient during routine follow-up visits performed at the center every 3–12 months.

**Results:** Patients were under oral antidiabetic drugs (OAD; 45.8%) or insulin+ OAD (54.2%) therapy. Hypertension was evident in 42.0% of patients, while dyslipidemia was noted in 70.5%. Glycemic control (HbA1c <7%) was achieved by 13.8% of patients. Multivariate analysis revealed <55 years of age, female gender, >3 years duration of diabetes, HbA1c >10% at the first visit, presence of dyslipidemia, and insulin treatment as significant determinants of an increased risk of poor glycemic control. BMI <25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and presence of hypertension were associated with a decreased risk of poor glycemic control.

**Conclusion:** Using data from the largest cohort of T2DM patients from Iraq to date, this tertiary care database analysis over a 9-year period indicated poor glycemic control. Younger patient age, female gender, longer disease duration, initially high HbA1c levels, dyslipidemia, insulin treatment, overweight and obesity, and lack of hypertension were associated with an increased risk of poor glycemic control in Iraqi T2DM patients.

© 2020 Diabetes India. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Background

Consistent with worldwide trends for the prevalence of diabetes mellitus [1], diabetes has reached an epidemic status in Iraq over the last decade, with a dramatic (115%) increase from 19.58/1000 in the year 2000 to 42.27/1000 in 2015 [2–4].

Accordingly, diabetes is a major public health concern in Iraqis

given its high prevalence rate, increasing incidence rate, and overall economic burden [3–5]. However, after the 2003 War that caused vast destruction to Iraqi health system infrastructure over decades along with economic sanctions leading to cuts in the health care budget and understaffed and weakly resourced hospitals, the Iraqi health system could not cope with increased load of diabetic patients in terms of provisions for essential diabetes care [4,6–10].

Alongside the lack of health insurance coverage among the entire Iraqi population, diabetic patients are currently treated in both primary and secondary/tertiary care settings without certified methods for glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) measurements because it is not available on a wide scale except in a few tertiary centers and within the private sector. Despite the proposal of a free health

\* Corresponding author. Faiha Specialized Diabetes, Endocrine and Metabolism Center (FDEMC), Basrah Health Directorate, University of Basrah, Hattin Post Office P.O Box: 142, Basrah, 61013, Iraq.

E-mail address: [abbas.mansour@fdemc.iq](mailto:abbas.mansour@fdemc.iq) (A.A. Mansour).

<sup>1</sup> Researcher ID: AAE-5833-2019

system, most investigations for diabetes and drug treatment are not affordable. Primary care has just started to improve the situation, whereas because of extraordinarily increased numbers of patients with diabetes in the country, the health system requires significant efforts and budget costs to change the situation. In addition, the source of drug supplies to treat chronic noncommunicable disease, whether in primary or secondary/tertiary settings, has not been resolved in Iraq. The private sector plays an important role in the drug supply with out-of-pocket payments.

Given the importance of achieving tight glycemic control to reduce the risk of microvascular complications and to decrease mortality and morbidity in diabetic patients, an HbA1c target of <7.0% is recommended by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) [11] and the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology (AACE/ACE) [12], while the American College of Physicians (ACP) recommends loosening the tight control parameters established for HbA1c from a target of less than 7% to a range between 7 and 8% in nonpregnant adults with T2DM [13].

Alongside the application of a stepwise treatment algorithm to achieve glycemic control including diet, exercise, glucose monitoring and pharmacologic therapy [14,15], the application of ADA-recommended ABC targets, including HbA1c, blood pressure and low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C), constitutes an integral part of diabetes care and is important for cardiovascular risk reduction [16].

In a past study conducted in 2008 with 3395 type 2 diabetic patients from Iraq, poor glycemic control (HbA1c  $\geq$  7%) was noted in 2571 (75.7%) patients, while most of the patients declared the current health situation in Iraq (i.e. no drug supply from primary health care center or drug shortage, drugs or laboratory expense, migration after war) were the causes of their poor glycemic control [9].

The Faiha Specialized Diabetes, Endocrine, and Metabolism Center (FDEMC) is a tertiary referral center located in Basrah, Southern Iraq that provides diabetes care in accordance with practice patterns recommended by the ADA [17] and is available at affordable costs for all people, as the cost is partially covered by the Ministry of Health. The center receives patients who are either self-referred or referred by doctors from private clinics and primary and secondary care facilities. The patients visit the center every 3–12 months and the primary target of the center is the provision of diabetes education and self-care practices with the initial prescription of anti-diabetic medications including oral antidiabetic drugs (OADs) or insulin medication, while public clinics are supposed to supply the medicine to the patients each month.

This study was designed to assess the achievement of an HbA1c glycemic target and to determine the correlations of poor glycemic control in Iraqi patients via a retrospective analysis of a FDEMC tertiary care database over a 9-year period.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Study population

A total of 12,869 patients with T2DM (mean (SEM) age: 51.4(0.1) years, 54.4% were females) registered into the FDEMC database between August 2008 and July 2017 were included in this retrospective study. All adult T2DM patients (aged  $\geq$  19 years) registered into the FDEMC database with available data on glycemic parameters were included in the study. Patients diagnosed with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM), pregnant women, and those with single visit data or no HbA1c records at their latest visit were excluded from the study. This study was part of a project to assess the degree of three pillars of diabetes control, including blood glucose, blood

pressure, and lipid control.

### 2.2. Assessments

Patient demographics (age and gender), anthropometrics (body mass index [BMI, kg/m<sup>2</sup>] and weight gain [kg]), diabetes characteristics (duration of diabetes, family history, treatments, and HbA1c target achievement) and comorbidities (hypertension, dyslipidemia) were recorded for each patient during routine follow-up visits performed at the center every 3–12 months. Screening for neuropathy based on symptoms and signs were done for all.

Routine eye and dental screening were not part of the routine care provided at our center.

The dates for the first and last visits and total number of visits within the study period were also recorded for each patient.

For all patients, routine blood biochemistry analysis including serum glucose, creatinine, lipid panel and HbA1c was performed in the early morning after an 8–12 h fasting period.

### 2.3. ABC treatment targets

Hypertension was considered in patients with an average office blood pressure >140/90 mm Hg on two different visits or currently undergoing antihypertensive treatment. Dyslipidemia was considered in patients with serum triglyceride levels >150 mg/dL, LDL-C >100 mg/dL, low HDL-C (men < 40 and women < 50 mg/dL), or receiving medications for dyslipidemia. BMI values were categorized as normal (18.5–24.9 mg/dL), overweight (25–29.9 mg/dL), moderate obesity (30–39.9 mg/dL) and severe obesity ( $\geq$ 40 mg/dL) [18]. In accordance with the 2017 ADA criteria [17], the treatment targets were defined as HbA1c <7%, systolic/diastolic blood pressure <140/90 mmHg and LDL-C <100 mg/dL. The blood pressure and lipid control results will appear in future publications.

The ethical committee of FDEMC approved the study.

### 2.4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) test was used for the comparison of categorical data. Paired sample T test was used to assess continuous variables in a normally distributed samples, otherwise, Wilcoxon signed ranks test was used. Univariate analysis was used to analyze relationships among continuous variables. If a variable had a significant effect on the glycemic control via univariate analysis, it was included in multivariate logistic regression analysis. Data were expressed as the “mean (standard error of mean; SEM) or (SD)”, 95% confidence interval (CI) and percent (%) where appropriate.  $p < 0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Demographic and clinical characteristics (n = 12,869)

Overall, 64.4% of patients were aged <55 years and 54.4% were female patients. The mean(SEM) BMI was 29.9(0.1) kg/m<sup>2</sup> ( $\geq$ 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> in 80.1% of patients) and weight gain was 1.6(0.1) kg. The average duration of diabetes was 9.7(0.1) years and patients were either under OAD (45.8%) or insulin + OAD (54.2%) therapy. Neuropathy were seen in 60.5%, hypertension was evident in 42.0% of patients, while dyslipidemia was noted in 70.5%. Patients averaged 9.0 visits within 3.2 years of follow-up. The mean(SEM) HbA1c (%) was 10.1(0.2) at the first visit (>10% in 51.2% of patients) and 9.6(0.02) at the last measurement, with achievement of glycemic control (HbA1c <7%) in 13.8% of patients (Table 1). Out of the 12869

patients enrolled, 1446(11.2%) of them were newly diagnosed with diabetes, of those, 53(3.7%) had been initiated on insulin therapy since the first visit.

### 3.2. Demographic and clinical variables according to glycemic control

Glycemic control could not be achieved in younger (<55 year) vs. older ( $\geq 55$  year) patients (87.2 vs. 84.3%,  $p < 0.001$ ), in females vs. males (88.2% vs. 83.7%,  $p < 0.001$ ), in  $>3$  years vs.  $\leq 3$  years duration of diabetes (89.0 vs. 67.2%,  $p < 0.001$ ), in those with vs. without a family history of diabetes (86.8 vs. 85.1%,  $p = 0.004$ ), in  $>2$  years vs.  $\leq 2$  years of follow-up (88.8 vs. 81.3%,  $p < 0.001$ ) and number of visit to the center  $>20$  vs.  $\leq 20$  (90.9 vs. 85.6%,  $p < 0.001$ ) (Table 2).

Lack of hypertension (86.9 vs. 85.5%,  $p = 0.001$ ), BMI  $<25$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> (87.3 vs. 85.9%,  $p = 0.03$ ), HbA1c  $>10\%$  at the first visit (93.5 vs. 78.5%,  $p < 0.001$ ), presence of dyslipidemia (87.5 vs. 82.8%,  $p < 0.001$ ) and insulin treatment (93.2 vs. 77.8%,  $p < 0.001$ ) were also associated with a higher risk of poor glycemic control in the univariate analysis (Table 2).

### 3.3. Multivariate logistic regression analysis for factors predicting an increased risk of poor glycemic control

Multivariate analysis revealed  $<55$  years of age (OR 1.34, 95% CI 1.20–1.50,  $p < 0.001$ ), female gender (OR 1.31, 95% CI 1.18–1.40,  $p < 0.001$ ),  $>3$  years duration of diabetes (OR 2.8, 95% CI 2.40–3.20,  $p < 0.001$ ), HbA1c levels  $>10\%$  at the first visit (OR 3.2, 95% CI 2.80–3.60,  $p < 0.001$ ), presence of dyslipidemia (OR 1.4, 95% CI 1.20–1.50,  $p < 0.001$ ), and insulin treatment (OR 2.6, 95% CI 2.30–2.90,  $p < 0.001$ )

as significant determinants of an increased risk of poor glycemic control (Table 3).

BMI  $<25$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> (OR 0.8, 95% CI 0.73–0.90,  $p = 0.01$ ) and presence of hypertension (OR 0.8, 95% CI 0.70–0.90,  $p = 0.002$ ) were associated with a decreased risk of poor glycemic control (Table 3).

### 3.4. Risk factors associated with weight gain under treatment

Out of the 12869-patient included in this study, 6630(51.5%) were having weight gain. The mean(SEM) weight gain under treatment was higher in males vs. females (1.88(0.92) vs. 1.43(0.85) kg,  $p < 0.001$ ),  $>6$  years vs.  $\leq 6$  years duration of diabetes (2.44(0.8) vs. -0.02(0.01) kg,  $p < 0.001$ ),  $>8$  years vs.  $\leq 8$  years duration of follow-up (4.67(0.45) vs. 1.52(0.06) kg,  $p < 0.001$ ),  $>20$  vs.  $\leq 20$  visits during follow-up (5.37(0.25) vs. 1.18(0.06) kg,  $p < 0.001$ ), those with BMI  $<25$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> vs.  $\geq 25$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> (4.47(0.15) vs. 0.90(0.70) kg,  $p < 0.001$ ), HbA1c  $>15$  vs.  $\leq 15\%$  at the first visit (4.90(0.52) vs. 1.56(0.06) kg,  $p < 0.001$ ) and those under insulin vs. OAD treatment (3.06(0.93) vs. -0.06(0.01) kg,  $p < 0.001$ ) (Table 4).

Analysis of some variables done end to compare the first visit and last visit according to achievement of glycemic control (Table 5). The weight, BMI and HbA1c were statistically higher in last visit in the uncontrolled group. No differences between random plasma glucose in the last visit between both groups.

## 4. Discussion

Using data from the largest cohort of T2DM patients from Iraq to date, this tertiary care database analysis over a 9-year period indicated poor glycemic control in Iraqi patients, with achievement of HbA1c target ( $<7\%$ ) by only 13.8% of patients who were followed

**Table 1**  
Demographic and clinical characteristics (n = 12,869).

<b>Patient demographics</b>			
Age (year), mean (SEM)			51.4(0.1)
Age group, n(%)			
<55 year			8283(64.4)
$\geq 55$ year			4586(35.6)
Gender, n(%)			
Male			5866 (45.6)
Female			7003(54.4)
<b>Anthropometrics</b>			
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), mean(SEM)			29.9(0.1)
BMI category, n(%)			
$\geq 25$ kg/m <sup>2</sup>			10,302(80.1)
$<25$ kg/m <sup>2</sup>			2567(19.9)
Weight gain (kg), mean(SD)			1.6(0.1)
<b>Diabetes characteristics</b>			
Duration of diabetes (year), mean(SEM)			9.7 (0.1)
Family history for diabetes, n(%)			8041(63.4)
Mode of treatment, n(%)			
OAD			5889(45.8)
Insulin + OAD			6980(54.2)
HbA1c (%)	At enrolment	mean(SEM)	10.1(0.02)
		$>10\%$ , n(%)	6595(51.2%)
		$\leq 10\%$ , n(%)	6274(48.8%)
	Last measurement, mean(SEM)		9.6(0.02)
Glycemic control, n(%)			
Achieved			1782(13.8)
Not achieved			11,087(86.2)
<b>Comorbidities, n(%)</b>			
<b>Neuropathy</b>			<b>7785(60.5)</b>
Hypertension			5410(42.0)
Dyslipidemia			9074(70.5)
<b>Follow-up characteristics</b>			
Duration of follow-up (year), mean(SEM)			3.2(0.02)
Number of visits, mean(SEM)			9.0(1.0)

BMI: Body mass index; OAD: Oral antidiabetic drugs; SEM: Standard error of the mean.

**Table 2**  
Univariate analysis for demographic and clinical variables according to glycemic control.

n (%)		Glycemic control		OR	95% CI		p value
		Not achieved (n = 11087)	Achieved (n = 1782)		LB	UB	
<b>Age</b>	<b>&lt;55 year</b>	7221(87.2)	1062(12.8)	<b>1.27</b>	1.14	1.40	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	<b>≥55 year</b>	3866(84.3)	720(15.7)				
<b>Gender</b>	<b>Females</b>	6179(88.2)	824(11.8)	<b>1.46</b>	1.32	1.62	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	<b>Males</b>	4908(83.7)	958(16.3)				
<b>Duration of diabetes</b>	<b>&gt;3 years</b>	9956(89.0)	1231(11.0)	<b>3.52</b>	3.17	3.90	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	<b>≤3 years</b>	1131(67.2)	551(32.8)				
<b>Family history of diabetes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	6979(86.8)	1062(13.2)	<b>1.15</b>	1.04	1.27	<b>0.004</b>
	<b>No</b>	4065 (85.1)	711 (14.9)				
<b>Duration of follow up</b>	<b>&gt;2 years</b>	7368(88.8)	929(11.2)	<b>1.82</b>	1.64	2.01	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	<b>≤2 years</b>	3719(81.3)	853(18.7)				
<b>Number of visits</b>	<b>&gt;20</b>	1253(90.9)	126(9.1)	<b>1.68</b>	1.38	2.03	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	<b>≤20</b>	9834(85.6)	1656(14.4)				
<b>Hypertension</b>	<b>No</b>	6485 (86.9)	974 (13.1)	<b>1.17</b>	1.06	1.29	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>Yes</b>	4602 (85.1)	808 (14.9)				
<b>BMI at first visit</b>	<b>&lt;25 kg/m<sup>2</sup></b>	2240 (87.3)	327 (12.7)	<b>1.12</b>	0.99	1.30	<b>0.031</b>
	<b>≥25 kg/m<sup>2</sup></b>	8847 (85.9)	1455 (14.1)				
<b>HbA1c at first visit</b>	<b>&gt;10%</b>	6164(93.5)	431(6.5)	<b>3.93</b>	3.50	4.40	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	<b>≤10%</b>	4923(78.5)	1351(21.5)				
<b>Dyslipidemia</b>	<b>Yes</b>	7943(87.5)	1131 (12.5)	<b>1.45</b>	1.31	1.62	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	<b>No</b>	3144 (82.8)	651 (17.2)				
<b>Mode of treatment</b>	<b>Insulin<sup>a</sup></b>	6504(93.2)	476(6.8)	<b>3.89</b>	3.48	4.35	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	<b>OAD</b>	4583(77.8)	1306(22.2)				

BMI: body mass index; OR Odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; LB: lower bound; UB: upper bound; OAD: Oral antidiabetic drugs.

<sup>a</sup> This includes insulin in combination of OAD.

**Table 3**  
Multivariate logistic regression analysis for factors predicting an increased risk of poor glycemic control.

Variables	OR	95% CI (LB-UB)	p value
Age <55 years	1.34	(1.20–1.50)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Female	1.31	(1.18–1.40)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Family history of diabetes	1.04	(0.90–1.17)	<b>0.4</b>
Duration of diabetes > 3 years	2.8	(2.40–3.20)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Duration of follow up > 2 years	1.1	(0.90–1.20)	0.1
Number of visits > 20	0.9	(0.70–1.10)	0.4
BMI at first visit <25 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	0.8	(0.73–0.90)	<b>0.01</b>
Hypertension	0.8	(0.70–0.90)	<b>0.002</b>
First HbA1c >10%	3.2	(2.80–3.60)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Dyslipidemia	1.4	(1.20–1.50)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Insulin treatment	2.6	(2.30–2.90)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>

BMI: body mass index; Odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; LB: lower bound; UB: upper bound.

**Table 4**  
Risk factors associated with weight gain under treatment in diabetes patients.

Variables		n(%)	Weight gain (kg) mean(SEM)	p value
Gender	Males	5866 (45.6)	1.88(0.92)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	Females	7003(54.4)	1.43(0.85)	
Duration of diabetes	>6 years	8644(67.2)	2.44(0.8)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	≤6 years	4225(32.8)	−0.02(0.01)	
Duration of follow-up	>8 years	475(3.7)	4.67(0.45)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	≤8 years	12394(96.3)	1.52(0.06)	
Number of visits	>20	1379(10.7)	5.37(0.25)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	≤20	11490(89.3)	1.18(0.06)	
BMI at first visit	<25 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	2567(19.9)	4.47(0.15)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	≥25 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	10,302(80.1)	0.90(0.70)	
HbA1c at first visit	>15%	319(2.5)	4.90(0.52)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	≤15%	12550(97.5)	1.56(0.06)	
Mode of treatment	Insulin + OAD	6980(54.2)	3.06(0.93)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	OAD	5889(45.8)	−0.06(0.01)	

BMI: body mass index; OAD: Oral antidiabetic drugs; SEM: Standard error of the mean.

up an average of 3.2 years and under OAD treatment alone (45.8%) or in combination with insulin therapy (54.2%).

Our findings support the failure to achieve glycemic goals despite novel therapeutics that have been consistently reported among patients with T2DM worldwide for the achievement of a target HbA1c <7.0% by 53.6–63.8% of patients from USA or European countries [19,20]; by 11.1–28.2% of patients from Indonesia, Peru, Romania and South Africa [21] and by 13–50% of patients from Arabian Gulf Countries or the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region [22–32].

Notably, the average duration of diabetes was 9.7 years in our cohort, while the mean patient age was 51.4 years, with 64.4% of patients being younger than 55 years of age. This emphasizes the likelihood of early-onset T2DM in a considerable portion of our patients and may also contribute to the high prevalence of poor glycemic control in our cohort given the association of early-onset

**Table 5**

Comparison of different parameter at the first and last visits between patients with and without glycemic control.

		First visit mean (SD)	Last visit mean (SD)	p value
Weight kg	Total	78.8 ± 17.3	81.1 ± 15.7	<0.001
	Controlled	81.5 ± 17.2	82.0 ± 15.8	0.56
	Uncontrolled	78.5 ± 17.3	81.0 ± 15.7	<0.001
BMI	Total	29.9 ± 5.8	30.6 ± 5.6	<0.001
	Controlled	30.9 ± 5.9	30.9 ± 5.7	0.941
	Uncontrolled	29.8 ± 5.8	30.6 ± 5.6	<0.001
Random plasma glucose mg/dL	Total	257.8 ± 103.1	260.4 ± 107.4	0.619
	Controlled	171.6 ± 72.5	175.7 ± 78.9	0.920
	Uncontrolled	264.6 ± 102.1	267.0 ± 106.6	0.589
HbA1c %	Total	10.1 ± 2.4	9.6 ± 2.3	<0.001
	Controlled	6.2 ± 0.6	7.3 ± 1.8	<0.001
	Uncontrolled	10.5 ± 2.1	9.8 ± 2.3	<0.001

diabetes with poor glycemic control and a higher risk of comorbidities and complications [26,33].

Accordingly, younger (<55 years) patient age (OR 1.34, 95% CI 1.20–1.50,  $p < 0.001$ ) and >3 years duration of diabetes (OR 2.9, 95% CI 2.50–3.34,  $p < 0.001$ ) were among the factors found to predict an increased risk of poor glycemic control in our cohort.

Younger age groups ( $\leq 60$  years) were also reported to be at higher risk of poor glycemic control in past studies conducted in the Arabian Gulf [26,31,32,34] as well as in other countries [35,36]. The authors noted the higher likelihood of being affected by lifestyle changes and lower adherence to a diabetes care plan due to active occupational and social life to be the factors underlying poor glycemic control in younger age groups of diabetes patients [34].

The association of >3 years of diabetes duration with poor glycemic control in our cohort supports the more challenging glycemic control among patients with longer durations of T2DM in relation to further deterioration of pancreatic function, increased insulin resistance and an increased risk of diabetes-related complications [26].

Likewise, the risk of early-onset diabetes has also been reported in a past study with T2DM patients from Saudi Arabia [26]. The authors emphasized the crucial role of diabetes screening programs to identify people at risk of diabetes and implementation of intensive management protocols aimed at tighter glycemic control once the diagnosis is made to delay diabetes-related complications and enable a better quality of life and longer life expectancy among young people with diabetes [26,37].

Identification of female gender as a significant risk factor for poor glycemic control in our cohort is important given that females to have a higher diabetes prevalence than males in countries located in the MENA region, including Iraq [1]. Poor glycemic control among females in our cohort seems to be in agreement with women with diabetes being less likely to achieve target HbA1c levels compared with men [38–43]. Differences in glucose homeostasis, treatment response and psychological factors have been attributed to the gender influence on glycemic control, along with the emphasis of the need for developing specific treatment guidelines for men and women [42]. Nonetheless, there are also studies reporting no gender influence on glycemic control or treatment adherence [44–47] as well as better glycemic control in females than in males among patients with T2DM [22,29,48,49].

Insulin resistance and progressive deterioration of  $\beta$ -cell function in T2DM eventually leads to failure to achieve glycemic control via OADs, necessitating insulin initiation [14,50,51]. In fact, even earlier and more intensive insulin initiation has been suggested in patients with newly diagnosed T2DM due to its association with improved glycemic control [52,53]. Patients in our cohort were suffering from diabetes for an average of 9.7 years, with initial HbA1c levels >10% in half of the patients, while 45.8% were insulin-

naïve patients still under OAD therapy. This seems notable given that patients with diabetes are often exposed to a prolonged glycemic load, with the initiation of insulin treatment only after a high glycemic burden for 5 years with HbA1c >8%, for 10 years with HbA1c >7% [54] and with average HbA1c levels of ~10% at the time of insulin initiation [55,56].

Similarly, data from an 18-month observational VISION study on patterns of insulin initiation and intensification in T2DM patients in the MENA region revealed that 67.6% patients had HbA1c  $\geq 9\%$  at insulin initiation, with a mean HbA1c of 9.9%, despite 68.3% patients being on  $\geq 2$  OADs, indicating a significant delay in insulin initiation [57].

Hence, the association of insulin treatment with a higher risk of poor glycemic control in our cohort seems to be related to the initiation of insulin only after prolonged periods of poor glycemic control in a population with an already established risk of diabetes-related complications [54,55,58,59]. Likewise, the use of injectable medications was reported to be a strong predictor for poor glycemic control in past studies among T2DM patients [26,31,32,36], with the maintenance of high blood glucose levels even after insulin treatment in a considerable portion of patients [60]. Low patient adherence due to social stigmata, interference with daily activity, and fear of hypoglycemia as well as underlying disease progression, weight gain related to insulin use and polypharmacy have been suggested to increase the risk of poor glycemic control in insulin-treated patients [61,62].

The prevalence of obesity was reported to range from 53 to 62% in large-scale multinational studies with T2DM patients [63–65]. The identification of normal weight in only 20.0% of patients in our cohort seems consistent with data from the nationwide TEMD Obesity survey in Turkish T2DM patients, which indicated only 10% of patients ( $n = 4648$ ) have normal BMI, with other patients being either overweight (31%) or obese (59%) [66]. High overweight or obesity rates in our cohort are important given that having a normal weight ( $\text{BMI} < 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ) was found among the predictors of a lower risk of poor glycemic control (OR 0.78). The identification of hypertension in 42% of our diabetic patients also seems important since hypertension was considered among the determinants of a higher obesity risk among T2DM patients [60]. Indeed, a multifactorial approach has been recommended for the management of diabetic patients with hypertension, involving simultaneous targeting of blood pressure and glucose levels [67,68]. Accordingly, the association of comorbid hypertension (OR 0.8) with a lower risk of poor glycemic control in our cohort may be related to a higher likelihood that younger diabetes patients with comorbid hypertension are assigned to both strict HbA1c and blood pressure targeting by physicians [68]. In Kuwaiti cohort that enrolled 7657 patients, the presence of hypertension was not associated with poor glycemic control [69].



Longer duration of diabetes, male gender, insulin therapy, initially high HbA1c levels and normal body weight were also associated with greater weight gain under treatment in our patients. This supports the association of a high baseline HbA1c and lower baseline BMI with greater weight gain in insulin-treated diabetes patients [70] and a higher likelihood of weight loss in females than males during anti-diabetic treatment [71]. Our findings also support the association of higher presenting HbA1c and dyslipidemia with an increased risk of poor glycemic control in T2DM patients [29,72].

The high prevalence of poor glycemic control in Iraqi patients with T2DM appears also to be related to the vast destruction of Iraqi health system infrastructure after the 2003 War, resulting in the failure to cope with the increased number of diabetic patients in terms of provisions for essential care [4,6–10]. Notably, in a past study on self-management practices of T2DM patients recruited from the National Diabetes Center in Baghdad, Iraq, the rarity of practicing daily diabetes self-management protocols as well as the impact of stressful life factors (i.e., lack of clean water and electricity and the political instability in Iraq) on hyperglycemia have been identified by the majority of participants [5]. Limited knowledge about diabetes self-management practices due to the unavailability of educational programs has also been emphasized in Iraqi diabetic patients [5,73,74].

Indeed, aside from the well-known factors limiting regular physician consultations, such as a lack of awareness, the cost of appointments, and time constraints [75], the likelihood of a negative attitude toward physicians, due to considerations that the most knowledgeable physicians immigrated outside of Iraq, has also been considered to be a unique factor challenging access to appropriate health care among Iraqi patients [5].

Hence, a more pragmatic approach appears to be necessary to improve diabetes care in Iraq, including a shift in glycemic control parameters towards less stringent HbA1c targets (7–8%), as recommended by the ACP [13], solving the issues of the unified drug supply and introducing insurance for all patients with chronic illness [76].

The major strength of this study is the inclusion of a database comprising 12,869 T2DM patients managed in a tertiary care setting over a 9-year period in Iraq, which enables our findings to be generalizable based on the presence of a representative sample of an overall population. However, certain limitations to this study should be considered. First, due to the retrospective single-center design of the present study, establishing temporality between the cause and effect is not possible. Second, the use of an HbA1c cut-off of 7% for all patients rather than individualized glycemic control targets is a second limitation. Third, the lack of data on other anti-hyperglycemic agents or treatment intensification is another limitation, which would otherwise extend the knowledge achieved in the current study.

## 5. Conclusion

Providing data from the largest cohort of T2DM patients from Iraq, this tertiary care database analysis over a 9-year period indicated poor glycemic control in Iraqi patients with achievement of an HbA1c target (<7%) by only 13.8% of patients who were followed up for an average of 3.2 years and were under OAD treatment alone or in combination with insulin therapy. Younger patient age, female gender, longer disease duration, initially high HbA1c levels, dyslipidemia, insulin treatment, overweight and obesity, and lack of hypertension were associated with an increased risk of poor glycemic control in Iraqi T2DM patients. Our findings emphasize the need for improved diabetes care practices in Iraq, with considerations for tailored treatment strategies and continuous education

programs as well as the development of healthcare strategies and national system-based approaches to update and prioritize diabetes screening and management across the country to overcome the barriers of inadequate glycemic control.

## Consent to publish

Permission was obtained from our institutional ethics committee for the use of patient data for publication purposes.

## Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request without the need any administrative permissions.

## Funding

Medical writing and editorial assistance was provided by KAPPA Training Consulting & Research Ltd and funded by a grant from Novo Nordisk Scientific Bureau for Medicines' Promotions. The authors take full responsibility for the content and conclusions stated in this manuscript. Novo Nordisk neither influenced the content of this publication nor was it involved in the study design, data collection, analysis or interpretation.

## Authors' contributions

AAM contributed to conception and design of the study and acquisition and analysis of data; NTYA contributed to conception and design of the study; HAA contributed to conception and design of the study; AHAA contributed to conception and design of the study; AMSAA contributed to conception and design of the study; IAZ and MBK contributed to acquisition and analysis of data; RNH contributed to acquisition and analysis of data; HAN contributed to interpretation of data and drafting the work; AGM contributed to acquisition and analysis of data; DKJA contributed to interpretation of data and drafting the work; IHH contributed to interpretation of data and drafting the work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. All authors equally contributed to this study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Acknowledgements

The authors express sincere thanks to the medical staff of FDEMC.

## Abbreviations

AACE/ACE	American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology
ACP	American College of Physicians
ADA	American Diabetes Association
FDEMC	Faiha Specialized Diabetes, Endocrine, and Metabolism Center
HbA1c	glycated hemoglobin
LDL-C	low density lipoprotein —cholesterol
OAD	oral antidiabetic drug
T2DM	type 2 diabetes mellitus

## Authors' information

Faiha Specialized Diabetes, Endocrine and Metabolism Center (FDEMC), Basrah Health Directorate, Basrah, Iraq.

## References

- [1] International diabetes federation IDF diabetes atlas. eighth ed. Brussels, Belgium: International Diabetes Federation; 2017.
- [2] Mansour AA, Al-Maliki AA, Kasem B, Jabar A, Mosbeh KA. Prevalence of diagnosed and undiagnosed diabetes mellitus in adults aged 19 years and older in Basrah, Iraq. *Diabetes, metabolic syndrome and obesity: targets and therapy. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes* 2014;7:139–44.
- [3] Mansour AA, Al Douri F. Diabetes in Iraq: facing the epidemic. A systematic review. *Wulfenia* 2015;22(3):258–78.
- [4] Hussain AM, Lafta RK. Burden of non-communicable diseases in Iraq after the 2003 war. *Saudi Med J* 2019 Jan;40(1):72–8.
- [5] Mikhael EM, Hassali MA, Hussain SA, Shawky N. Self-management knowledge and practice of type 2 diabetes mellitus patients in Baghdad, Iraq: a qualitative study. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes* 2018 Dec 17;12:1–17.
- [6] Mansour AA, Wanoose HL. Insulin crisis in Iraq. *Lancet* 2007;369:1860.
- [7] Lafta R, Al-Shatari S, Cherewick M, Galway L, Mock C, Hagopian A, et al. Injuries, death, and disability associated with 11 years of conflict in Baghdad, Iraq: A randomized household cluster survey. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0131834 [PubMed].
- [8] Al Hilfi TK, Lafta R, Burnham G. Health services in Iraq. *Lancet* 2013;381: 939–48.
- [9] Mansour AA. Patients' opinion on the barriers to diabetes control in areas of conflicts: the Iraqi example. *Conflict Health* 2008;2:7.
- [10] United Nations office for the coordination of humanitarian affairs. Humanitarian response plan-Iraq. Update 2017, Accessed 2019 Jan 24, Available at: [https://www.humanitarianresponse.info/system/files/documents/files/2017\\_hrp\\_irq\\_final.pdf](https://www.humanitarianresponse.info/system/files/documents/files/2017_hrp_irq_final.pdf).
- [11] American Diabetes Association. Glycemic targets: standards of medical care in diabetes-2018 diabetes care, vol. 41; 2018 Jan. p. S55–64. Suppl 1.
- [12] AACE/ACE diabetes guidelines. *Endocr Pract* 2015;21(Suppl 1):1–87.
- [13] Qaseem AI, Wilt TJ, Kansagara D3, Horwitch C4, Barry MJ5, Forciea MA6; clinical guidelines committee of the American College of physicians. Hemoglobin A1c targets for glycemic control with pharmacologic therapy for nonpregnant adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a guidance statement update from the American College of physicians. *Ann Intern Med* 2018 Apr 17;168(8):569–76. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M17-0939>. Epub 2018 Mar 6.
- [14] Inzucchi SE, Bergenstal RM, Buse JB, Diamant M, Ferrannini E, Nauck M, et al. Management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes, 2015: a patient-centered approach: update to a position statement of the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2015;38:140–9.
- [15] Yacoub TG. Application of clinical judgment and guidelines to achieving glycemic goals in type 2 diabetes: focus on pharmacologic therapy. *Postgrad Med* 2014;126(3):95–106.
- [16] Menon AS, Ahluwalia AI. The ABC of diabetes. How many patients are able to achieve the goal laid down by American Diabetes Association? *Med J Armed Forces India* 2015;71(2):132–4.
- [17] American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes-2017: summary of revisions. *Diabetes Care* 2017;40(Suppl 1):S4–5.
- [18] WHO. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO consultation. Geneva: WHO; 2000.
- [19] Carls G, Huynh J, Tuttle E, Yee J, Edelman SV. Achievement of glycated hemoglobin goals in the US remains unchanged through 2014. *Diabetes Ther* 2017;8(4):863–73.
- [20] Stone MA, Charpentier G, Doggen K, et al. Quality of care of people with type 2 diabetes in eight European countries. *Diabetes Care* 2013;36(9):2628–38.
- [21] Soetedjo NNM, McAllister SM, Ugarte-Gil C, Firanescu AG, Ronacher K, Alisjahbana B, et al. Disease characteristics and treatment of patients with diabetes mellitus attending government health services in Indonesia, Peru, Romania and South Africa. *Trop Med Int Health* 2018 Oct;23(10):1118–28.
- [22] Alsulaiman TA, Al-Ajmi HA, Al-Qahtani SM, Fadlallah IM, Nawar NE, Shukerallah RE, et al. Control of type 2 diabetes in king Abdulaziz Housing City (Iskan) population, Saudi Arabia. *J Family Community Med* 2016;23(1):1.
- [23] Al Balushi KA, Al-Haddabi M, Al-Zakwani I, Al Z'a M. Glycemic control among patients with type 2 diabetes at a primary health care center in Oman. *Prim Care Diabetes* 2014;8(3):239–43.
- [24] Al-Rasheedi AA. Glycemic control among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in countries of Arabic Gulf. *Int J Health Sci (Qassim)* 2015 Jul;9(3): 345–50.
- [25] Al-Kaabi J, Al-Maskari F, Saadi H, Afandi B, Parkar H, Nagelkerke N. Assessment of dietary practice among diabetic patients in the United Arab Emirates. *Rev Diabet Stud* 2008;5(2):110–5.
- [26] Alramadan MJ, Magliano DJ, Almgibbal TH, Batais MA, Afroz A, Alramadhan HJ, Mahfoud WF, Alragas AM, Billah B. Glycaemic control for people with type 2 diabetes in Saudi Arabia - an urgent need for a review of management plan. *BMC Endocr Disord* 2018 Sep 10;18(1):62.
- [27] Azar ST, Malha LP, Zantout MS, Naja M, Younes F, Sawaya MT. Management and control of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Lebanon: results from the International Diabetes Management Practices Study (IDMPS). *Le Journal Medical Libanais The Lebanese Medical Journal* 2013;61(3):127–31.
- [28] Alhyas L, Cai Y, Majeed A. Type 2 diabetes care for patients in a tertiary care setting in UAE: a retrospective cohort study. *JRSM Short Reports* 2012;3(10): 67.
- [29] Esteghamati A, Larijani B, Aghajani MH, Ghaemi F, Kermanchi J, Shahrami A, et al. Diabetes in Iran: prospective analysis from first nationwide diabetes report of national program for prevention and control of diabetes (NPPCD-2016). *Sci Rep* 2017 18;7(1):13461.
- [30] Noor SK, Elmadhoun WM, Bushara SO, Almobarak AO, Salim RS, Forawi SA, et al. Glycaemic control in Sudanese individuals with type 2 diabetes: population based study. *Diabetes Metab Syndr* 2017;11(Suppl 1):S147–51.
- [31] Al-Lawati JA, Barakat MN, Al-Maskari M, Elsayed MK, Al-Lawati AM, Mohammed AJ. HbA1c levels among primary healthcare patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Oman. *Oman Med J* 2012;27(6):465–70.
- [32] D'Souza MS, Karkada SN, Hanrahan NP, Venkatesaperumal R, Amirtharaj A. Do perceptions of empowerment affect glycemic control and self-care among adults with type 2 diabetes? *Global J Health Sci* 2015;7(5):80–90.
- [33] Chuang L-M, Soegondo S, Soewondo P, Young-Seol K, Mohamed M, Dalisay E, et al. Comparisons of the outcomes on control, type of management and complications status in early onset and late onset type 2 diabetes in Asia. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2006;71(2):146–55.
- [34] Alramadan MJ, Afroz A, Hussain SM, Batais MA, Almgibbal TH, Al-Humrani HA, et al. Patient-related determinants of Glycaemic control in people with type 2 diabetes in the Gulf cooperation council countries: a systematic review. *J Diabet Res* 2018;2018:9389265.
- [35] McBrien K, Manns B, Hemmelgarn B, Weaver R, Edwards A, Ivers N, et al. The association between sociodemographic and clinical characteristics and poor glycaemic control: a longitudinal cohort study. *Diabet Med* 2016;33(11): 1499–507.
- [36] Sanal T, Nair N, Adhikari P. Factors associated with poor control of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Diabetol* 2011;3(1):1–10.
- [37] Drewelow E, Wollny A, Pentzek M, Immecke J, Lambrecht S, Wilm S, et al. Improvement of primary health care of patients with poorly regulated diabetes mellitus type 2 using shared decision-making—the DEBATE trial. *BMC Fam Pract* 2012;13(1):88.
- [38] Shalev V, Chodick G, Heymann AD, et al. Gender differences in healthcare utilization and medical indicators among patients with diabetes. *Publ Health* 2005;119:45–9.
- [39] Nilsson PM, Theobald H, Journath G, et al. Gender differences in risk factor control and treatment profile in diabetes: a study in 229 Swedish primary health care centres. *Scand J Prim Health Care* 2004;22:27–31.
- [40] Wexler DJ, Grant RW, Meigs JB, et al. Sex disparities in treatment of cardiac risk factors in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2005;28. 514520: 514–520.
- [41] Kamuhabwa AR, Charles E. Predictors of poor glycemic control in type 2 diabetic patients attending public hospitals in Dar es Salaam. *Drug Healthc Patient Saf* 2014;6:155–65.
- [42] Duarte FG, da Silva Moreira S, Almeida MDCC, de Souza Teles CA, Andrade CS, Reingold AL, Moreira Jr ED. Sex differences and correlates of poor glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes: a cross-sectional study in Brazil and Venezuela. *BMJ Open* 2019 Mar 5;9(3):e023401.
- [43] Kang AY, Park SK, Park SY, Lee HJ, Han Y, Lee SR, Suh SH, Kim DK, Park MK. Therapeutic target achievement in type 2 diabetic patients after hyperglycemia, hypertension, dyslipidemia management. *Diabetes Metab J* 2011 Jun;35(3):264–72.
- [44] Fox KM, Gerber Pharmd RA, Bolinder B, et al. Prevalence of inadequate glycemic control among patients with type 2 diabetes in the United Kingdom general practice research database: a series of retrospective analyses of data from 1998 through 2002. *Clin Therapeut* 2006;28:388.
- [45] Misra R, Lager J, Aalto AM. Ethnic and gender differences in psychosocial factors, glycemic control, and quality of life among adult type 2 diabetic patients. *J Diabet Complicat* 2009;23:54–64.
- [46] Shah BR, Hux JE, Laupacis A, et al. Diabetic patients with prior specialist care have better glycaemic control than those with prior primary care. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2005;11:568–75.
- [47] Alqarni AM, Alrahbani T, Qarni AA, Qarni HMA. Adherence to diabetes medication among diabetic patients in the Bisha governorate of Saudi Arabia - a cross-sectional survey. *Patient Prefer Adherence* 2018 Dec 24;13:63–71.
- [48] Cheung BM, Ong KL, Cherny SS, Sham PC, Tso AW, Lam KS. Diabetes prevalence and therapeutic target achievement in the United States, 1999 to 2006. *Am J Med* 2009;122(5):443–53.
- [49] Lee JE, Park HA, Kang JH, Lee SH, Cho YG, Song HR, Kim SW, Lee JS. State of diabetes care in Korean adults: according to the American Diabetes Association Recommendations. *J Korean Acad Fam Med* 2008;29:658–67.
- [50] National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Type 2 diabetes in adults: management. Nice Guideline. NG28 Published; December 2015.
- [51] Kahn SE. The relative contributions of insulin resistance and beta-cell dysfunction to the pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2003;46:3–19.
- [52] Weng J, Li Y, Xu W, Shi L, Zhang Q, Zhu D, et al. Effect of intensive insulin therapy on beta-cell function and glycaemic control in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: a multicentre randomized parallel-group trial.

- Lancet 2008;371:1753–60.
- [53] Kramer CK, Zinman B, Retnakaran R. Short-term intensive insulin therapy in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2013;1:28–34.
  - [54] Brown JB, Nichols GA, Perry A. The burden of treatment failure in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2004;27:1535–40.
  - [55] Calvert MJ, McManus RJ, Freemantle N. Management of type 2 diabetes with multiple oral hypoglycaemic agents or insulin in primary care: retrospective cohort study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2007;57:455–60.
  - [56] Damci T, Emral R, Svendsen AL, Balkir T, Vora J, SOLVE™ study group. Lower risk of hypoglycemia and greater odds for weight loss with initiation of insulin detemir compared with insulin glargine in Turkish patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: local results of a multinational observational study. *BMC Endocr Disord* 2014;14:61.
  - [57] Jabbar A, Abdallah K, Hassoun A, Malek R, Senyucel C, Spaepen E, Treuer T, Bhattacharya I. Patterns and trends in insulin initiation and intensification among patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus in the Middle East and North Africa region. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2019 Mar;149:18–26.
  - [58] Bonafede M, Chandran A, DiMario S, Saltiel-Berzin R, Saliu D. Medication usage, treatment intensification, and medical cost in patients with type 2 diabetes: a retrospective database study. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care* 2016 Jul 18;4(1):e000189.
  - [59] Stolar MW, Hoogwerf BJ, Gorshow SM, Boyle PJ, Wales DO. Managing type 2 diabetes: going beyond glycemic control. *J Manag Care Pharm* 2008;14(5 Suppl B):S2–19.
  - [60] Nathan DM, Buse JB, Davidson MB, Ferrannini E, Holman RR, Sherwin R, et al. Medical management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes: a consensus algorithm for the initiation and adjustment of therapy: a consensus statement of the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the Study of diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2009;32(1):193–203.
  - [61] Peyrot M, Barnett A, Meneghini L, Schumm-Draeger PM. Insulin adherence behaviours and barriers in the multinational global attitudes of patients and physicians in insulin therapy study. *Diabet Med* 2012;29(5):682–9.
  - [62] Davies M. The reality of glycaemic control in insulin treated diabetes: defining the clinical challenges. *Int J Obes* 2004;28:S14–22.
  - [63] Masmiquel L, Leiter LA, Vidal J, Bain S, Petrie J, Franek E, et al. LEADER 5: prevalence and cardiometabolic impact of obesity in cardiovascular high-risk patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: baseline global data from the LEADER trial. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* 2016 Feb;15(1):29.
  - [64] Albu JB, Lu J, Mooradian AD, Krone RJ, Nesto RW, Porter MH, et al. BARI 2D Study Group. Relationships of obesity and fat distribution with atherothrombotic risk factors: baseline results from the Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation 2 Diabetes (BARI 2D) trial. *Obesity* (Silver Spring) 2010 May;18(5):1046–54.
  - [65] Scirica BM, Bhatt DL, Braunwald E, Steg PG, Davidson J, Hirshberg B, et al. SAVOR-TIMI 53 Steering Committee and Investigators. Saxagliptin and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* 2013 Oct;369(14):1317–26.
  - [66] Sonmez A, Yumuk V, Haymana C, Demirci I, Barcin C, Kiyici S, et al. Impact of obesity on the metabolic control of type 2 diabetes: results of the Turkish nationwide survey of glycemic and other metabolic parameters of patients with diabetes mellitus (TEMDO obesity study). *Obes Facts* 2019 Mar 20;12(2):167–78.
  - [67] Koehler C, Ott P, Benke I, Hanefeld M, Group DIGS. Comparison of the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome by WHO, AHA/NHLBI, and IDF definitions in a German population with type 2 diabetes: the Diabetes in Germany (DIG) Study. *Horm Metab Res* 2007;39(9):632–5.
  - [68] Schmieder RE, Gitt AK, Koch C, Bramlage P, Ouarrak T, Tschöpe D, DIALOGUE study group. Achievement of individualized treatment targets in patients with comorbid type-2 diabetes and hypertension: 6 months results of the DIALOGUE registry. *BMC Endocr Disord* 2015 May 2;15:23.
  - [69] Channanath AM, AlWotayan R, Alkandari H, Davidsson L, Tuomilehto J, Thanaraj TA. Glycaemic control in native Kuwaiti Arab patients with type 2 diabetes. *Prim Care Diabetes* 2018;12(6):526–32.
  - [70] Balkau B, Home PD, Vincent M, Marre M, Freemantle N. Factors associated with weight gain in people with type 2 diabetes starting on insulin. *Diabetes Care* 2014;37(8):2108–13.
  - [71] Tuthill A, McKenna MJ, O'Shea D, McKenna TJ. Weight changes in type 2 diabetes and the impact of gender. *Diabetes Obes Metabol* 2008;10(9):726–32.
  - [72] Mullugeta Y, Chawla R, Kebede T, Worku Y. Dyslipidemia associated with poor glycemic control in type 2 diabetes mellitus and the protective effect of metformin supplementation. *Indian J Clin Biochem* 2012;27(4):363–9.
  - [73] Ministry of Health. Health in Iraq: the current situation, our vision for the future and areas of work. second ed., Available from: [http://www.who.int/hac/crises/irq/background/Iraq\\_Health\\_in\\_Iraq\\_second\\_edition.pdf](http://www.who.int/hac/crises/irq/background/Iraq_Health_in_Iraq_second_edition.pdf); December 2004.
  - [74] Alzubaidi H, Mc Namara K, Browning C, Marriott J. Barriers and enablers to healthcare access and use among Arabic-speaking and Caucasian English-speaking patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a qualitative comparative study. *BMJ Open* 2015;5(11):e008687.
  - [75] Taber JM, Leyva B, Persoskie A. Why do people avoid medical care? A qualitative study using national data. *J Gen Intern Med* 2015;30(3):290–7.
  - [76] Ibrahim IR, Wayyes AR. Pharmacy practice in Iraq. *Pharmacy practice in developing Countries* 2016. p. 199–210.