# A Study of Neutrosophic Regular Generalized b-Closed Sets in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces

Nadia Abbas<sup>a</sup>, Abu Firas Al Musawi<sup>b</sup>, Shuker Alsalem<sup>\*<sup>c</sup></sup>

<sup>a</sup> Ministry of Education, Directorate General of Education, Al-Kark/3, Baghdad, Iraq; <sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Sciences, University of Basrah, Iraq; <sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Basrah, Basrah 61004, Iraq.

#### ABSTRACT

In neutrosophic topological space (*NTS*), The neutrosophic setting for regular generalized b – closed set is shown as a novel kind of neutrosophic closed set (*NCS*) Moreover, Several new ideas of (*NCS*) are given and discussed, like neutrosophic generalized preregular closed set, neutrosophic regular generalized  $\alpha$  – closed set, neutrosophic generalized b – closed set, neutrosophic generalized  $\alpha$  – closed set, neutrosophic generalized semi pre – closed set, neutrosophic semi generalized b – closed set. in neutrosophic semi generalized b – closed set. in neutrosophic topological spaces. Moreover, the relationships between the neutrosophic regular generalized b – closed and these new classes in (*NTS*) are explained and studied their characters.

Keywords: Neutrosophic b – open, Neutrosophic b – closed, Neutrosophic semi closed, Neutrosophic sets theory.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Smarandache<sup>1</sup> proposed and characterized neutrosophic set (NS) as a new non-classical set, and this meaning is an extension of Fuzzy sets (FS), where (FS) is supplied by Zadeh<sup>2</sup>. Atanassav<sup>3</sup> also demonstrates the implication of Intuitionistic Fuzzy set (IFS). Following that, Salama and Alblowi<sup>4</sup> proposed a new idea known as a neutrosophic topological space (NTS), that has just lately been inspected in this type of topological space. Rao and Srinivasa<sup>5</sup> then studied the meaning of a neutrosophic per-closed. In 2018, Ebenanjar M et al<sup>6</sup>. published a paper in (NTS) describing neutrosophic b – closed. In 2020, (NTS)<sup>7</sup> introduced and investigated the connotation of a neutrosophic bg – closed. Some non-clasical sets like, soft<sup>8-13</sup>, fuzzy<sup>14-17</sup>, nano<sup>18</sup>, permutation<sup>19-26</sup>, and other sets in different fields<sup>27-34</sup> are discussed in last years. To investigate our non–classical expansion, we'll use the concept of neutrosophic.

The neutrosophic interior /closur (cl<sup>N</sup>(D)/int<sup>N</sup>(D)) to each (NS) D in (NTS) ( $\Psi, \tau$ ) are defined by cl<sup>N</sup>(D) =  $(D \subseteq B; B^c \in \tau)$  and int<sup>N</sup>(D) =  $(B \subseteq D; B \in \tau)$ , respectively. The major goal of this work is to demonstrate and investigate new kinds of (NS), such as neutrosophic generalized preregular closed set, neutrosophic regular generalized  $\alpha$  – closed set neutrosophic generalized b – closed set, neutrosophic generalized  $\alpha$  – closed set, neutrosophic regular generalized semi pre – closed set, neutrosophic generalized  $\alpha b$  – closed set, neutrosophic regular generalized b – closed set, neutrosophic generalized b – closed set, neutrosophic regular generalized b – closed set, neutrosophic semi generalized b – closed set. in neutrosophic topological spaces.

International Conference on Mathematical and Statistical Physics, Computational Science, Education, and Communication (ICMSCE 2022), edited by Lazim Abdullah, Norma bt Alias, Proc. of SPIE Vol. 12616, 1261605 · © 2023 SPIE · 0277-786X · doi: 10.1117/12.2675017

<sup>\*</sup>shuker.alsalem@gmail.com

## 2. PRELIMINARY

This section's core definitions are based on the research works in <sup>5,35-39</sup>.

#### **Definition 2.1:**

Let  $\Psi \neq \emptyset, E = \{\langle c, \gamma_E(c), \rho_E(c), r_E(c) \rangle : c \in \Psi\}$  and  $M = \{\langle c, \gamma_M(c), \rho_M(c), r_M(c) \rangle : c \in \Psi\}$  be neutrosophic sets (NSs), Then; (1)  $M^c = \{\langle c, r_M(c), 1 - \rho_M(c), \gamma_M(c) \rangle : c \in \Psi\}$ , (2) $M \subseteq E$  iff  $\gamma_M(c) \leq \gamma_E(c), \rho_M(c) \geq \rho_E(c)$  and  $r_M(c) \geq r_E(c)$ , (3)  $M \cup E = \{\langle c, \max\{\gamma_M(c), \gamma_E(c)\}, \min\{\rho_M(c), \rho_E(c)\} : c \in \Psi\}$ , (4)  $M \cap E = \{\langle c, \min\{\gamma_M(c), \gamma_E(c)\}, \max\{\rho_M(c), \rho_E(c)\}, \max\{r_M(c), r_E(c)\} : c \in \Psi\}$ .

**Definition 2.2**: Assume that  $\tau = \{t_j | j \in \Delta\}$  is a collection of neutrosophic sets (NSs) of  $\Psi$ . then  $(\Psi, \tau)$  is a neutrosophic topological space (NTS) if  $\tau$  satisfies:

(1)  $t_m \cap t_k \in \tau, \forall t_m, t_k \in \tau$ , (2)  $0_N = \{\langle \varepsilon, (0,1,1) \rangle : \varepsilon \in \Psi\} \in \tau, \& 1_N = \{\langle \varepsilon, (1,0,0) \rangle : \varepsilon \in \Psi\} \in \tau$ . (3)  $\bigcup_{j \in \nabla} t_j \in \tau$ , for any  $\nabla \subseteq \Delta$ . Also, if  $t_j \in \tau$ , we have  $t_j$  is called a neutrosophic open set (NOS), and  $t_j^c$  is called neutrosophic closed set (NCS).

### 3. NEW CLASSES OF NEUTROSOPHIC CLOSED SETS

First, a variation of neutrosophic generalized closed sets will be defined.

**Definition 3.1.** Suppose that  $(\Psi, \tau)$  is a (NTS). A (NS) D of  $\Psi$  is called a neutrosophic generalized preregular closed

set [briefly, (N*gpr*CS)] if  $pcl^N D \subseteq C$ , whenever  $D \subseteq C$  and  $C \in NRO(\Psi, \tau)$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Suppose that  $(\Psi, \tau)$  is a (NTS). A (NS) D of  $\Psi$  is called a neutrosophic regular generalized  $\alpha$  – closed set [briefly,  $(Nrg\alpha CS)$ ] if  $\alpha cl^N D \subseteq C$ , whenever  $D \subseteq C$  and  $C \in NRO(\Psi, \tau)$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Suppose that  $(\Psi, \tau)$  is a (NTS). A (NS) D of  $\Psi$  is called neutrosophic generalized b – closed [briefly, (NgbCS)] if  $bcl^N D \subseteq C$ , whenever  $D \subseteq C$  and  $C \in \tau$ .

**Definition 3.4.** Suppose that  $(\Psi, \tau)$  is a (NTS). A (NS) D of  $\Psi$  is called neutrosophic generalized  $\alpha$  – closed set [briefly,  $(Ng\alpha CS)$ ] if  $\alpha cl^N D \subseteq C$ , whenever  $D \subseteq C$  and  $C \in \tau^{\alpha}$ .

**Definition 3.5.** Suppose that  $(\Psi, \tau)$  is a (NTS). A (NS) *D* of  $\Psi$  is called neutrosophic generalized semi pre – closed set

[briefly, (NgspCS)] if  $spcl^N D \subseteq C$ , whenever  $D \subseteq C$  and  $C \in \tau$ .

**Definition 3.6.** Suppose that  $(\Psi, \tau)$  is a (NTS). A (NS) D of  $\Psi$  is called neutrosophic generalized  $\alpha b$  – closed set [briefly,  $(Ng\alpha bCS)$ ] if  $bcl^N D \subseteq C$ , whenever  $D \subseteq C$  and  $C \in \tau^{\alpha}$ .

**Definition 3.7** Suppose that  $(\Psi, \tau)$  is a (NTS). A (NS) *D* is called neutrosophic regular generalized *b* – closed [briefly, (NrgbCS)] in  $\Psi$  if and only if  $bcl^N D \subseteq C$ , whenever  $D \subseteq C$  and  $C \in NRO(\Psi, \tau)$ .

**Definition 3.8.** Suppose that  $(\Psi, \tau)$  is a (NTS). A (NS) D of  $\Psi$  is called neutrosophic semi generalized b – closed set [briefly, (NsgbCS)] if  $bcl^N D \subseteq C$  whenever  $D \subseteq C$  and  $C \in NSO(\Psi, \tau)$ .

**Example 3.9:** Assume that  $\Psi = \{\alpha, \beta, \sigma\}$  and K, H are (NSs), where  $K = \{\langle \alpha, (1,0,1) \rangle, \langle \beta, (0,1,1) \rangle, \langle \sigma, (1,1,0) \rangle\}$  &  $H = \{\langle \alpha, (1,1,1) \rangle, \langle \beta, (1,0,0) \rangle, \langle \sigma, (0,0,1) \rangle$ . Now, assume that  $t = \{1_N, 0_N, K, H\}$ , thus  $(\Psi, \tau)$  is a (NTS) and H is a (NS) in

 $\Psi$  such that  $\alpha cl^{N} H = Pcl^{N} H = bcl^{N} H = Scl^{N} H = H$ . Hence H is a (NrgbCS), (Nrg $\alpha$ CS), (NgprCS), (NgbCS), (Ng $\alpha$ CS), and (NsgbCS) in  $\Psi$ .

**Proposition 3.10**. Let *T* be (NCS), then *T* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proof.** Suppose that T is a (NCS) in (NTS)  $\Psi$  satisfies  $T \subseteq F$ , where  $F \in \text{NRO}(\Psi, \tau)$ . Since T is (NCS), thus  $cl^N T = T$ . However  $bcl^N D \subseteq cl^N D$ , where D is any in  $\Psi$ . Hence  $bcl^N T \subseteq F$ . Then T is (NrgbCS) in  $\Psi$ .

**Proposition 3.11**. Let *T* be (*NbCS*), then *T* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proof.** Suppose that *D* is a (*NbCS*) in (NTS)  $\Psi$  with  $D \subseteq C$ . where  $C \in NRO(\Psi, \tau)$ . Since *D* is (*NbCS*), hence  $bcl^N D = D$ . Then  $bcl^N D \subseteq C$ . Therefore *D* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proposition3.12** Let *T* be (*N* $\alpha$ *CS*), then *T* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proof** Suppose that *K* is a (*N* $\alpha$ *CS*) in  $\Psi$  and *B* is a (*NROS*) containing *K*. Since *K* is (*N* $\alpha$ *CS*), thus  $\alpha cl^N K = K$ . However  $bcl^N D \subseteq \alpha cl^N D$ , where *D* is any (NS) in  $\Psi$ . So,  $bcl^N K \subseteq B$ . Then *K* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proposition 3.13.** Let *T* be (*NSCS*), then *T* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proof.** Suppose that K is a (*NSCS*) in  $\Psi$  and B is a (*NROS*) containing K. Since K is (*NSCS*), thus  $Scl^N K = K$ . However  $cl^N D \subseteq Scl^N D$ , where D is any (NS) in  $\Psi$ . Thus  $bcl^N K \subseteq B$ . Then K is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proposition 3.14.** Let *T* be (*NPCS*), then *T* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proof.** Suppose that K is a (NPCS) in  $\Psi$  and B is a (NROS) containing K. Since K is (NPCS), thus  $Pcl^N K = K$ . However  $cl^N D \subseteq Pcl^N D$ , where D is any (NS) in  $\Psi$ . So,  $bcl^N K \subseteq B$ . Then K is (NrgbCS).

**Proposition 3.15.** Let T be (NgCS), then T is (NrgbCS).

**Proof.** Suppose that *H* is a (*NgCS*) in  $\Psi$  and *C* is a (*NROS*) containing *H*. Also, any (*NROS*) is (NOS) and *H* is (*NgCS*), so  $cl^{N}H \subseteq C$ . However  $cl^{N}D \subseteq cl^{N}D$ , for any (NS) *D* in  $\Psi$ . So,  $bcl^{N}H \subseteq C$ . Then *H* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proposition 3.16.** Let *T* be (*NpgCS*), then *T* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proof.** Suppose that *H* is a (*NpgCS*) in  $\Psi$  and *C* is a (*NROS*) containing *H*. Also, any (*NROS*) is (*NPOS*) and *H* is (*NpgCS*), so  $Pcl^{N} H \subseteq C$ . However  $bcl^{N} D \subseteq Pcl^{N}D$ , for any (NS) D in  $\Psi$ , thus  $bcl^{N} H \subseteq C$ . Then H is(*NrgbCS*).

**Proposition 3.17.** Let *T* be (*NgbCS*), then *T* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proof.** Suppose that *H* is a (*NgbCS*) in  $\Psi$  and *C* is a (*NROS*) containing *H*. Also, any (*NROS*) is (NOS), and *H* is (*NgbCS*), so  $bcl^N H \subseteq C$ . Then *H* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proposition 3.18.** Let *T* be (*NgspCS*), then *T* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proof.** Suppose that *H* is a (NgspCS) in  $\Psi$  and *C* is a (NROS) containing *H*. Also, any (NROS) is (NOS) and *H* is (NgspCS), so  $SPcl^{N} H \subseteq C$ . However  $SPcl^{N} H = H \cup int^{N}(cl^{N}(int^{N}H))$ , thus  $H \cup int^{N}(cl^{N}(int^{N}H)) \subseteq C$ . Also,  $int^{N}(cl^{N}(int^{N}H)) \subseteq cl^{N}(int^{N}H) \cap int^{N}(cl^{N}H) \subseteq int^{N}(cl^{N}H)$ . However,  $SPcl^{N} D \subseteq bcl^{N} D \subseteq scl^{N}D$ , where *D* is any (NS) in  $\Psi$ . Since  $C \in NRO(\Psi, \tau)$  and  $H \subseteq C$ , so  $int^{N}(cl^{N}C) = C$  and  $Scl^{N} H \subseteq Scl^{N} C$ . Further, we get  $H \cup int^{N}(cl^{N}H) = Scl^{N}H \subseteq Scl^{N}C = C \cup int^{N}(cl^{N}K(C)) = C$ , thus  $bcl^{N}H \subseteq C$ . Then *K* is (NrgbCS).

**Proposition 3.19.** Let *T* be  $(Ng\alpha CS)$ , then *T* is (NrgbCS).

**Proof.** Suppose that *K* is a (*Ng* $\alpha$ *CS*) in  $\Psi$  and *B* is a (*NROS*) containing *K*. Also, any (*NROS*) is (*N* $\alpha$ *OS*), and *K* is (*Ng* $\alpha$ *CS*), so  $\alpha cl^{N}K \subseteq B$ . However  $bcl^{N}D \subseteq \alpha cl^{N}D$ , for any (NS) *D* in  $\Psi$ , thus  $bcl^{N}K \subseteq B$ . Then *K* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proposition 3.20.** Let *T* be (*NgαbCS*), then *T* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proof.** Suppose that K is a  $(Ng\alpha bCS)$  in  $\Psi$  and B is a (NROS) containing K. Also, any (NROS) is  $(N\alpha OS)$  and K is  $(Ng\alpha bCS)$ , so  $bcl^N K \subseteq B$ . Then K is (NrgbCS).

**Proposition 3.21.** Let *T* be (*NsbgCS*), then *T* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proof.** Suppose that K is a (*NsbgCS*) in  $\Psi$  and B is a (*NROS*) containing K. Also, any (*NROS*) is (*NSOS*) and K is (*NsgbCS*), so  $bcl^N K \subseteq B$ . Then K is (*NrgbCS*).

Remark 3.22 From Example (3.23), we show that the opposite of the above Propositions is not necessary hold.

**Example 3.23.** Assume that  $\Psi = \{\alpha, \beta, \sigma\}$  and *K*, *H* are (NSs), where  $K = \{\langle \alpha, (1,0.8,0.2) \rangle, \langle \beta, (0.5,0,0.4) \rangle, \langle \sigma, (0.7,0.2,0.5) \rangle\}$ , and  $H = \{\langle \alpha, (0.4,0.9,0.6) \rangle, \langle \beta, (0.3,0.1,0.7) \rangle, \langle \sigma, (0.5,0.4,1) \rangle\}$ . Now, assume that  $t = \{1_N, 0_N, K, H\}$ , so  $(\Psi, \tau)$  is a (NTS). Then  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  and  $S_4$  are all (NCSs) over  $\Psi$  can be found as the form:

 $S_1 = \{ \langle \alpha, (1,0,0) \rangle, \langle \beta, (1,0,0) \rangle, \langle \sigma, (1,0,0) \rangle,$ 

 $S_2 = \{ \langle \alpha, (0,1,1) \rangle, \langle \beta, (0,1,1) \rangle, \langle \sigma, (0,1,1) \rangle \},$ 

 $S_3 = \{ \langle \alpha, (0.6, 0.1, 0.4) \rangle, \langle \beta, (0.7, 0.9, 0.3) \rangle, \langle \sigma, (1, 0.6, 0.5) \rangle \},$ 

 $S_4 = \{ \langle \alpha, (0.2, 0.2, 1) \rangle, \langle \beta, (0.4, 1, 0.5) \rangle, \langle \sigma, (0.5, 0.8, 0.7) \rangle \}.$ 

Clearly for the (NS)  $H, H \subseteq H, H \in \tau \subseteq \tau^{\alpha} \subseteq NSO(\Psi, \tau)$  and  $H \in \tau \subseteq NSPO(\Psi, \tau)$ . However  $cl^{N}(H) = bcl^{N}(H) = SPcl^{N}(H) = pcl^{N}(H) = \alpha cl^{N}(H) = S_{1} \not\subset H$ . Moreover,  $NRO(\Psi, \tau) = \{\emptyset, M_{1}\}$ . Thus H is (NrgbCS). However it is not (NgbCS), (NgapCS), (NgabCS), (NgabCS), (NgcS), and (NpgCS).

**Proposition 3.24.** Let *T* be (*NrgCS*), then *T* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proof.** Suppose that *K* is a (*NrgCS*) in  $\Psi$  and *B* is a (*NROS*) containing *K*. Since *K* is (*NrgCS*) and  $B \in NRO(\Psi, \tau)$ , so  $cl^N K \subseteq B$ . However  $cl^N D \subseteq cl^N D$ , for each (NS) D in  $\Psi$ , so  $bcl^N K \subseteq B$ . Then *K* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proposition 3.25.** Let *T* be (*NgprCS*), then *T* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proof.** Suppose that *T* is a (*NgprCS*) in  $\Psi$  and *C* is a (*NROS*) containing *T*. Since  $C \in NRO(\Psi, \tau)$  and *T* is (*NgprCS*), so  $Pcl^{N}T \subseteq C$ . However  $bcl^{N}D \subseteq Pcl^{N}D$ , where *D* is any (NS) in  $\Psi$ . Thus  $bcl^{N}T \subseteq C$ . Then *T* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Proposition 3.26.** Let *T* be  $(Nrg\alpha CS)$ , then *T* is (NrgbCS).

**Proof.** Suppose that *T* is a (*NrgaCS*) in  $\Psi$  and *C* is a (*NROS*) containing *T*. Since *T* is (*NrgaCS*) and  $C \in NRO(\Psi, \tau)$ , then  $\alpha cl^N T \subseteq C$ . However  $cl^N D \subseteq \alpha cl^N D$ , for any (NS) *D* in  $\Psi$ , thus  $bcl^N T \subseteq C$ . Hence *T* is (*NrgbCS*).

**Remark 3.27.** The opposite of Propositions [(3.24), (3.25), and (3.26)] might not be true.

**Example 3.28.** Assume that  $\Psi = \{\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \vartheta\}$  and K, T, D are (NSs), where  $K = \{\langle \alpha, (0.4, 0.2, 1) \rangle, \langle \beta, (0, 1, 0.6) \rangle, \langle \beta, (0, 1, 0.6) \rangle\}$ 

 $\langle \sigma, (0.8,1,1) \rangle, \langle \vartheta, (0,0.4,0.7) \rangle \}, T = \{ \langle \alpha, (0,1,0.9) \rangle, \}$ 

 $\langle \beta, (0.7, 0.2, 1) \rangle \{, \langle \sigma, (0, 0.5, 0.9) \rangle, \langle \vartheta, (0.6, 1, 1) \rangle \}, \text{ and }$ 

 $D = \{ \langle \alpha, (0,4,0.2,0.9), \langle \beta, (0.7,0.2,0.6) \rangle, \langle \sigma, (0.8,0.5,0.9) \rangle, \}$ 

 $\langle \vartheta, (0.6, 0.4, 0.7) \rangle$ }. Now, assume that t={1<sub>N</sub>, 0<sub>N</sub>, K, H, D}, so ( $\Psi, \tau$ ) is a (NTS). Then S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub>, S<sub>4</sub> and S<sub>5</sub> are all (NCSs) in  $\Psi$  can be found as the form:

 $S_1 = \{ \langle \alpha, (1,0,0) \rangle, \langle \beta, (1,0,0) \rangle, \langle \sigma, (1,0,0) \rangle, \langle \vartheta, (1,0,0) \rangle \} = 1_N,$ 

 $S_2 = \{ \langle \alpha, (0,1,1) \rangle, \langle \beta, (0,1,1) \rangle, \langle \sigma, (0,1,1) \rangle, \langle \vartheta, (0,1,1) \rangle \} = 0_N,$ 

 $S_3 = \{ \langle \alpha, (1,0.8,0.4) \rangle, \ \langle \beta, (0.6,0,0) \rangle, \ \langle \sigma, (1,0,0.8) \rangle, \ \langle \vartheta, (0.7,0.6,0) \rangle \},$ 

 $S_4 = \{ \langle \alpha, (0.9,0,0) \rangle, \langle \beta, (1,0.8,0.7) \rangle, \langle \sigma, (0.9,0.5,0) \rangle, \langle \vartheta, (1,0,0.6) \rangle \},$ 

 $S_5 = \{ \langle \alpha, (0.9, 0.8, 0.4) \rangle, \ \langle \beta, (0.6, 0.8, 0.7) \rangle, \ \langle \sigma, (0.9, 0.5, 0.8) \rangle, \ \langle \vartheta, (0.7, 0.6, 0.6) \rangle \}.$ 

Clearly for the (NS)  $T, T \subseteq T, T \in NRO(\Psi, \tau)$ . However  $cl^{N}(T) = Pcl^{N}(T) = \alpha cl^{N}(H) = S_{3} \not\subset T$ . Also, there are only each of  $S_{2}$  and T is (*NrOS*) and containing H. Furthermore,  $bcl^{N}(T) = T \subseteq S_{2}$ ,  $bcl^{N}(T) = T \subseteq T$ . Then T is (*NrgbCS*). However it is not (*NgprCS*) or (*NrgCS*).

**Proposition 3.29.** Assume that *D* is a (*NrgbCS*) of a (NTS) ( $\Psi, \tau$ ). Then there is no (*NRCS*)  $C \neq \emptyset$  such that  $C \subseteq bcl^N D - D$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $C \in NRC(\Psi, \tau)$  such that  $C \subseteq bcl^N D - D$ . Also, any (*NRCS*) is (*NCS*). So  $\Psi - C$  is (*NOS*),  $D \subseteq \Psi - C$  and D is (*NrgbCS*), it follows that  $bcl^N D \subseteq \Psi - C$  and thus  $C \subseteq \Psi - bcl^N D$ . Therefore,  $C \subseteq (\Psi - bcl^N D) \cap (bcl^N D - D) = \emptyset$ .

**Corollary 3.30.** Suppose that D is a (NrgbCS). Then D is (NbCS) if and only if  $bcl^N D - D$  is (NRCS).

**Proof.** Assume that *D* is a (*NrgbCS*). If *D* is (*NbCS*), so we have  $bcl^N D - D = \emptyset$  which is (*NRCS*). Conversely, assume that  $bcl^N D - D$  is (*NRCS*). Hence, from Proposition (3.29),  $bcl^N D - D$  does not contain any non-empty (*NRCS*) and since  $bcl^N D - D$  is (*NRCS*) and subset of itself, so  $bcl^N D - D = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $D = bcl^N D$  and so *D* is (*NbCS*).

# 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This paper analyses several forms of neutrosophic closed sets (NTS) and their relationships, which can be explained by Fig (1), which is based on all premises given in this work:



Figure (1): The interrelationships between some types of (NS)

## **4. CONCLUSION**

This work investigates several kinds of neutrosophic closed sets (NTS). Furthermore, their relationships are discussed. We can use neutrosophic maps in the future to investigate the extensions of our classes in the setting of a neutrosophic image.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Smarandache, F.," Neutrosophic Set, a Generalization of the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets," International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 24, 287-297, (2005).
- [2] Zadeh, L. A., "Fuzzy sets," Information and control, 8, 338-353, (1965).
- [3] Atanassov, K. T., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, "Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20, 87-96, (1986).
- [4] Salama, A. A., Alblowi, S. A., "Neutrosophic set and Neutrosophic topological spaces," IOSR Jour. of Mathematics, 3(4), 31-35, (2012).
- [5] Rao, V.V., Srinivasa, Y., "Neutrosophic Pre-open Sets and Pre-closed Sets in Neutrosophic Topology," International Journal of ChemTech Research, 10(10), 449-458, (2017).
- [6] Ebenanjar, P. E., Immaculate, H. J., Wilfred, C. B., "On Neutrosophic b-open sets in Neutrosophic topological space," Journal of Physics: Conf. Series, 1139 (2018) 012062.
- [7] Maheswari, C., Chandrasekar, S., "Neutrosophic bg-closed Sets and its Continuity," Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 36(1), 108-120, (2020).
- [8] Khalil, S. M., Abdul-Ghani, S. A., "Soft M-ideals and soft S-ideals in soft S-algebras," IOP Conf. Series: J. Phys., 1234 (2019), 012100.
- [9] Khalil, S. M., Hameed, F., "Applications on cyclic soft symmetric," IOP Conf. Series: J. Phys., 1530 (2020), 012046.
- [10] Hasan, M. A., Khalil, S. M., Abbas, N. M. A., "Characteristics of the soft-(1, 2)-gprw-closed sets in soft bitopological spaces," Conf., IT-ELA 2020, 9253110, 103–108, (2020).
- [11] Khalil, S., Suleiman, E. and Ali Abbas, N. M.,"New Technical to Generate Permutation Measurable Spaces," 2021 1st Babylon International Conference on Information Technology and Science (BICITS), 160-163, (2021). doi: 10.1109/BICITS51482.2021.9509892.
- [12] Abbas, N. M. A., Khalil, S. M., Hamza, A. A., "On α<sup>\*</sup> –Continuous and Contra α<sup>\*</sup> –Continuous Mappings in Topological Spaces with Soft Setting,"Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl., 12, 1107–1113, (2021).
- [13] Hasan, M. A., Ali Abbas, N. M., Khalil, S. M."On Soft α<sup>\*</sup> –Open Sets and Soft Contra α<sup>\*</sup> –Continuous Mappings in Soft Topological Spaces," J. Interdiscip. Math, 24, 729–734, (2021).
- [14] Khalil, S. M., "Dissimilarity Fuzzy Soft Points and their Applications," Fuzzy Inf. Eng., " 8, 281–294, 2016.
- [15] Khalil, S. M., Ulrazaq, M., Abdul-Ghani, S., Al-Musawi, A. F., "σ-Algebra and σ-Baire in Fuzzy Soft Setting,"Adv. Fuzzy Syst., 2018, 10. Article ID 5731682. doi:10.1155/2018/5731682.
- [16] Abdul-Ghani, S. A., Khalil, S. M., Abd Ulrazaq, M., Al-Musawi, A. F., "New Branch of Intuitionistic
- Fuzzification in Algebras with Their Applications,"Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 2018, 6. Article ID 5712676.
- doi: 10.1155/2018/5712676
- [17] Khalil, S., Hassan, A., "Applications of fuzzy soft ρ –ideals in ρ –algebras," Fuzzy Inf. Eng., 10, 467–475, (2018).
- [18] Mahmood, S., Abbas, N.M,"On Nano with Their Applications in Medical Field," in AIP Conference Proceedings, 2290 (2020), 040002. doi: <u>10.1063/5.0027374</u>
- [19] Khalil, S. Hameed, F., "An algorithm for generating permutations in symmetric groups using soft spaces with general study and basic properties of permutations spaces," J. Theor. Appl. Inf. Technol., 96, 2445–2457, (2018).
- [20] Torki, M. M., Khalil, S., "New Types of Finite Groups and Generated Algorithm to Determine the Integer Factorization by Excel" in AIP Conference Proceedings, 2290 (2020), 040020.
- [21] Khalil, S., M., Rajah, A., "Solving Class Equation  $x^d = \beta$  in an Alternating Group for all  $n \in \theta \& \beta \in H_n \cap C^{\alpha}$ , "Arab J. Basic Appl. Sci., 16, 38–45, (2014).
- [22] Khalil, S., Hassan, A., Alaskar, H., Khan, W., Hussain A., "Fuzzy Logical Algebra and Study of the Effectiveness of Medications for COVID-19," Mathematics, 9(22), 28-38, (2021).

- [23] Khalil, S. M., Abbas, N. M., "Applications on New Category of the Symmetric Groups," in AIP Conference Proceedings, 2290 (2020), 040004. doi: <u>10.1063/5.0027380</u>
- [24] Khalil, S. M., Rajah, A., "Solving the Class Equation  $x^d = \beta$  in an Alternating Group for each  $\beta \in H \cap C^{\alpha}$  and  $n \notin \theta$ ," Arab J. Basic Appl. Sci., 10, 42–50, (2011).
- [25] Khalil, S. M. Suleiman, E., Torki, M. M., "Generated New Classes of Permutation I/B-Algebras, J. Discrete Math. Sci. Cryptogr., 25(1), 31-40, (2022).
- [26] Saied, S. M., Khalil, S. M., " Gamma ideal extension in gamma systems, Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography, 24(6), 1675-1681, (2021).
- [27] Mahmood, S., Hassan, A., "The Characterizations of  $\delta$ —Algebras with their Ideals," Journal of Physics: Conference Series, **1999** (2021) 012108. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1999/1/012108.
- [28] Fakher, H. T., Mahmood, S., "The Cubic Dihedral Permutation Groups of Order 4k," ECS Transactions,
- 107(1), 3179, (2022). doi:10.1149/10701.3179ecst
- [29] Mahmood, S., "Decision making using algebraic operations on soft effect matrix as new category of similarity measures and study their application in medical diagnosis problems," Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems, 37, 1865-1877, (2019). doi:10.3233/JIFS-179249
- [30] Khalil, S., Hameed, F., "An algorithm for generating permutation algebras using soft spaces," Journal of Taibah University for Science, 12(3), 299-308, (2018).
- [31] Jaber, A., Mahmood, S, "New Category of Equivalence Classes of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Delta-Algebras with
- Their Applications," Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies, 302, 651–663, (2022).
- doi:10.1007/978-98119-2541-2\_54
- [32] Khalil, S., Hameed, F., "Applications of Fuzzy ρ –Ideals in ρ –Algebras," Soft Computing, 24(18),13997-14004, (2020). doi: 10.1007/s00500-020-04773-3
- [33] Mahmood, S., "Decision Making Using New Category of Similarity Measures and Study Their Applications in Medical Diagnosis Problems," Afrika Matematika, 32 (5-6), 865-878, (2021). doi: 10.1007/s13370-020-00866-2
- [34] Khalil, S., "New category of the fuzzy d-algebras," Journal of Taibah University for Science, 12(2), 143-149, (2018).
- [39] Ali Abbas, N. M., Mahmood, S., " On new classes of neutrosophic continuous and contra mappings in neutrosophic topological spaces," IJNAA, 12(1), 718-725, (2021). doi: <u>10.22075/IJNAA.2021.4910</u>
- [36] Nivetha, A. R., Vigneshwaran, M., Abbas, N. M., Mahmood, S., "On N<sub>\*gα</sub>- continuous in topological spaces of neutrosophy, "Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, 24(3), 677-685, (2021). doi:10.1080/09720502.2020.1860288
- [37] Damodharan, K., Vigneshwaran, M, and Khalil, S., " $N_{\delta*g\alpha}$  –Continuous and Irresolute Functions in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces," Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 38, no. 1, 439-452, (2020).
- [38] Abbas, N., Mahmood, S., Vigneshwaran, M., " The Neutrosophic Strongly Open Maps in Neutrosophic Bi-Topological Spaces, " Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, 24(3), 667-675, (2021). doi: 10.1080/09720502.2020.1860287
- [39] Imran, Q H, Smarandache, F, Al-Hamido, R.K, Dhavaseelan, R, " On Neutrosophic semi alpha open sets," Neutrosophic sets and systems, 18, 37-42, (2018).