Alkaloid Extract of Laurus Nobilis Leaves as Anti-oxidant and Corrosion Inhibitor for a Fe Alloy in an HCl Environment

Arwa H. M. AL-Saeed, Hadi Z. Al-Sawaad* and Esraa A. AL-Alyauie

University of Basrah, College of Science, Department of Chemistry *Corresponding author: hadiziara@yahoo.com

> Received 26/11/2021; accepted 20/03/2022 https://doi.org/10.4152/pea.2023410401

Abstract

In this study, an alkaloid was extracted from LN leaves. The AE was firstly evaluated as AO, by using a DPPH solution, and comparing it with a BHT standard solution. The AE, at 200 mg/mL, showed high efficiency as AO (93%). Furthermore, it was studied as a CI for an Fe alloy in HCl, at 25 °C, with different C (1 to 5 ppm). The AE depicted the highest IE(%) of 92.42%, at 5 ppm. T effect on IE(%), for optimal C (5 ppm), was studied at 35, 45 and 55 ºC. The results revealed that the IE(%) decreased with higher T. Additionally, kinetic parameters, such as E_a^* , ΔH^* and ΔS^* , were calculated.

Keywords: AE, LN, AO, corrosion inhibitors and DPPH.

Introduction

LN, an evergreen shrub (Lauraceae family), is native to the south Europe and Mediterranean area, where it is widely cultivated [1]. Its leaves contain many active compounds, e.g. alkaloids, which are a large group of Ni-containing Pc, with a wide range of biological and pharmacological benefits. These metabolites are also found in other organisms, such as marine, fungi and vertebrates [2]. Alkaloids can be used as natural AO [3] and CI [4]. CI are one of the effective methods used to protect metals from oxidization. There are several inorganic and organic compounds used as CI for metals or alloys in acidic media [4]. Corrosion is probably the most common undesired phenomenon that weakens metals or alloys, through electrochemical interactions between them and corrosive media, generating sulfides, oxides and other products [5]. Some researchers have reviewed the work on the use of plant sources as natural products to protect metals or alloys against corrosion [6, 7].

Experimental parts Plant material

-

LN leaves from local markets were well washed with water, dried at room T (25 °C), grinded to soft powder by an electric mill, and saved in a dry bowl.

 The abbreviations and symbols definitions lists are in pages 270-271.

Flavonoid extracts preparation

30 g LN leaves powder were extracted by n-hexane with a Soxhlet, for degreasing them. The defatted powder was then dried at 25 ºC, and 50 g of it were dissolved by 250 mL acidified ethanol (10% acetic acid), with continuous stirring, for 4 h, at 25 ºC. The mixture was filtered, and concentrated by a rotary evaporator, at 50 °C. Drops of concentrated ammonia were added to the filtrate, until the solution became basic, and then it was filtered again. The filtered product was extracted by a separating funnel. Then, 25 mL chloroform were added, and the organic layer was isolated. This process was repeated for 3 times, and then the organic layer was dried at 25 °C, whereby a dry substance with 4.29 g was obtained [8].

Pc analysis

Chemical tests were carried out on the AE, by using the standard procedure for identifying the constituents, through characteristic color changes, as described by [9-15].

AO activity

The AE AO activity was measured according to the method described in [16].

WE preparation

Strips of a Fe alloy were used with the following dimensions: 2 x 2.55 x 0.35 cm (total area of 12.4925 cm²). They were grained by different grades of silicon carbide paper (80, 120, 200, 400 and 600). Then, they were washed with distilled water, ethanol and acetone, dried, stored in a dry place at room T, and placed in desiccators with silica gel, for protection from moisture [17].

Sample composition

The alloy constituents are summarized in Table 1.

Electrochemical cell

The electrochemical cell for the corrosion test used in the present study was a 100 mL vessel connected with Pt as CE, Fe alloy specimen as WE and SC as RE.

PDP studies (Tafel plots)

This method was employed to measure I_{corr} , corrosion resistance, CR, β_a and β_c , by plotting I vs. E, setting up OCP, for 20 min. The polarization curve was acquired by scanning in the E range from -250 to $+250$ mV (vs. OCP), using a computer to control a potentiostat/galvanostat, at a SR of 10 mV/s^{-1} .

Solutions preparation

The prepared solutions were individually synthesized with different C (from 1 to 5 ppm), at 25° C. Then, the optimal C was studied at 308, 318 and 328 °C), in 0.1 M HCl.

Results and discussion

Pc analysis

Pc screening for AE revealed the presence of alkaloids, phenols and amino acids, and showed the absence of flavonoids, terpenes, sterols, carbohydrates, tannins and saponins, as summarized in Table 2. The study by [18] stated that LN leaves contain alkaloids compounds.

AO activity

AO study revealed that the AE was more effective than the BHT solution (Table 3).

The results of the studied AE showed that, as its C increased, AO efficiency raised, and this agreed with the prior study [19]. Alkaloid compounds have an AO effect on many chronic diseases caused by oxidative stress, which inhibits enzymes or minerals produced for free radicals, or stimulates AO enzymes [20].

Corrosion study

Table 4 and Fig. 1 show electrochemical data obtained by Tafel plots for the Fe alloy surface corrosion inhibition by different LN AE C, at 25 ºC, in HCl, relative to the blank solution.

Table 4. Electrochemical data for Fe alloy surface corrosion inhibition by AE different C, at 25 ºC, in HCl, relative to the blank solution.

Medium		E_{corr}	ß,	ß.	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{p}}$	Lcorr	CR	$IE(\%)$
	ppm	mV	$mV/decade^{-1}$	mV/decade ⁻¹	Ω /cm ²	$\mu A/cm^2$	mpy	
HC ₁	\blacksquare	-0.86	125.89	373.6	270.9	6643.41	3075.9	
AE		-0.65	120.3	165.9	125.9	1428.94	661.6	78.46
		-0.56	108.2	157.3	140.6	1280.13	592.7	80.70
		-0.56	99.9	309.2	165.2	1089.63	504.5	83.60
	4	-0.56	86.36	282.5	187.4	960.26	444.6	85.54
		-0.53	71.6	196.8	357.4	503.67	233.2	92.42

Figure 1. Tafel plots for Fe alloy, with different AE C, at 25 °C.

Table 4 and Fig. 1 depict electrochemical data obtained from Tafel plots at several C of AE as inhibitor for Fe alloy corrosion in 0.1 M HCl. Generally, both AE IE(%) and the metal alloy surface R_p were higher, but I_{corr} and CR decreased, as the inhibitor C was increased. E_{corr} reveals a mixed inhibition behavior [21], while β_a and β_c values refer to simple blocking sites mechanisms [22, 23].

T effect on the corrosion reaction

T effect on the corrosion reaction without and with the AE, at its optimal C, was studied at 25, 35, 45 and 55 ºC. Table 5 and Fig. 2 show the data that were acquired at this study.

Figure 2. Tafel plots for five AE C, at different T, relative to the blank solution.

Medium	ppm	$\rm ^{o}C$	${\bf E_{corr}}$ mV	ß, mV/decade ⁻¹	β_c mV/decade ⁻¹	R_{p} Ω /cm ²	$_{\rm{Lorr}}$ $\mu A/cm^2$	CR mpy	$IE(\%)$
HC ₁		25	$\overline{}$	125.89	373.6	270.9	6643.41	3075.9	
		35	\overline{a}	116.66	667.01	55.46	3245.79	1502.08	
		45	$\overline{}$	179.49	261.26	41.31	4380.13	2028	
		55	$\overline{}$	140.83	338.69	38.22	4709.29	2180.4	
AE		25	$\overline{}$	71.6	196.8	35.74	503.67	233.2	92.42
		35	$\overline{}$	94.108	236.6	119.3	1509.07	698.7	53.51
		45	$\overline{}$	58.633	95.26	696.8	1593.52	737.8	63.62
		55	۰	70.33	225.23	1804.7	997.41	461.8	78.82

Table 5. Electrochemical data for Fe alloy surface corrosion with different AE C, at different T, relative to the blank solution.

According to Table 5 and Fig. 2, when T was raised from 25 to 55 \degree C, I_{corr} and CR values were increased, while R_p was reduced without the AE. This was due to the increase in the kinetic energy caused by the corrosive molecules transfer towards the Fe alloy surface. When the AE was present, both I_{corr} and CR were raised with higher T, but both R_p and IE(%) decreased, because the inhibitor film adsorbed onto the Fe alloy surface was destroyed, which made the metal easily subjected to the corrosive molecules. To better understand the corrosion reaction behavior without and with the AE, kinetic parameters, such as E_a^* , were calculated according to the Arrhenius equation [24-26]:

$$
\ln(CR) = \ln A - \frac{E_a^*}{RT}
$$
 (1)

where A is Arrhenius factor (s^{-1}) and R is the universal gas constant $(8.314 \text{ K}^{-1}/\text{mol}^{-1})$. By plotting the above relationship, i.e., ln (CR) against $(1/T)$, E^* _a was calculated as in Figs. 3 and 4.

Figure 3. E_a^* calculation for the Fe alloy corrosion reaction without inhibitor.

Figure 4. E_a^* calculation for the Fe alloy corrosion reaction with inhibitor.

Other kinetic parameters, such as ΔH^* and ΔS^* , were calculated according to the following equation [27]:

$$
\ln\left(\frac{CR}{T}\right) = \left[\ln\left(\frac{R}{Nh}\right) + \frac{\Delta S^*}{R}\right] - \frac{\Delta H^*}{RT}
$$
\n(2)

where n is Avogadro's number $(6.023 \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1})$ and h is the Plank's constant, which is equal to 6.625 x 10⁻³⁴ J/s. By plotting $\ln\left(\frac{CR}{\pi}\right)$ $\left(\frac{CR}{T}\right)$ against $\frac{1}{T}$, the slope is equal to $-\Delta H^*$ $\frac{\Delta H^*}{R}$, and the intercept is equal to $\ln\left[\left(\frac{R}{Nh}\right) + \frac{\Delta S^*}{R}\right]$ $\frac{15}{R}$, as in Figs. 5 and 6.

Figure 5. ΔH^* and ΔS^* calculation for the Fe alloy without and with inhibitor.

Figure 6. ΔH^* and ΔS^* calculation for the Fe alloy with inhibitor.

Thus, kinetic parameters data can be summarized in Table 6.

Table 6. Kinetic parameters for the corrosion reaction of the Fe alloy without and with inhibitor, at the optimal C.

Medium	$E_{\rm a}$ * (kJ/mol^{-1})	(s^{-1})	۸H* (kJ/mol^{-1})	AS* (kJ/mol^{-1})	
HC1	50.93	3.89×10^{11}	13.08	-141.61	
AЕ	72.84	1.28×10^{14}	87.59	74.94	

Table 6 reveals that E_a^* values were higher with the AE than without it. This means that the Fe alloy corrosion reaction with inhibitor was reduced, as the E_a^* values ranged from 50.93 to 72.84 kJ/mol⁻¹, without and with the AE, respectively. These values are lower than the threshold value of 80 kJ/mol⁻¹, required for chemisorption [28].

Thus, it is concluded that the inhibitor physical adsorption occurred. The E_a^* value was higher with the AE than without it, due to an appreciable decrease in the corrosive molecules adsorption process onto the Fe alloy surface.

The corresponding increase in CR was also attributed to the greater area of the metal exposed to HCl. ΔH^* positive sign refers to the endothermic nature of the Fe alloy dissolution process, without or with inhibitor, which implies that it increased with higher T, but it became more difficult with the AE [29, 30].

Arrhenius factor depicted that the corrosive molecules vibration was raised with the inhibitor. In other words, they tended to be mixed with the AE molecules, forming an adsorbed film onto the Fe alloy surface. On the other hand, ΔS^* value was positive

with the inhibitor, and negative without it. This means that the corrosive molecules tended to order themselves on the Fe alloy surface, without the AE. In contrast, with the AE, they moved randomly, because they combined with its molecules, forming an activated complex, as a rate determining step, to finally create a stable adsorbed protective film onto the Fe alloy surface [31, 32].

Conclusions

Several points can be herein summarized:

- The AE can be used as AO.
- The AE (5 ppm, at 25 °C) revealed a higher IE(%) (92.42%) against the Fe alloy corrosion in 0.1 M HCl.
- The AE IE(%) decreased as T was increased.
- The inhibitor contributed to increase E_a^* of the Fe alloy corrosion reaction.
- The AE went through physical adsorption.
- The corrosion reaction was endothermic, without and with the AE.

Authors' contributions

Arwa H. M. AL-Saeed: performed the analysis; supervised Esraa A. AL-Alyauie. Hadi Z. Al-Sawaad: wrote the paper; supervised Esraa A. AL-Alyauie. Esraa A. AL-Alyauie: conceived and designed the analysis; collected the data; inserted data or analysis tools.

Abbreviations

AE: alkaloid extract AO: anti-oxidant BHT: butylated hydroxytoluene C: concentration CE: counter electrode CI: corrosion inhibitor CR: corrosion rate DPPH: 2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl-hydrate E: electric potential E_a^* : activation energy Fe alloy: ductil iron pipe for drinking water HCl: hydrochloric acid I: current density Icorr: corrosion current density IE(%): inhibition efficiency LN: Laurus nobilis OCP: open circuit potential PDP: potentiodynamic polarization Pc: phytochemical RE: reference electrode

 $\mathbf{R}_{\rm p}$: polarization resistance SC: saturated calomel SR: scan rate T: temperature WE: working electrode

Symbols definitions

βa: anodic Tafel constant βc: cathodic Tafel constant ΔH*: enthalpy activation ΔS*: entropy activation

References

- 1. Lucia C, Filomena N, Lucéia F et al. Laurus Nobilis: Composition of Essential Oil and its Biological Activities. Molecules.2017;22(6):930. https://doi:org/10.3390/molecules22060930
- 2. Hassan R, Reza Y, Flemming P et al. Anti-diabetic potential of plant alkaloids: Revisiting current findings and future perspectives. J Pre Proof. 2020;155:104723. https://doi:org/ 10.1016/j.phrs.2020.104723
- 3. Rehman S, Khan H. Advances in Antioxidant Potential of Natural Alkaloids. Current Bioac Comp. 2017;13(2):101-108. https://doi:org/10.2174/1573407212666160614075157
- 4. Verma C, Ebenso E, Quraishi M. Alkaloids as green and environmental benign corrosion inhibitors: an overview. Int J Corros Scale Inhib. 2019;8(3):512-528. https://doi:org/10.17675/2305-6894
- 5. Alan M, Araceli E. Plant Extracts as Green Corrosion Inhibitors for Different Metal Surfaces and Corrosive Media: A Review. Processes. 2020;8(8):942. https://doi:org/10.3390/pr8080942
- 6. Asmaa J, Najlaa K, Hussein F et al. Organic Compounds as Corrosion Inhibitors from Natural Products. Int J Adv Res Phys Sci (IJARPS). 2020;7(4):25-35.
- 7. Jyothi S, Subba R, Samuel R. Natural Products as Corrosion Inhibitors in Various Corrosive Media: A Review. Rasayan J Chem. 2019;12(2):537-544. https://doi:org/10.31788/RJc
- 8. Harborne JB. Phytochemical methods: a guide to modern techniques of plant analysis. Third edition. Published by Chapman and Hall. London, U.K. 1998.
- 9. Harborne JB, Baxter HH. Phytochemical Dictionary: a hand book of bioactive compounds from plants. Taylor and Hall. Washington. 1993;237-240.
- 10. Sawant R, Godghate A. Comparative studies of phytochemical screening of Carissa carandus linn. Asian J Plant Sci Res. 2013;3(1):21-25.
- 11. Hawk P, Oser B, Sumerson H. Practical Physiological Chemistry. 17th ed. Longe Medical Publications. California, USA. 1954.
- 12. AL Khazraji SM. Bio pharmacological study of Artemisia Herba Alba. MSc Thesis. College of Pharmacy. Baghdad Univ. Iraq. 1991.
- 13. Haddad DY. The chemistry of vegetable drugs. Part 2. Cairo University press. Cairo, Egypt. 1965.
- 14. Harborne J. Phytochemical method. 2nd ed. Chapman and Hall. London, U.K. 1984.
- 15. Lilliwirianis N, Musa L, Zain W et al. Preliminary studies on phytochemical screening of ulam and fruit from Malaysia. E-J Chem. 2011;8(1):285-288.
- 16. Mathangiand T, Prabhakaran P. DPPH free radical scavenging activity of the extracts of the aquatic fern Marsilea quadrifolia Linn. Int J Current Microb Appl Sci. 2013;210;2534-536.
- 17. Vyas B, Hansson I. The cavitation erosion-corrosion of stainless steel. Corros Sci. 1990;30(8-9):761-770.
- 18. Alejo-Armijo A, Altarejos J, Salido S. Phytochemicals and Biological Activities of Laurel Tree (Laurus nobilis). Nat Prod Commun. 2017;12(5):743757.
- 19. Algabri S, Basma M, Awatf M et al. Bay Leaves have Antimicrobial and Antioxidant Activities. J Pathog Res. 2018;1(1, 3).
- 20. Montoro P, Braca A, Pizza CN et al. Structure-antioxidant activity relationships of flavonoids isolated from different plant species. Food Chem. 2005;92:349-355. https://doi:org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.07.028
- 21. Radey H. Synthesis, Characterization of some Graphene Oxide Derivatives and their Corrosion Inhibitor Ability for Carbon Steel in Acidic Media. PhD thesis. University of Basrah. 2018.
- 22. Faili N. Plant Extraction and Natural Polymers Modification as Corrosion Inhibitors for N80 Steel in Acidic Media. PhD thesis. University of Basrah. 2015.
- 23. Tayebi H, Bourazmi H, Himmi B et al. An electrochemical and theoretical evaluation of new quinoline derivative as a corrosion inhibitor for carbon steel in HCl solutions. Der Pharm Lett. 2014;6(6):20-34.
- 24. Al-Sawaad HZ, Faili NT, Essa AH. Evaluation of Vicine as a Corrosion Inhibitor for Carbon Steel Alloy. Port Electrochim Acta. 2019; 37(4):205-216. https://doi:org/10.4152/pea
- 25. Okafor P, Osabor V, Ebenso EE. Eco-friendly corrosion inhibitors: inhibitive action of ethanol extracts of Garcinia kola for the corrosion of mild steel in H2SO4 solutions. Pigm Resin Technol. 2007;36,299-305. https://doi:org/10.1108/03699420710820414
- 26. Khadom A. Effect of temperature on corrosion inhibition of copper-nickel alloy by tetraethylene pentamine under flow conditions. J Chil Chem Soc. 2014;59(3):2545-2549. https://doi:org/10.4067/S0717-97072014000300004
- 27. Naser A, Al-Sawaad H, Al-Mubarak A. Novel graphene oxide functionalization by urea and thiourea, and their applications as anticorrosive agents for carbon steel alloy in acidic medium. J Mater Environ Sci. 2020;11(3):404-420.
- 28. Israa M, Hadi Z, Ahmed A. Bis thiourea phthalato Cobalt (Ⅱ) complex: synthesis and studying as corrosion inhibitors for carbon steel alloy (C1010) in 0.1 M HCl. J Mater Environ Sci. 2020;11;(8):1386-1402. https://doi:org/10.3103LS1068375521050057
- 29. Larouj M, Ourrak K, El M'Rabet M et al. Thermodynamic study of corrosion inhibition of carbon steel in acidic solution by new pyrimidothiazine derivative. J Mater Environ Sci. 2017;8(11):3921-3931.
- 30. Nnabuk O, Paul O, Nsikak B. Experimental and computational chemistry studies on the inhibition of aluminum and mild steel in 0.1 M HCl by 3-nitrobenzoic acid. J Taibah Univ Sci. 2018;12;10:545-556. https://doi:org/10.1080/16583655.2018.1500514
- 31. Kairi N, Kassim J. The effect of temperature on the corrosion inhibition of mild steel in 1 M HCl solution by Curcuma longa extract. Int J Electrochem Sci. 2013;8(5):7138-7155.
- 32. Prasanna B, Praveen B, Hebbar N et al. Electrochemical study on inhibitory effect of Aspirin on mild steel in 1 M hydrochloric acid. J Assoc Arab Univ Basic Appl Sci. 2017;22:62-69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaubas.2015.11.001