PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

A Comparative Analysis and Environmental Interpretation of the First Cycle of Nfayil Formation in Two Distinct Southern Desert Locations, Iraq

To cite this article: Dhyaaldain K. Ajar and Maher M. Mahdi 2024 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* **1300** 012039

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- Electrolyte Conductivity Through the Shell of the Eastern Oyster Using a Four-Electrode Measurement Yuhchae Yoon, Andrew S. Mount, Karolyn M. Hansen et al.
- Fire-Resistant Properties of Modified Epoxy Based Intumescent Fire-Retardant Coating: Hybridizing of Oyster Shell Powder with Glass Frit Y X Lee, F Ahmad, S Kakooei et al.
- Fabrication and characterization of HAoyster shell based on biopolymer - propolis as an agent of dental enamel remineralization material Aminatun Nisa, Mona Sari and Yusril Yusuf



This content was downloaded from IP address 37.236.29.16 on 27/02/2024 at 08:17

1300 (2024) 012039

A Comparative Analysis and Environmental Interpretation of the First Cycle of Nfayil Formation in Two Distinct Southern Desert Locations, Iraq

Dhyaaldain K. Ajar^{1,2}, Maher M. Mahdi^{2*}

1.Iraq geological survey, Iraq

2. University of Basrah, College of Science, Geology Dept.

Correspond author: maher.mahdi@uobasrah.edu.iq

ABSTRACT: Nfayil Formation (Middle Miocene) is considered the equivalent of Fatha Formation; it is exposed in the western and southern Desert of Iraq with two members: a carbonate Lower Member that is composed of three cycles of limestone and marlstone intercalation and an Upper clastic Member of sandstone and claystone with thin layers of limestone. It extends from the western to the southern desert with a systematic succession of two or three cycles of lower members each one consisting of an interbedding of soft olive-green marl with medium tough shelly limestone rich with oyster shells. The upper member disappears in many localities due to erosion activity. From the field observation this succession was normally exposed in the southern Desert at the western parts of Al-Muthanna Governorate to the south of Dewaniyah Governorate, while in the eastern part of Al-Muthanna Governorate to the south of Al-Nasiriya Governorate, the beds showed a different lithology and fossil content. The olive-green marl turned to be rusty red with a higher content of fossils, the shelly limestone bed rich with oyster shells became red in colour, rusty and the oyster shells were substituted by pelecypods shells with the same concentration. A microfacies analysis study supported by chemical and X-ray analysis was carried out to predict the depositional environment and deposition circumstances in both sections. The thin section, chemical analysis and X-ray analysis were accomplished at the laboratories of the Iraq Geological Survey. Chemical analyses showed a high ratio of MgO oxide in Nasiriya section compare with Samawa section, that result was supported by X-ray analyses which refer to calcite mineral as the only mineral exists in Samawa beds wile in Nasiriya minerals of dolomite, quartz, feldspar and clay minerals were appeared.

Environments extracted from thin section study showed more local fluctuations in sea level in Samawa section.

Key words: Middle Miocene, Nfayil Formation, pelecypods, Iraqi Desert

1.Introduction

Overall, the Nfayil Formation holds significance in the field of geology as it contributes to our understanding of past geological processes, environmental changes, and the geological history of southeastern Iraq during the specified timeframe. Nfayil Formation was exposed in several locations in Al-Muthanna Governorate as surface sections while the most abundance was as a subsurface section in drilled wells (fig. 1 and 2) [1]. It extends along the northern parts of the Southern Desert from west to east. In one location in the far east to the south of Al-Nasiriya and with a considerable area the first cycle of the lower member of the Formation showed a significant alteration in both, lithology and fossil content. Several samples were taken from this location to make a comparison study with the normal lithology a fossil content of Samawa Governorate section table No. (2,3).

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1300/1/012039

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 1300 (2024) 012039



Figure 1: location map of the study area, green cycles represent the studied sections N :(Nasiriya), S:(Samawa).

| Table 1: | location | coordinates | s of the | study are | ea. |
|----------|----------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----|
| | | | | | |

| Document | Northing | Easting | Long. | Lat. |
|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| N (Nasiriya) | 3385970 | 618370 | 46.234752 | 30.600428 |
| S (Samawa) | 3449607 | 493587 | 44.932696 | 31.18049 |



Figure 2: Geological map of the studied area, green cycles represent the studied sections

The Nfayil Formation has been extensively studied in Iraq, and several researchers have contributed to our understanding of its lithology, sedimentology, industrial, engineering and paleontology. Notable studies include:

The formation was officially announced by [1] [2] who stated that: In the western and southern Desert the equivalent of Fatha Formation, Nfayil Formation is exposed with two members: carbonate Lower Member composed of three cycles of limestone and marlstone intercalation and Upper clastic Member of sandstone and claystone with thin layers of limestone [3].

[4] studied the biostratigraphy and deposition environments of Hit- Kubaisa area from subsurface sections. She divided Miocene deposits into two cycles; Early Miocene transgressive cycle which is represented by Euphrates Formation and Middle Miocene regressive cycle which is represented by (Lower Fars) Fatha Formation. She stated that; the lithological and faunal characteristics indicate an open marine platform to near shore – shallow marine environments to the Miocene deposits.

[5] [6] accomplished a microfacies analysis and sequence stratigraphy of the Eocene – Miocene succession at Karbala- Najaf- Samawa area, he divided the Miocene succession into two formations; Euphrates Formation of Early Miocene age and Nfayil of Middle Miocene age. He referred to the occurrence of *Miogypsina* sp. in Nfayil Formation.

[7 and 8] carried out a detailed geological mapping of two stages in the south of Samawa area, he divided the Miocene deposits into two formations; Euphrates and Nfayil Formations, the later was divided into 3 cycles.

The primary objective of the study is to conduct a detailed analysis of the microfacies and geochemical composition within the Nfayil Formation. This analysis serves the purpose of deciphering the distinct depositional environments that shaped the formation. The comparative assessment between two separate sections of the formation is undertaken with the specific aim of discerning and delineating the variations existing between these sections. This comparative analysis sheds light on the nuanced differences in sedimentary characteristics, lithological composition, and geochemical signatures, allowing for a comprehensive understanding of the contrasting environments that influenced the formation's development in these distinct sections.

2. Geological Setting:

The Nfayil Formation is a geological unit within the western and southern Desert. It dates back to the Middle Miocene to epoch, approximately 15 million years ago. This period was characterized by significant geological and climatic changes globally [1]. Two members are divided the Nfayil Formation: carbonate Lower Member composed of three cycles of limestone and marlstone intercalation and Upper clastic Member of sandstone and claystone with thin layers of limestone [2]

2.1. Samawa Section:

Nfayil beds in Samawa city region appear with normal strata of interbedded marl and marly limestone beds. Geomorphologically the formation shows typical Mesas that related with Nfayil Formation occurrence in many localities [2] (plate 1. A). Two samples were taken and described as below:

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science

| Bed No. | Formation | Age | Rock's field name | colour | hardness | description |
|------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------------|--|
| S1 | Nfayil | Middle Miocene | marlstone | Greenish grey with pale brown | soft | Slope forming, fragmented, contain rusty and black materials, shiny surface due to recrystallization. High reaction with acid, Sharp contact. (Plate 1 B) |
| S2 | Nfayil | Middle Miocene | Shelly limestone | Yellowish brown mottled with grey dark brown | Tough to very tough | Cliff forming, recrystallized, contains oyster shells with very high concentration, high reaction with acid. (plate1. C and D) |

| Table 2: Field description of Samawa section (bottom to top) | Table | 2: | Field | description | n of Samawa | section | (bottom to top) |
|--|-------|----|-------|-------------|-------------|---------|-----------------|
|--|-------|----|-------|-------------|-------------|---------|-----------------|

1300 (2024) 012039



Plate1: A. Mesa of Nfayil Formation in Samawa region, B. Marlstone bed (No.1) in Samawa section, C. Shelly limestone bed (No.2) in Samawa section, D. oyster shells in the bed scattered around section

2.2.Nasiriya section:

In the eastern parts of Al- Muthanna governorate to the south of Nasiriya an exposure of first cycle of Nfayil Formation with different criteria of colour and fauna assemblage. the whole cycle appears with a significant rusty to brownish red colour with high concentration of

pelecypods shells scattered in the area due to erosion activity. Two samples were picked to be described and studied as below:

| Bed | Formation | Age | Rock's field | colour | hardness | description |
|-----|-----------|---------|--------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------------|
| No. | | | name | | | |
| N1 | Nfayil | Middle | Clayey | Reddish | soft | Slope forming, fragmented, few |
| | - | Miocene | dolostone | brown | | fossils appear, sand content, no |
| | | | | to | | reaction with HCl acid, sharp |
| | | | | brown | | contact (plate2. A) |
| N2 | Nfayil | Middle | Shelly | Rusty | Medium | cap forming, very high assemblage |
| | | Miocene | dolostone | red | tough | of pelecypods shells floats on the |
| | | | | | - | bed texture, with a content of sand |
| | | | | | | grains, no reaction with HCl acid, |
| | | | | | | (plate 2 B and C) |

Table 3: Field description of Nasiriya section (bottom to top).





Plate2: A. Clayey dolostone (bed No.1) in Nasiriya section. B. Pelecypoda shells float on the surface of Shelly dolostone (bed No.2 in Nasiriya section), C. Hand specimen of shelly dolostone bed

3. Methodology

Four samples were sent to the laboratories of Iraqi geological survey for each. chemical and X-ray analysis. Thin sections were prepared in the workshop of the Iraqi Geological Survey laboratories and classified according to [9] [10].

4.Microfacies analysis

In Samawa section two microfacies were recognized as the following:

1- Marlstone bed was classified as micritic mudstone (plate 2.A).

This microfacies is compatible with the SMF no. 23 in [11]. Which meets the facies zone No. 8,9 of [12]. According to these two facies zones the deposition environment of this bed ranges from an evaporative or brackish environment to a restricted environment.

2- Shelly limestone bed described as shelly wackestone with authigenic quartz and bioclast of gastropods (plate 2B).

Following the standard microfacies (SMF) of [12] and the facies zones (FZ) of [13] this microfacies is compatible with standard microfacies No. 12S and No.10, the inferred deposition environment according to the facies zone was restricted to the open marine environment.



Plate 3- A. Micritic mudstone in Samawa section. B. clayey silty bioclastic dolomitic wackestone microfacies in Nasiriya section. C. clayey silty bioclastic dolomitic wackestone microfacies, D. bioclastic (Mollusca) dolomitic wacke to packstone.

| 3rd Scientific Conference of Iraqi Desert Geology (IDC | IOP Publishing | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science | 1300 (2024) 012039 | doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1300/1/012039 |

The microfacies observed in Nasiriya section were as below:

1-Clayey dolomite bed was classified as clayey silty bioclastic dolomitic wackestone (plate2 C). Quartz and feldspar in silt size with ferruginous and clayey materials were observed. Bioclasts of shells mostly of pelecypods embedded in groundmass.

This microfacies is equivalent to SMF9 and SMF 10 which meet FZ7 of open marine environment in platform interior [11].

2-Shelly dolomite bed described as sandy bioclastic (Mollusca) dolomitic wacke to packstone. (Plate 2.D). Bioclasts of Mollusks (pelecypods and gastropods) embedded in sparry groundmass which contains clayey and ferruginous materials with quarts and feldspar grains of sand size. This microfacies is equivalent to SMF10 and open marine platform interior FZ7 in [11].

5. Chemical analysis

Chemical and X-ray analysis. Samawa section analysis results were as below: Table 4: Chemical analysis of Samawa section.

| Sample | SiO ₂ | Fe ₂ O3 | Al ₂ O ₃ | CaO | MgO | SO ₃ | Na ₂ O | K ₂ O | P_2O_5 | Cr | Mn |
|------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|-------|------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|----------|-------|--------|
| No. | | | | | | | | | | p.p.m | p.p.m |
| S 1 | 14.98 | 1.63 | 3.47 | 40.61 | 1.56 | 0.41 | 0.69 | 0.77 | 0.38 | 59.00 | 210.00 |
| S2 | 2.64 | 0.46 | 0.51 | 52.28 | 1.23 | 0.58 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 25.00 | 140.00 |

X-ray analysis results of Samawa section showed the majority of calcite minerals for all samples. The high ratio of calcium oxide for both beds in the chemical analysis was supported by X-ray analysis results which showed the appearance of only calcite mineral and the two beds are pure limestone. In the section of Nasiriya vicinity, the results of chemical and X- ray analyses were as shown in tables (5,6):

Table 5: Chemical analysis of Nasiriya section.

| Sample | SiO ₂ | Fe ₂ O ₃ | Al ₂ O3 | CaO | MgO | SO ₃ | Na ₂ O | K ₂ O | P_2O_5 | Cr | Mn |
|--------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------|-------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|----------|-------|-------|
| No. | | | | | | | | | | p.p.m | p.p.m |
| N1 | 10.92 | 1.02 | 1.87 | 26.54 | 16.66 | 0.45 | 1.58 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 29.00 | 292.0 |
| N2 | 18.70 | 1.74 | 3.94 | 19.75 | 15.28 | 0.50 | 2.06 | 0.71 | 0.39 | 59.00 | 346.0 |

X-ray analysis results was as below:

Table 6: x- ray analysis results for Nasiriya section

| Sample No. | Description |
|------------|--|
| N1 | Dolomite, Halite, Quartz, Feldspar |
| N2 | Dolomite, Halite, Quartz, Feldspar, palygorskite |

The high ratio of magnesium oxide in chemical analysis was supported by the presence of dolomite as the main mineral in X-ray analysis.

That explains why the rocks had no reaction with acid in field.

The presence of halite reflexes a hypersaline condition environment, evaporate deposits are products of arid environments [11]. Halite can occur as a poikilitic cement in either carbonate or siliciclastic strata [14] [15].

while the content of feldspar and quartz refers to a clastic influx and continental attachment.

6. Discussion

The discussion for these results could focus on the sedimentary environments and the implications of the identified microfacies within the studied sections in Samawa and Nasiriya. Sedimentary Environments:

The identified microfacies within the Samawa and Nasiriya sections exhibit distinctive characteristics that provide insights into the ancient depositional environments.

At Samawa Section, the presence of micritic mudstone suggests fluctuating or restricted marine conditions. Shelly limestone with authigenic quartz indicates a more stable, open marine environment conducive to the preservation of shelly fauna. While the Nasiriya Section has clayey dolomite and shelly dolomite beds, both signify an open marine environment within the platform interior, indicating a continuous or similar depositional setting [16](fig.3).

The presence of bioclasts, including pelecypods and gastropods, suggests a favorable environment for the thriving of diverse marine life. Both sections exhibit microfacies indicative of open marine environments, albeit with varying sediment compositions and specific characteristics. While the Differences between the two sections: The Samawa section includes indications of brackish to restricted environments, which might suggest local variations or changes in depositional conditions over time or space compared to the more consistently open marine environment in the Nasiriya section. The dominance of limestone and dolomite minerals supports the inference of a predominantly carbonate-based depositional environment, possibly within a marine setting due to the prevalence of calcite and dolomite. The presence of halite points toward arid conditions, indicative of evaporative deposits. This suggests periods of aridity or hypersaline conditions that influenced sediment deposition, possibly within an arid or semi-arid environment.

7. Conclusion:

The microfacies analysis in both sections reveals a predominantly open marine setting, with the Samawa section exhibiting some variations hinting at localized environmental fluctuations between an evaporative to brackish up to restricted marine environment with an open marine to restricted marine environment while in Nasiriya section the both beds were of open marine platform interior environment with continuous constant sea level deposition. These findings contribute to reconstructing the ancient depositional settings and understanding the evolution of sedimentary environments in the studied region. The mineralogical composition and the presence of specific minerals such as calcite, dolomite, and halite in the Samawa section offer valuable insights into the environmental conditions during deposition. The predominance of carbonate minerals suggests a marine influence, while the occurrence of halite indicates periods of aridity or hypersaline. These findings contribute to a more nuanced understanding of the ancient environmental conditions and sedimentary processes that shaped the formation of these rock beds in the Samawa section.

IOP Publishing

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science

1300 (2024) 012039

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1300/1/012039



Figure 3. The suggested environments of studied area that projected on Flugel's model [11], green zone presents the Marlstone bed in Samawa section which classified as micritic mudstone is compatible with the SMF no. 23 in facies zone No. 8,9. Blue zone present the Shelly limestone bed described as shelly wackestone with authigenic quartz and bioclast of gastropods, also Clayey dolomite bed was classified as clayey silty bioclastic dolomitic

wackestone, finally Shelly dolomite bed described as sandy bioclastic (Mollusca) dolomitic wacke to packstone. All of these microfacies are located at SMF no. 9, 10 and 12 s that deposited at open marine [11].

8.References

[1] Sissakian, V.K., Mahdi, A.I., Amin, R.M. and Salman, B.M., 1997. The Nfayil Formation: a new lithostratigraphic unit in the Western Desert of Iraq. Iraqi Geological Journal, Vol. 30, No.1, p. 61–65.

[2] Jassim, S. Z. and Goff, J. C., 2006. Geology of Iraq. Published by Dolin, Prague and Moravian Museum, Berno. P.341.

[3] Buday T. and Jassim, S. Z., 1987, The Regional Geology of Iraq, Vo.2: Tectonism, Magmatism and Metamorphism, publication of GEOSURV, Baghdad, 352 P.

[4] Said, S.F.,1991. Biostratigraphy and Depositional environment of Lower Oligocene to Middle Miocene sediments of hit – Kubaisa Area. GEOSURV, 1623.

[5] Shather Kh. Dh. 2011, Microfacies analysis and Sequence Stratigraphy of the Eocene -

Miocene succession at Karbala- Najaf- Samawa area, A MSc. thesis submitted to Baghdad University.

[6] Maziqa, F. H., Mahdi, M. M., & Mohammed, A. H. (2023). Middle Eocene Succession of Dammam Formation, Biostratigraphy and Microfacies Study, North Karbala Area, West Iraq. The Iraqi Geological Journal, 211-224.

[7] Ajar, k.Dh., Yousif, D.L., Alwaisi A.A., Alrezaly, A.M., Filip, W., AlKubaisi, N.K., Detailed geological mapping of South Samawa Region scale 1:25000 (second stage) 2012.GEOSURV,3385

[8] Ajar, k.Dh., Yousif, D.L., Zainy, H.M., Alshawi, A.S., Filip, W., Al-Kubaisi, N.K., Detailed geological mapping of South Samawa Region scale 1:25000 (first stage) 2011.GEOSURV, 3335.

[9] Dunham R.J., 1962, Classification of carbonate rocks according to depositional texture: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Memoir, 1, 108-121.

[10] Bathurst R. G. C., 1975, Development in Sedimentology 12, Carbonate sediments and their diagenesis, 2nd ed., Elsevier Pub. Co., Amsterdam, 659 P.

[11] Flugel E., 2004, Microfacies analysis of limestones, analysis interpretation and application: Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 976 pp.

[12] Flugel E., 2010, Microfacies of Carbonate Rocks, Second edition, Springer Heidelberg, 1006P.

[13] Wilson J. L., 1975. Carbonate facies in geological history. Springer-Verlag.Berlin, 471 P.

[14] Catuneanu O., 2006, Principles of sequence stratigraphy, Amsterdam, NLD:

Elsevier Science and Technology, 387P.

[15] Shareef, N. F., Mahdi, M. M., & Mohamed, A. H. 2023. Combined Ostracoda and Foraminiferal Biozonation with Environment of Fatha Formation (Middle Miocene) in Different Oilfields, Southern Iraq. The Iraqi Geological Journal, 188-211.

[16] Al-Ali, MM, Mahdi, MM and Alali, RA, 2019. Microfacies and depositional environment of Mishrif Formation, North Rumaila oil field, Southern Iraq, The Iraqi Geological Journal, 91–104.