The Effect of the Pellet and Crushed Diets and Addition of Different Levels of Malic Acid on the Performance and Carcass Characteristics of Male Arabi Lambs

Nadhim Mohamad Jawad Ali⁽¹⁾, Waleed Youssef Kassem ^{(2)*}and Amad Falah Hassan⁽²⁾

- (1). Department of Veterinary Public Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq.
- (2). Department of Animal Production, College of Agriculture, University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq.

(*Corresponding author: Waleed Kassin, email: waleed.yosief@uobasrah.edu.iq)

Received: 10/03/2023 Accepted: 29/03/2023

Abstract:

This study has been carried out in the animal field of the College of Agriculture, University of Basrah, for the period 1/12/2020 to 1/3/2021. Twenty-four male lambs of Arabi breed were randomly distributed to six treatments (4 lambs for each treatment), to investigate the effect of two type of diet (pellet and crushed) with the level of malic acid and the on some production traits such as live weights, total and daily weight gain, feed intake, feed efficiency and some of carcass characteristics such as hot carcass, dressing percentage, major cuts (neck, shoulder, rib, loin, leg and fat-tail) as well as carcass waste organs. The treatments were as follows: (T1) crushed condensed diet without the addition of malic acid (0). (T2) crushed condensed diet with the addition of malic acid as 4 g/kg feed. (T3) crushed condensed diet with added malic acid as 8 g/kg feed. (T4) without adding malicious acid (0). (T5) pellet feed with added malic acid as a 4 g/kg feed. (T6) pellet feed with added malic acid for 8 g/kg feed. The results indicated the pellet diet and the level of 4g of malic acid/kg of feed treatments were a significant increase (P<0.05) in the live body weights, total and daily gain weight, dressing percentage, feed efficiency, hot carcass weight and some weights of cuts compared to crushed diet and of 0g of malic acid/kg of feed treatments.

Keywords: Malic acid, Carcass characteristics, Male Arabi lambs, Pellet and crushed diets.

Introduction

Livestock farming is a major source of national income, sheep are one of the main sources of red meat, milk and wool in Iraq. Appropriate feeding strategies are essential through feed additives that help stabilize the rumen environment, prevent gastrointestinal disturbances, and optimal growth of the microbiota that is critical to the health and performance of ruminants (Sahoo and Jena, 2014).

Researchers used bio-promoters and yeasts or the so-called direct-fed microbial nutrition, which are known contain live cells or biological derivatives of some microorganisms that believed to have important benefits for improving the digestion coefficient of nutrients (Krehbiel *et al.*, 2003; Shwayel and Rasheed, 2016). For example, Helal and Abdel-Rahman (2010) indicated that yeast added at 0.5% with 4% of bentonite to the diets of Awassi lactating ewes may improve

conversion efficiency, milk production and increase birth weights of offsprings. Also, a significant increase was found in the weight of the hot carcass and the weights of some cuts carcass when yeast was added to the diets of crossbreed lambs (Pelibuey x Kathdin) compared to the control group (Estrada-Angulo et al., 2013). In the past few years, the use of organic acids as feed additives has gained great importance within the European Union, due to their positive effects on feed quality and production performance, they are short chain acids (C1-C7) most of the organic acids are carboxylic acids that have been used as food additives, including formalic acid, propionic acid, malic acid, and these organic acids are a source of energy and are absorbed by microorganisms in the rumen (Elmali et al., 2012). Cavini, (2015) recorded that when mixing 2.4 g of malic acid salts per kgof dry matter in the diets of growing goats, there was an increase in weight gain, final body weight, feed consumption, feed conversion efficiency, carcass weight and dressing percentage compared to the control group. In addition, Toprak et al., (2018) suggested that the addition of 5 g of malic acid per kg of feed in sheep diets led to a significant increase in final body weights, carcass weight and dressing percentage compared with other treatments. However, in Bangladesh, one study indicated that feeding goats on the pellet system led to a better performance in weight gain, feed conversion efficiency and carcass weight compared to the traditional diet (crushed) (Rashid et al., 2016). Also, Li et al., (2021) reported that feeding lambs on pellet diet improves the daily weight gain, feed intake and reduces feeding time and waste of feed in comparison with the crushed diet.

For this purpose, the study was aimed to find the effect of pellet and crushed diets (manufactured in the feed factory of the College of Agriculture - University of Basrah) with the three levels of malic acid and the interaction between them on growth performance and carcass characteristics of male Arabi lambs.

Materials and methods

This study has been carried out in the animal field of the College of Agriculture of the University of Basrah, for the period 1/12/2020 to 1/3/2021. Twenty-four of male lambs Arabi breed (The main breed of sheep common in southern of Iraq) were randomly distributed to six treatments (4 lambs for each treatment) includes the use of three levels of (L-Malic acid) with two types of diet either crushed or pelleted, as follows: (T1) crushed condensed diet without the addition of malic acid (0). (T2) crushed condensed diet with the addition of malic acid as 4 g/kg feed. (T3) crushed condensed diet with added malic acid as 8 g/kg feed. (T4) without adding malicious acid (0). (T5) pellet feed with added malic acid as a 4 g/kg feed. (T6) pellet feed with added malic acid for 8 g/kg feed. Malic acid powder was added in the amount of 400 and 800 gm per 100 kg of concentrated feed, and the diet was mixed with automatic machines, then packed in bags to makeup the crushed diet. As for the purpose of makeup the pellet, part of the mixed diet was transferred automatically to the pellet manufacturing unit for production it.

The lambs were placed in half-shaded pens of equal size (2 x 2.5 m2), which were provided with plastic feeders and water buckets. The diet was administered twice daily at 7:00 a.m. and 4:00 a.m. based on 3% of body weight for 90 days. Daily weight gain, food intake and food efficiency were estimated. The proportions of the food ingredients and their chemical composition are presented in Table (1). After the experiment, the animals were fasted 18 hours before being slaughtered. The weight at slaughter, the weight of the hot carcass, the percentage of dressing and the weight of the main cuts (neck, shoulder, rib, lanyard, leg and greasy tail) were recorded. Also, the carcass waste such as head, skin and feet weighing and recorded.

The data were analyzed as a factorial experiment design to study the effect of different type of diet and the level of malic acid and the interaction between them on the studied traits, and the significant differences between the means (P<0.05) were compared with the statistical program (SPSS, 2019), were used according to the following mathematical model:

Yijk=µ+Ai+Bj+ABij+eijk

Where is:

Yijk = is the value observation of the type of diet i, and level of malic acid j. μ = overall mean. Ai = effect of the type of diet (1, 2). Bj = effect of malic acid levels (0, 1, 2). ABij = the effect of the interaction between the levels of malic acid and the type of diet. eijk = experimental error that is distributed randomly and naturally with mean equal to zero and variance $\sigma^2 e$.

	Crushed			Pellet		
Ingredients (g/ kg DM)	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6
Barley	53	53	53	53	53	53
Wheat bran	36	36	36	36	36	36
Soybean meal	8	8	8	8	8	8
Mineral-vitamin premix	2	2	2	2	2	2
Salt	1	1	1	1	1	1
Malic acid g/kg feed	0	4	8	0	4	8
Chemical composition (%)*						
Dry matter	82.8	82.8	82.8	82.8	82.8	82.8
Crude protein	14.02	14.02	14.02	14.02	14.02	14.02
Ether extract	2.86	2.86	2.86	2.86	2.86	2.86
Crude fiber	7.38	7.38	7.38	7.38	7.38	7.38
Ash	3.63	3.63	3.63	3.63	3.63	3.63
Soluble carbohydrate	67.59	67.59	67.59	67.59	67.59	67.59
Metabolic energy MJ/ kg dry **	12.40	12.40	12.40	12.40	12.40	12.40
matter						

*The chemical composition of the feed materials was calculated according to NRC. (1985). **Metabolic energy was calculated according to the equation of the Scottish Ministry of Agriculture(MAFF, 1975). Energy represented = 0.12 x crude protein + 0.31 x crude fat + 0.05 x crude fiber + 0.14 x soluble carbohydrates.

Results and discussion:

The effect of type of diet and different levels of malic acid on growth is presented in Table 2. Final body weight, total weight gain and the average daily gain, was significantly increased the pellet diet compared to the crushed diet, may due to the fact that making the feed in the form of pellet increases the feed intake and increases the ability to digest and enhances the rumen fermentation due to the survival of the feed materials longer duration in the gut (Lailer *et al.*, 2005; Li *et al.*, 2021), heat of manufacture improves protein digestion by inactivating inhibitors of digestive enzymes and denaturing the protein (Ran *et al.*, 2021). Results were in agreement with Rashid *et al.*, (2016); Ahmed *et al.*, (2020); Li *et al.*, (2021) and Raju *et al.*, (2021), who found that the pellet diet was better than crushed diet in improving growth parameters in sheep and goats.

There were significant differences (P<0.05) for the treatment 4 gm of malic acid/kg feed in the final weight, the total weight gain and the average daily compared to the other treatments (8 and 0 g of malic acid/kg feed). Used of malic acid to improve the environment of the rumen, which increase the activity of microorganisms and increases the microbial protein (Khampa *et al.*, 2009),

and carboxylic acids activate the transformation of lactic acid into propionic acid through S. rumnantium using the succinate-propionate pathway (the glucose-transformation pathway) in which malic acid increases the development of rumen papillae (length and width) causing increased absorption of nutrients (Martin *et al.*, 2000; Abdelrahman *et al.*, 2019), glucose and lactose, and in this way malic acid increases the energy available for animal growth (Martin and Streeter, 1995). Those results agreed with the results of Abas *et al.*, (2007); Elmali *et al.*, (2012) and Malekkhahi *et al.*, (2015). The interaction between the type of diet and the level of malic acid showed an increased significantly (P<0.05) for the treatment pellet + malic acid 4 g/kg feed in the final weight, the total weight gain and the average daily weight gain compared with the other treatments. **Table (2): Effect of type of the diet and malic acid on final live body weight, total weight gain and**

Treatr	nents	Initial Weight (kg)	Final live body weight (kg)	Total weight gain (kg)	Average daily gain (g/d)
		22.75	36.84	14.09	156
	Crushed	±	$\pm b$	$\pm b$	$\pm b$
Type of diet		0.20	0.32	0.28	31
Type of thet		22.67	37.79	15.11	167
	Pellet	±	$\pm a$	$\pm a$	$\pm a$
		0.23	0.57	0.38	61
		22.82	35.75	12.92	143
	0 g/kg feed	±	$\pm c$	$\pm c$	$\pm c$
		0.34	0.35	0.33	36
		22.72	38.63	15.93	177
Malic acid	4 g/kg feed	±	$\pm a$	$\pm a$	$\pm a$
		0.44	0.37	0.14	16
		22.70	37.63	14.95	165
	8 g/kg feed	±	$\pm b$	$\pm b$	$\pm b$
		0.33	0.54	0.31	35
Intera	ction	22.82	35.75	12.92	143
Crushed + M	Crushed + Malic acid 0 g		$\pm c$	$\pm c$	$\pm c$
kg fe		0.34	0.35	0.33	36
		22.72	37.42	14.70	162
Crushed + M		±	$\pm b$	$\pm b$	$\pm b$
g/kg	teed	0.44	0.37	0.14	16
~		22.70	37.35	14.65	162
Crushed + M		±	$\pm b$	$\pm b$	$\pm b$
kg feed /		0.33			35
		22.67	35.60	12.92	143
Pellet + Malic acid 0g/kg feed		±	±c	$\pm c$	$\pm c$
		0.53	0.29	0.36	40
Pellet +Malic acid 4 g/kg feed		22.67	39.85	17.17	191
		±	± a	$\pm a$	$\pm a$
		0.31	0.45	0.17	27
Pellet + Malic acid 8 g/kg feed		22.67	37.92	15.25	169
		±	$\pm b$	$\pm b$	$\pm b$
		0.45	0.56	0.22	24

average daily gain of male Arabi lambs (Mean± S.E).

* Different letters vertically differ significantly at the 5% level.

Animals fed a pellet diet was better than those fed a crushed diet in average feed consumption (Table 3). This can be due to the fact that the pellet diet increases the digestibility and palatability of animals (Islam et al., 2017; Ahmed et al., 2020). The average feed consumption in 4 and 8g of malic acid/kg feed treatments were higher than in 0g of malic acid/kg feed treatment, may be due to that malic acid in the diet led to an increase in production of volatile fatty acids, which increases the activity of rumen microorganisms (Gomez et al., 2005), growth and development of rumen papillae and their density (Abdelrahman et al., 2019), which increases the absorption of feed materials. As for the interaction between the type of diet and levels of malic acid, it was found that the average of feed consumption increased in the treatment pellet + malic acid 4g/kg feed compared to the other treatments. Results were in agreement with Rashid et al., (2016); Islam et al., (2017) and Ahmed et al., (2020), who found that the pellet diet was better than crushed diet in improving the feed consumption in sheep and goats. Animals fed pellets diet was better than those fed crushed diet in average feed efficiency (Table 3), may due to increased feed consumption and increased animal growth, as indicated by the results of the study (Table 1), this result was confirmed with the result of Rashid et al., (2016) and Ahmed et al., (2020), who found that the pellet diet was better than crushed diet in improving the feed efficiency in sheep and goats. The treatment of 4 g of malic acid/kg feed recorded feed efficiency better than 8g and 0g of malic acid/kg feed treatments. Results were in agreement with Flores Pérez, (2004); Abas et al., (2007) and Mungói, (2012), who reported that malic acid and its salts had a role in improving feed efficiency in farm animals. The treatment of pellet + malic acid 4 g was improved in the average feed efficiency as compared to the other treatments.

Treatme	nts	Average feed consumption	Feed conversion efficiency	
Type of diet	Crushed	25.63	5.46	
1 ype of alet	Pellet	25.88	5.14	
	0 g/kg feed	25.26	5.87	
Malic acid	4 g/kg feed	25.83	4.92	
	8 g/kg feed	25.58	5.13	
Interact	Interaction		5.96	
Crushed + Malic acid 0g / kg feed		25.24	5.86	
Crushed + Malic ac	id 4g/kg feed	25.88	5.28	
Crushed + Malic acid 8g / kg feed		25.78	5.30	
Pellet + Malic acid 0g/kg feed		25.28	5.99	
Pellet +Malic acid 4g/kg feed		26.47	4.62	
Pellet +Malic acid 8g/kg feed		25.89	5.09	

 Table (3): Effect of type of the diet and malic acid on food consumption (kg) and food conversion efficiency (kg/kg) of male Arabi lambs

No significant differences were observed in the weight of the hot carcass between the type of diet (Table 4), in spite of, there is a statistical increase in this trait in pellet diet as compared with crushed diet. due to the high amount of nitrogen intake, as a result of consuming a larger amount of feed, and excreting less nitrogen because the feed stayed for a longer period in the gastrointestinal tract, which helped to improve the digestion of the feed and increase and led to an increase in body and carcass weight (Reddy *et al.*, 2002). The result was in agreement with Pi *et al.*, (2005) Rashid *et al.*, (2016;), Islam *et al.*, (2017); Zhang *et al.*, (2019) and Li *et al.*, (2021), who found that the pellet diet was better than crushed diet in improving carcass weight in sheep and goats. On the other hand, the treatment of 4g malic acid/kg feed was significantly different (P<0.05) in hot carcass weight

Table (4): Effect of type of the diet and malic acid on weights of hot carcasses, dressing percentage and
carcass waste organs of male Arabi lambs (Mean± SE).

Treatments		Hot carcass	Dressing	Carcass waste organs/g			
		(kg)	percentage	Head weight	Feet	Skin	
			(%)		weight	weight	
Type of	Crushed	15.19	41.19	2362	910	4673	
diet		±	±	±	±	±	
		0.49	0.67	71	66	246	
	Pellet	16.54	43.69	2300	908	4236	
		±	±	±	±	±	
		0.56	8.24	97	60	325	
Malic	0 g/kg	15.03	42.12	2275	882	4912	
acid	feed	$\pm b$	±	±	±	±	
		0.54	0.94	133	58	523	
	4 g/kg	17.01	43.96	2373	926	4686	
	feed	$\pm a$	±	±	±	±	
		0.45	0.57	79	55	220	
	8 g/kg	15.55	41.43	3246	919	4766	
	feed	$\pm b$	±	±	±	±	
		0.35	0.43	100	48	341	
Intera	ction	14.59	40.76	2362	885	5287	
Crushed	+ Malic	$\pm b$	±	±	±	±	
acid 0g / 1	kg feed	1.13	0.76	110	69	680	
Crushed	+ Malic	15.44	41.55	2401	980	4638	
acid 4g/l	kg feed	$\pm b$	±	±	±	±	
		0.52	0.40	113	70	680	
Crushed	+ Malic	15.44	41.32	2325	867	4095	
acid 8g / 1	kg feed	$\pm b$	±	±	±	±	
		0.45	0.39	4	66	700	
Pellet + Ma	alic acid	15.47	43.49	2187	880	4537	
0g/kg	feed	$\pm b$	±	±	±	±	
		1.56	0.100	190	105	870	
Pellet +M	alic acid	18.48	46.38	2345	873	4733	
4 g/kg	feed	$\pm a$	±	±	±	±	
		0.24	0.26	140	82	960	
Pellet + Ma	alic acid	15.67	41.36	2367	971	4437	
8g/kg 1	feed	$\pm b$	±	±	±	±	
		0.29	3.16	119	130	490	

* Different letters vertically differ significantly at the 5% level.

compared to other treatments. may due to that malic acid is an important source of energy and animals growth when added to their diets (Barazi et al., 2019) because it plays a role in maintaining the pH value suitable for the work of beneficial microorganisms, which are necessary for the fermentation of carbohydrate-rich diet, which share significantly to providing maximum energy to increase growth animal (Martin, 1998), and this is reflected positively in the final score in increasing the carcasses weight. The interaction between the type of diet and the level of malic acid showed that the treatment of pellet + malic acid 4 g/kg of feed increased significantly (P<0.05) in hot carcass compared with the other treatments. Pellet diet treatment and 4g malic acid/kg feed treatment and the interaction between them shows improved dressing percentage compared with other treatment, this result was in agreement with Islam *et al.*, (2017); Li *et al.*, (2021) and Barazi *et*

al., (2019). No significant differences were observed between the type of diet and level of malic acid and the interaction between them the weights carcass waste organs. May be due to the fact that these organs are early-maturing organs and are not affected by the contents of the diet used in the nutrition of animals (Al-Jassim and Al-Saigh, 1999).

The effect of type of diet and different levels of malic acid on the weight of cut carcasses was presented in Table 5. The animals who fed on a pellet diet increased significantly (P< 0.05) the weights of their carcass cuts such as shoulder, loin and leg compared to the crushed diet, may due to

 Table (5): Effect of type of the diet and malic acid on weight of the carcass cuts (g) for the different experimental treatments (Mean± SD).

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } \hline {\begin{tabular}{ c c c } \hline Treatments} & Neck & Shoulder & Rib & Loin & Leg & Fat-tail \\ \hline Type of diet & Crushed & 882 & 4644 & 2001 & 1056 & 4744 & 1830 \\ & \pm & \pm b & \pm & \pm b & \pm b & \pm b & \pm \\ & -21 & 86 & 101 & 63 & 249 & 139 \\ \hline Pellet & 837 & 5077 & 1773 & 1539 & 5346 & 1969 \\ & \pm & \pm a & \pm & \pm a & \pm a & \pm a & \pm \\ & -43 & 112 & 129 & 114 & 280 & 133 \\ \hline Malic acid & 0 g/kg & 841 & 4635 & 1826 & 1162 & 4539 & 1981 \\ & feed & \pm & \pm c & \pm & \pm b & \pm b & \pm \\ & -60 & 95 & 207 & 195 & 488 & 174 \\ \hline & 60 & 95 & 207 & 195 & 488 & 174 \\ \hline & 60 & 95 & 207 & 195 & 488 & 174 \\ \hline & 60 & 95 & 207 & 195 & 488 & 174 \\ \hline & 4 g/kg & 927 & 5116 & 1935 & 14662 & 5547 & 1986 \\ \hline & feed & \pm & \pm a & \pm & \pm a & \pm a & \pm \\ \hline & 11 & 127 & 113 & 66 & 147 & 204 \\ \hline & 204 & 111 & 127 & 113 & 66 & 147 & 204 \\ \hline & 32 & 119 & 112 & 125 & 211 & 107 \\ \hline & 1nteraction & 862 & 4517 & 2176 & 911 & 4041 & 2088 \\ \hline & Crushed + Malic acid 0g/ \\ k & \pm c & \pm & \pm b & \pm & \pm \\ kg feed & & 4 & b & \pm & \pm b & \pm & \pm \\ kg feed & & 4 & b & \pm & \pm b & \pm & \pm \\ \hline & 7 & 151 & 104 & 26 & 216 & 471 \\ \hline & Crushed + Malic acid 8g / & 887 & 4937 & 2128 & 967 & 4562 & 1950 \\ kg feed & & \pm & b & \pm & \pm b & \pm a & \pm \\ \hline & 11 & 89 & 299 & 348 & 834 & 37 \\ \hline & Pellet + Malic acid 9g/kg & 820 & 4753 & 1577 & 1413 & 5037 & 1875 \\ \hline & feed & & \pm & bc & \pm & \pm b & \pm a & \pm \\ \hline & 11 & 89 & 299 & 348 & 834 & 37 \\ \hline & Pellet + Malic acid 8g/kg & 955 & 5382 & 2171 & 1635 & 5820 & 2518 \\ \hline & feed & & & \pm a & \pm & \pm$	experimental treatments (Mean± SD).							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Treatmo	ents	Neck	Shoulder	Rib	Loin	Leg	Fat-tail
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Type of diet	Crushed	882	4644	2001	1056	4744	1830
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			±	± b	±	$\pm b$	$\pm b$	±
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			21	86	101	63	249	139
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Pellet	837	5077	1773	1539	5346	1969
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			±	$\pm a$	±	± a	$\pm a$	±
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			43	112	129	114	280	133
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } & 60 & 95 & 207 & 195 & 488 & 174 \\ \hline 4 g/kg & 927 & 5116 & 1935 & 14662 & 5547 & 1986 \\ \hline 4 & \pm a & \pm & \pm a & \pm a & \pm a & \pm & \\ \hline 11 & 127 & 113 & 66 & 147 & 204 \\ \hline 8 g/kg & 811 & 5016 & 1850 & 1268 & 4872 & 1732 \\ \hline 8 g/kg & \pm & \pm b & \pm & \pm b & \pm b & \pm & \\ \hline 1 & 32 & 119 & 112 & 125 & 211 & 107 \\ \hline & 32 & 119 & 112 & 125 & 211 & 107 \\ \hline \\ $	Malic acid	0 g/kg	841	4635	1826	1162	4539	1981
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		feed				$\pm b$		±
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			60	95	207	195	488	174
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		4 g/kg	927	5116	1935	14662	5547	1986
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		feed			±	$\pm a$	$\pm a$	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			11	127	113	66	147	204
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline 32 & 119 & 112 & 125 & 211 & 107 \\ \hline \ Interaction 1 & 862 & 4517 & 2176 & 911 & 4041 & 2088 \\ \hline \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		8 g/kg	811	5016	1850	1268	4872	1732
Interaction 862 4517 2176 911 4041 2088 Crushed + Malic acid $0g / kg$ feed \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm kg feed 63 158 227 123 501 365 Crushed + Malic acid 4 898 4850 1700 1290 5328 1453 g/kg feed \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm 7 151 104 26 216 47 Crushed + Malic acid $8g / kg$ feed \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm 23 477 2128 967 4562 1950 kg feed \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm 23 477 74 19 328 64 Pellet + Malic acid $0g/kg$ 820 4753 1577 1413 5037 1875 feed \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm Pellet + Malic acid $4g/kg$ 955 5382 2171 1635 5820 2518 feed \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm $6ed$ \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm Pellet + Malic acid $8g/kg$ 736 5095 1572 1535 5182 1515 feed \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm		feed	±	$\pm b$	±	$\pm b$	$\pm b$	±
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			32	119	112	125	211	107
kg feed63158227123501365Crushed + Malic acid 4 g/kg feed $\$98$ 4850 1700 1290 5328 1453 g/kg feed \pm \pm b \pm \pm ab \pm ab \pm \pm Trushed + Malic acid 8g / kg feed $\$87$ 4937 2128 967 4562 1950 kg feed \pm \pm ab \pm \pm b \pm \pm b \pm \pm b \pm Crushed + Malic acid 8g / kg feed $\$887$ 4937 2128 967 4562 1950 kg feed \pm \pm ab \pm \pm b \pm ab \pm 23 477 74 19 328 64 Pellet + Malic acid 0g/kg feed $\$20$ 4753 1577 1413 5037 1875 feed \pm \pm bc \pm \pm ab \pm ab \pm \pm \pm Pellet + Malic acid 4g/kg feed 955 5382 2171 1635 5820 2518 feed \pm \pm a \pm \pm a \pm a \pm a \pm a \pm Pellet + Malic acid 4g/kg feed 736 5095 1572 1535 5182 1515 Pellet + Malic acid 8g/kg feed \pm ab \pm ab \pm ab \pm ab \pm ab \pm ab \pm abfeed \pm \pm ab \pm abfeed \pm \pm ab \pm ab \pm ab \pm ab \pm ab <td< td=""><td>Interact</td><td colspan="2">Interaction</td><td>4517</td><td>2176</td><td>911</td><td>4041</td><td>2088</td></td<>	Interact	Interaction		4517	2176	911	4041	2088
IngredueImage: Second of the second sec	Crushed + Malic	Crushed + Malic acid $0g/$		$\pm c$	±	$\pm b$	±	±
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	kg fee	d	63	158	227	123	501	365
71511042621647Crushed + Malic acid 8g / kg feed8874937212896745621950 \pm \pm ab \pm \pm b \pm ab \pm \pm b \pm ab \pm 2347741932864Pellet + Malic acid 0g/kg feed82047531577141350371875feed \pm \pm bc \pm \pm ab \pm \pm Pellet + Malic acid 4g/kg feed95553822171163558202518feed \pm \pm a \pm \pm a \pm \pm \pm Pellet + Malic acid 4g/kg feed95553822171163558202518feed \pm \pm a \pm \pm a \pm a \pm \pm Pellet + Malic acid 8g/kg feed73650951572153551821515Pellet + Malic acid 8g/kg feed \pm \pm ab \pm \pm a \pm \pm \pm \pm Pellet + Malic acid 8g/kg feed73650951572153551821515Pellet + Malic acid 8g/kg feed \pm	Crushed + Ma	lic acid 4	898	4850	1700	1290	5328	1453
Crushed + Malic acid $8g / kg$ feed 887 4937 2128 967 4562 1950 kg feed \pm \pm ab \pm \pm b \pm ab \pm \pm b \pm ab \pm 23 47 74 19 328 64 Pellet + Malic acid $0g/kg$ 820 4753 1577 1413 5037 1875 feed \pm \pm bc \pm \pm ab \pm ab \pm 11 89 299 348 834 37 Pellet + Malic acid $4g/kg$ 955 5382 2171 1635 5820 2518 feed \pm \pm a \pm \pm a \pm \pm a \pm 7 74 110 19 122 56 Pellet + Malic acid $8g/kg$ 736 5095 1572 1535 5182 1515 feed \pm \pm ab \pm \pm ab \pm a \pm \pm ab \pm	g/kg fe	ed	±	$\pm b$	±	$\pm ab$	$\pm ab$	±
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			7	151	104	26	216	47
23 47 74 19 328 64 Pellet + Malic acid 0g/kg feed 820 4753 1577 1413 5037 1875 \pm 10 \pm 11 89 299 348 834 37 Pellet + Malic acid 4g/kg 955 5382 2171 1635 5820 2518 \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm 7 74 110 19 122 56 Pellet + Malic acid 8g/kg 736 5095 1572 1535 5182 1515 feed \pm $6ed$ \pm 5182 5182 5182 5182 51515 \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm $6ed$ \pm <t< td=""><td>Crushed + Malie</td><td>c acid 8g /</td><td>887</td><td>4937</td><td>2128</td><td>967</td><td>4562</td><td>1950</td></t<>	Crushed + Malie	c acid 8g /	887	4937	2128	967	4562	1950
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	kg fee	d	±	$\pm ab$	±	$\pm b$	$\pm ab$	±
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		_		47	74	19	328	64
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pellet + Malic a	cid 0g/kg	820	4753	1577	1413	5037	1875
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	feed		±	$\pm bc$	±	$\pm ab$	$\pm ab$	±
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			11	89	299	348	834	37
7 74 110 19 122 56 Pellet + Malic acid 8g/kg feed 736 5095 1572 1535 5182 1515 feed ± ± ab ± ± a ± ab ±	00		955	5382	2171	1635	5820	2518
Pellet + Malic acid $8g/kg$ 736 5095 1572 1535 5182 1515 feed \pm \pm ab \pm \pm a \pm ab \pm	feed			$\pm a$	±	$\pm a$	$\pm a$	±
feed \pm $\pm ab$ \pm $\pm a$ $\pm ab$ \pm			7	74	110	19	122	56
	Pellet + Malic acid 8g/kg		736	5095	1572	1535	5182	1515
22 246 47 114 190 134	feed			$\pm ab$		$\pm a$	$\pm ab$	±
				246	47	114	190	134

* Different letters vertically differ significantly at the 5% level.

the increased intake of feed, which led to an increase in the weight of the animal carcasses and increase in the weight of the cuts (Islam *et al.*, 2017). Results were consistent with those of Pi *et al.*, (2005) and Li *et al.*, (2021).

It is also noted from the same table significant differences (P<0.05) in the weights of shoulder, loin and leg in the treatment of 4 gm malic acid/kg feed compared to the treatments of 0

and 8g malic acid/kg feed), may be attributed to the increase in microbial protein production, the high availability of propionate and reduced rumen methane formation (Khampa *et al.*, 2009) and both the high nitrogen and propionate levels in the rumen can increase muscle size first by depositing more nitrogen directly into the tissues, and secondly through the higher level of bioavailability that results from the metabolism of propionate through gluconeogenesis. Additionally, more propionate leads to muscle cell hypertrophy (Hosseini *et al.*, 2012). The result conformed to that of Loya-Olguin *et al.*, (2019).

The interaction between the type of diet and the level of malic acid, significant differences (P< 0.05) were presented in the treatment of pellet + 4 gm malic acid/kg feed in the weights of the some cuts (shoulder, loin and leg) as compared with the other treatments.

Conclusions

We conclude from the results of this study that the use of the pellet diet and the level of 4 g of malic acid/kg of feed improves feed consumption, feed efficiency and the growth of animals which improves the characteristics of carcasses.

Acknowledgements

We offer our thanks to the Dean of the College of Agriculture, the manager of the animal field, and the workers in the physiology laboratory for their help in completing this work.

References

- Abas, I.; Kutay, H. C.; Kahraman, R.; Toker, N. Y.; Ozcelik, D.; Ates, F. and, A. Kaçakci (2007). Effects of organic acid and bacterial direct-fed microbial on fattening performance of Kivircik-Male yearling lambs. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition. 6: 149-154.
- Abdelrahman, M. M.; Alhidary, I.; Albaadani, H. H.; Alobre, M.; Khan, R. U. and R. S. Aljumaah (2019). Effect of palm kernel meal and malic acid on rumen characteristics of growing Naemi lambs fed total mixed ration. Animals. 9(7): 408-416.
- Ahmed, S.; Rakib, M. R. H.; Hemayet, M. A.; Roy, B. K. and N. Jahan (2020). Effect of complete pellet feed on commercial goat production under the stall feeding system in Bangladesh. Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research. 7(4):704-709.
- Al-Jassim, A. F. and M. Al-Saigh (1999). Some aspects of post-natal growth of Arabi sheep: Live weight and body organs. Indian Journal of Animal Sciences. 69(8): 604-608.
- Barazi, A.; Chaibou, M.; Mouctari, O. and M. Nouhou (2019). Supplementation by a food additive on weight gain and carcass yield of redhead kids in Maradi, Niger. International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences. 13(6): 2487-2496. (In France).
- Cavini, S. (2015). The use of zootechnical additives in small ruminants in intensive system and field conditions. Ph.D. Theses. Dissertation: University of Barcelona. Spain. Pp 187.
- Elmali, D. A.; Sahin, T.; Kaya, I. and Y. Unal (2012). Effects of supplementation with different amounts of malic acid to Tuj lambs diets on fattening performance, rumen parameters and digestibility. Revue de Medecine Veterinaire. 163(2): 70-75. (In France).
- Estrada-Angulo, A. A.; Valdés, Y. S B., Carrillo-Muro, O. B.; Castro-Perez, B. I. A.; Barreras, A. B.; López-Soto, M. A. B.; Plascencia, A. B. D.; Dávila-Ramos, H. A.; Rios, F. G. A. and R. A. Zinn. (2013). Effects of feeding different levels of chromium-enriched live yeast in hairy lambs fed a corn-based diet: effects on growth performance, dietary energetics, carcass traits and visceral organ mass. Animal Production Science. 53(4): 308-315.
- Flores Pérez, C. (2004). Improving performance of sheep using fibrolytic enzymes in dairy ewes and malate in fattening lambs. Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. Autònoma de Barcelona.: Pp 106.

- Gomez, J. A.; Tejido, M. L. and M. D. Carro (2005). Influence of disodium malate on microbial growth and fermentation in rumen-simulation technique fermenters receiving medium- and high-concentrate diets. British Journal of Nutrition. 93(4): 479-484.
- Helal, F. I.S. and K. A. Abdel-Rahman (2010). Productive performance of lactating ewes fed diets supplementing with dry yeast and/or bentonite as feed additives. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 6 (5): 489-498.
- Hosseini, A.; Behrendt, C.; Regenhard, P.; Sauerwein, H. and M. Mielenz (2012). Differential effects of propionate or β -hydroxybutyrate on genes related to energy balance and insulin sensitivity in bovine white adipose tissue explants from a subcutaneous and a visceral depot 1. Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition. 96(4): 570-580.
- Islam, R.; Redoy, M.; Shuvo, A.; Sarker, M.; Akbar, M. and M. Al-Mamun (2017). Effect of pellet from total mixed ration on growth performance, blood metabolomics, carcass and meat characteristics of Bangladeshi garole sheep. Progressive Agriculture. 28(3): 222-229.
- Khampa, S.; Chaowarat, P.; Singhalert, R. and M. Wanapat (2009). Manipulation of rumen ecology by yeast and malate in dairy heifer. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition. 8(6): 787-791.
- Krehbiel, C.; Rust, S.; Zhang, G. and S. Gilliland (2003). Bacterial direct-fed microbials in ruminant diets: Performance response and mode of action. Journal of Animal Science, 81(14_suppl_2): E120-E132.
- Lailer, P. C.; Dahiya, S. S.; Lal, D. and T. R. Chauhan (2005). Complete feed for livestock concept, present status and future trend: A review. The Indian Journal of Animal Sciences. 75(1): 84-91.
- Li, B.; Sun, X.; Huo, Q.; Zhang, G.; Wu, T.; You, P.; He, Y.; Tian, W.; Li, R.; Li, C.; Li, J.; Wang, C. and B. Song (2021). Pelleting of a Total Mixed Ration Affects Growth Performance of Fattening Lambs. Frontiers Veterinary Science. 8: 1-13.
- Loya-Olguin, J. L.; Ávila Ramos, F.; Martínez Gonzalez, S.; García Galicia, I. A.; Alarcón Rojo, A.
 D. and E F. scalera Valente (2019). DL-malic acid supplementation improves the carcass characteristics of finishing Pelibuey lambs. Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Pecuarias. 10(2): 460-472. (In Spanish).
- MAFF. (1975). Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, Energy Allowances and Feeding Systems for Ruminants. Technical Bulletin 33, Her Majesty's Stationary Office, London. U.K.
- Malekkhahi, M.; Tahmasbi, A. M.; Naserian, A. A.; Danesh Mesgaran, M.; Kleen, J. L.; and A. A. Parand (2015). Effects of essential oils, yeast culture and malate on rumen fermentation, blood metabolites, growth performance and nutrient digestibility of Baluchi lambs fed highconcentrate diets. Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition. 99(2): 221-229.
- Martin, S.; Sullivan, H. and J. Evans (2000). Effect of sugars and malate on ruminal microorganisms. Journal of Dairy Science. 83(11): 2574-2579.
- Martin, S. A. (1998). Manipulation of ruminal fermentation with organic acids: a review. Journal of Animal Science. 76(12): 3123-3132.
- Martin, S. A. and M. N. Streeter (1995). Effect of malate on in vitro mixed ruminal microorganism fermentation. Journal of Animal Science. 73(7): 2141-2145.
- Mungói, M. (2012). Effect of malate and starch source on digestibility and nutrient balance of growing-fattening lambs. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 174(3-4): 154-162.
- NRC. (1985). National Research Council. Nutrient Requirements of Sheep, 6th Edition, National Academy Press, Washington, D.C. U.S.A.

- Pi, Z., Wu, Y. and J. Liu (2005). Effect of pretreatment and pelletization on nutritive value of rice straw-based total mixed ration, and growth performance and meat quality of growing Boer goats fed on TMR. Small Ruminant Research. 56(1-3): 81-88.
- Raju, J.; Narasimha, J.; Kumari, N. N.; Raghunanadan, T.; Preetam, V. C.; Kumar, A. A. and P. R.K. Reddy (2021). Feeding value of sorghum stover fed to tropical hair sheep as complete rations in chop, mash, pellet, and block forms. Veterinary World. 14(8): 2273-2281.
- Ran, T.; Fang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Yang, W.; Niu, Y.; Sun, X. and R. Zhong (2021). Effects of grain type and conditioning temperature during pelleting on growth performance, ruminal fermentation, meat quality and blood metabolites of fattening lambs. Animal. 15(3): 1-9.
- Rashid, M.; Khan, M., Khandoker, M. and M. Monir (2016). Feeding different forms of ration including compound pellet and performance of growing black bengal goat. Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science. 9(5): 1-8.
- Reddy, G.; Joji Reddy, K. and D. Nagalakshmi (2002). Effect of expander-extruder processed complete diet containing sugarcane bagasse on growth and nutrient utilization in Ongole bull calves. Indian Journal of Animal Sciences. 72(5): 406-409.
- Sahoo, A. and B. Jena (2014).Organic Acids as Rumen Modifiers. International Journal of Science and Research. 3(11): 2262-2266.
- Shwayel, M. A. and M. H. Rasheed (2016). Effect of add deference level of yeast culture *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to the ratio on the some physiological blood characters of local ram Awasi. Basrah Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 29(1): 119-126. (In Arabic).
- SPSS, Statistical Package for the Social Sciences .(2019). Quantitative Data Analysis with IBM SPSS version 26: A Guide for Social Scientists. New York: Routledge. ISBN 978-0-415-57918-6
- Toprak, N. N.; Öztürk, H.; Dikmen, B. Y. And F. M. Ünler (2018). Effects of malate supplementation to the concentrate feed on performance, rumen fermentation and carcass yield of lambs fed forage at restricted and ad-libitum level. Ankara Üniversitesi Veteriner Fakültesi Dergisi, 66(1): 73-81.
- Zhang, C.; Li, M.; Al-Marashdeh, O.; Gan, L., Zhang, C. and G. Zhang (2019). Performance, rumen fermentation, and gastrointestinal microflora of lambs fed pelleted or unpelleted total mixed ration. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 253: 22-31.

تأثير عليقتي الأقراص والمجروش ومستويات مختلفة من حامض الماليك في كفاءة وخصائص ذبائح الحملان العرابية الذكرية

ناظم محمد جواد علي $^{(1)}$ ووليد يوسف قاسم $^{(2)^*}$ وعماد فلاح حسن $^{(2)}$

(1).قسم الصحة العامة البيطرية، كلية الطب البيطري، جامعة البصرة، البصرة، العراق.
 (2).قسم الانتاج الحيواني، كلية الزراعة، جامعة البصرة، البصرة ، العراق.
 (* للمراسلة: د.وليد يوسف قاسم , البريد الالكتروني : waleed.vosief@uobasrah.edu.iq)

تاريخ الاستلام:2023/03/10 تاريخ القبول 20/03/29

الملخص :

أجريت هذه الدراسة في الحقل الحيواني التابع لكلية الزراعة , جامعة البصرة للفترة بين 2020/12/1 (ولغاية 2021/3/1 . وزع أربعة وعشرون حملاً ذكرياً لسلالة العرابي عشوائياً إلى ست مجاميع (4 حملان لكل مجموعة)، لمعرفة تأثير نوعين من العلائق (الأقراص والمجروش) مع مستوى حامض الماليك والتداخل بينهما في بعض الصفات الإنتاجية مثل الأوزان الحية ، الزيادة الوزنية اليومية والكلية، تتاول العلف، كفاءة التغذية وبعض خصائص الأبيحة مثل والفخذ والالية) وكذلك أعضاء التحافي والكلية، تتاول العلف، كفاءة التغذية وبعض خصائص الأبيحة مثل وزن الذيبة إورن الذبيحة الحار ونسبة التصافي والقطعيات الرئيسية (الرقبة والكتف والضلع والخاصرة والفخذ والالية) وكذلك أعضاء مخلفات الأبيحة . كانت المعاملات كالآتي: المعاملة الأولى: عليقة مجروش مركز بدون اضافة مخلفات الذبيحة . كانت المعاملات كالآتي: المعاملة الأولى: عليقة مجروش مركز بدون اضافة مخلفات الذبيحة . كانت المعاملات كالآتي: المعاملة الأولى: عليقة مجروش مركز بدون اضافة عاف، المعاملة الثانية: عليقة مجروش مركز مع اضافة 4 غم حامض الماليك / كغم علف المعاملة الأولى: عليقة الأقراص مع اضافة 8 غم حامض الماليك / كغم علف المعاملة الماليك والمعاملة الثانية: عليقة مجروش مركز مع اضافة 8 غم حامض الماليك / كغم علف الموامنة 8 غم حامض الماليك / كغم علف المعاملة الرابعة: عليقة الأقراص مع اضافة 8 غم حامض الماليك / كغم علف المعاملة الرابعة: عليقة الأوران بدون اضافة 1 في مامض الماليك / كغم علف والمعاملة السادسة: عليقة الأقراص مع اضافة 8 غم حامض الماليك / كغم علف المواملة السادسة: عليقة الأقراص مع اضافة 8 غم حامض الماليك / كغم علف ألمارت النتائج إلى زيادة معنوية (0.0) مع اعليقة الأوراص مع اضافة 8 غم حامض الماليك / كغم علف ألماليك / كغم علف ألمالية إلى زيادة معنوية (0.0) مع اضافة 3 في حامض الماليك إلى والمعاملة الخامسة: عليقة الأوراص مع اضافة 8 غم حامض الماليك / كغم علف ألماليك / كغم علف ألمالية إلى زيادة معنوية (0.0) مع اضافة 8 غم حامض الماليك / كغم علف ألماليك / كغم علف ألمالية إلى زيادة معنوية (0.0) مع اضافة 3 في حامض الماليك / كغم علف ألمالية إلى زيادة معنوية (0.0) مع حامض الماليك ، كفاءة المعاملة السادسة: عليقة الأقراص مع اضافة 3 في أوزان الجسم الحية ، الزيادة الوينية معميايي العيقة المرسي ألمي معامي ألمالية ألمى

الكلمات المفتاحية: حامض الماليك ، خصائص الذبيحة ، حملان ذكرية عرابية، علائق الأقراص و المجروش.