



Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tdmc20

Some exact values for *p*(*t* , *d*)

Suaad Abdulrazzaq Swadi , Alaa A. Najim & Khawla Abdul Razzaq Swadi

To cite this article: Suaad Abdulrazzaq Swadi , Alaa A. Najim & Khawla Abdul Razzaq Swadi (2020): Some exact values for p(t, d), Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography, DOI: 10.1080/09720529.2020.1714889

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/09720529.2020.1714889



Published online: 07 Jun 2020.



Submit your article to this journal 🕝



View related articles 🗹



View Crossmark data 🖸

Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences & Cryptography ISSN 0972-0529 (Print), ISSN 2169-0065 (Online) DOI : 10.1080/09720529.2020.1714889



Some exact values for p(t, d)

Suaad Abdulrazzaq Swadi^{*} Alaa A. Najim Khawla Abdul Razzaq Swadi Department of Mathematics College of Science University of Basrah Basra Iraq

Abstract

Let G = (V, E) be a graph. When a graph is used to model the linkage structure of communication networks, the diameter of a graph gives the length of longest path among all the shortest paths between any two vertices of the graph, F(t, d) denoted the minimum diameter of an altered graph obtained by adding t – extra edges to a graph with diameter d. Let P(t, d) denoted the minimum diameter of a graph obtained by adding t – extra edges to a graph with diameter d. Let P(t, d) denoted the minimum diameter of a graph obtained by adding t – extra edges to a path with diameter d. Knowing that F(t, d) = p(t, d). In this paper we prove that p(t, 3t) = 4, for $t \ge 4$, p(t, d) = 4 where ($t \ge 4$ and $3t + 1 \le d \le 3t + 3$) and show a construction to $p(t, d) \le k + 3$ where ($3 \le t \le 5$) and d = k(t + 1) + 8.

Subject Classification: 05, 94C15 Keywords: Diameter, Altered graph, Edge addition.

1. Introduction

In this paper, G = (V, E) be a simple, finite and undirected graph (without loops and multiple edges) with vertex set V and edge set E [1]. Between any two vertices $u, v \in V(G)$ the distance $d_G(u, v)$ is the length of a shortest path in G joining u and v; the maximum value of $d_G(u, v)$ taken over all pairs of vertices $u, v \in V(G)$ is the diameter D(G), thus a graph is connected if every pair of vertices are joined by path. In the graph model for communication network, the diameter of the graph corresponds to the maximum number of edges over which a message between two

^{*}E-mail: suaadswadi2008@gmail.com

nods must travel [2] and [5]. The edge – addition and deletion problems are well-known [3], also in [8] you can known moor.

Let F(t,d) denoted the minimum diameter of an altered graph obtained by adding t – extra edges to a graph with diameter d. Determining the exact value of F(t,d) is fairly difficult in general since it has been proved by Schoone, Bodlander and Van Leu Ween [2]. For given integers t, d and a connected graph G, constructing an altered graph G'of G by adding t – extra edges to G such that G' has diameter of at most d in NP-complete. Thus, the problem of determining sharp upper bounds of F(t,d) is of interesting.

Let p(t,d) denoted the minimum diameter of a graph obtained by adding t – extra edges to a path with diameter d. In [4] Deng and Xu show that F(t,d) = p(t,d) for any integer t and d.

In theorem 2.1 we prove that the minimum diameter p(t,d) of a graph *G* formation from a path $P = x_0 x_1 \dots x_{3t}$ with diameter d (d = 3t and $t \ge 4 = E$ by adding t – extra edges is= 4. Clearly that the number of vertices of *G* is n(V) = 3t + 1, let $H \subseteq V(G)$ is a free vertices (the vertices that is not joined with any extra edge), from making a partition to a set *H* we can prove that the distance between the vertices of *H* (for some vertices of *H*) is greater than or equal 4 which is mean the minimum diameter is greater than or equal 4.

Theorem 2.1: p(t, 3t) = 4 where $t \ge 4$

Proof: Let $P = x_0 x_1 \dots x_{3t}$ be a (x_0, x_{3t}) - path and let *G* be an altered graph obtain from *p* by adding *t* – extra edges.

From $\left[\frac{d}{t+1}\right] \le p(t,d) \le \left[\frac{d}{t+1}\right] + 1$ for t = 4,5 and $d \ge 4$ by Deng and Xu in[4] and $\left[\frac{d-2}{t+1}\right] \le p(t,d) \le \left[\frac{d-2}{t+1}\right] + 1$, for $t \ge 6$ and $d \ge 3$ by

A.A.Najim and Xu, J. [6] we have==

$$3 \le p(t, 3t) \le 4$$
.....(1)

by adding t – extra edges to the path P_{3t} we show that p(t, 3t) = d(G) is not three because :

Let *H* be a set of free vertices (the vertices that is not joined with any extra edge) then the number of free vertices is $t + 1 \le n(H) \le 2t$, (if we suppose that we adding t – extra edges on the path such that there is no tow edges incident with the same vertex, so we have 2t vertices

which is incident with t - extra edges and since the number of vertices is 3t + 1, so 3t + 1 - 2t = t + 1 is the minimum number of vertices which is free. In another hand if we adding the t - extra edges on the path such that the t edges is incident with the same vertex, we have t + 1 vertices which is incident with t - extra edges and since the number of vertices is 3t + 1, so (3t + 1) - (t + 1) = 2t is the maximum number of vertices which is free.

Let $K = \{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a partition of the set H and let $r = max \{n(H_i)\}_{i \in I}$ then $\exists \alpha \in I \ \ni r = n(H_\alpha)$ so we discuss the cases :

If the maximum degree $t + 2, t + 1, t, \dots, 3$

Let $x_q \in H_{\alpha}$, $x_q \in N(H_{\alpha})$ and $x_j \in H_{\beta}$ where $N(H_{\alpha})$ is a neighbors of H_{α} And H_{β} is the set of vertices which is incident with t – extra edges 1.1 if $r \in \{6,7,...,3t-4\}$ then there exist $x_t \in H_{\alpha}$ where $d_{H_{\alpha}}(x_q, x_t) \ge 4$, $d_G(x_q, x_t) \ge 4$ and hence $d(G) \ge 4$, see figure 1.1.

1.2 **a.** if $r \in \{4,5\}$ then there exist $H_{\beta} \in k \ \ni n(H_{\beta}) \ge 1$ and vertices

 x_q , x_j where= $d_{H_{\alpha}}(x_q, x') \le \frac{1}{2} d(H_{\alpha})$, $\forall x' \in V(H_{\alpha})$ and $d_p(x_q, x_j) \ge 4$ such that $x_q \in N(H_{\alpha}) \cap N(H_{\beta})$ then $d_G(x_q, x_q') \ge 3$ and $d_G(x_q', x_j) \ge 1$ thus $d_G(x_q, x_j) \ge 4$ and hence $d(G) \ge 4$, see figure 1.2.a.

1.2. **b.** if r = t + 1 = and there is not exist $H_{\beta} \in k$, let $x_q, x_j \in H_{\alpha}$ and $x_q \in N(H_{\beta}) =$ where= $d_{H_{\alpha}}(x_q, x') \leq \frac{1}{2} = d(H_{\alpha}), \forall x' \in V(H_{\alpha}), d_G(x_q, x_q') \geq 3$ and $d_G(x_q', x_j) \geq 4$ thus $d_G(x_q, x_j) \geq 4$ and hence $d(G) \geq 4$ see, figure 1.2.b.

2. if r = 3, let $d_{H_{\alpha}}(x_q, x') \le 1$, $\forall x' \in V(H_{\alpha})$:

2.1 if there is $H_{\beta} \in k \ni n(H_{\beta}) \ge 2$ and there are two vertices $x_{q'}, x_{j'}$ which are distinct (not free) where $d_p(x_j, x_q) \ge 4$, $d_G(x_q, x_{q'}) \ge 2$ and $d_G(x_q, x_{j'}) \ge 3$ thus $d_G(x_q, x_j) \ge 4$ and hence $d(G) \ge 4$, see figure 2.1.

2.2 if $n(H_{\beta}) = 1$, $\forall H_{\beta} \in k$:

2.2.a if $N(H_{\alpha}) \cap N(H_{\beta}) \neq \phi$

That is mean there is $x_q \in N(H_a) \cap N(H_\beta)$ such that $d_G(x_q, x_q) \ge 2$, $d_G(x_q, x_j) = 1$ and $d_G(x_q, x_j) \ge 3$ then there exist $x \in V(H)$ where $d_p(x_q, x^*) \ge 4$ thus $d_G(x_q, x^*) \ge 4$ and hence $d(G) \ge 4$, see figure 2.2.a.

2.2.b if $N(H_{\alpha}) \cap N(H_{\beta}) = \phi$

That is mean there is $x_{j'} \in N(H_{\beta}) =$ such that $x_{q'} \neq x_{j'}$ then $d_G(x_q, x_{q'}) \ge 2$, $d_G(x_{q'}, x_{j}) \ge 1$ and $d_G(x_j, x_{j'}) = 1$

So, $d_G(x_q, x_j) \ge 4$ and hence $d(G) \ge 4$, see figure 2.2.b.

3. r = 2, let x_i be a fixed vertex (the vertex which is not incident with maximum degree)

3.1 if the max degree is t + 1, t, t - 1, ..., 6 (interior vertices, n(H) = 2t and $t \le n(H) \le t + 2$ or t + 1 degree (end vertices and n(k) = t or t + 1)

So, there exist at least 2 - sets of n(k) have 2 - vertices x_q and x_j such that $d_p(x_q, x_i) \ge 2$ and $d_p(x_q, x_j) \ge 4$ then $d_G(x_q, x_j) \ge 4$ and hence $d(G) \ge 4$.

3.2 if the max degree is 5 (interior vertices),= $7 \le n(H) \le 9$ and $4 \le n(k) \le 6$:

3.2. a. if the 2 -sets which is incident with max degree have 2 -vertices and the other edge is:

3.2. a.1. incident by two vertices (or one) with extra edge so, there exist x_q and x_j (which is not close with the vertex joined with other edges) such that $d_p(x_q, x_j) \ge 4$ and $d_G(x_q, x_i) \ge 2$ then $d_G(x_q, x_j) \ge 4$ and hence $= d(G) \ge 4$.

(since $7 \le n(H) \le 9$ and the vertices which is close with other edges is 4 so we have x_{i}).

3.2. a. 2. is not incident by any vertex with extra edges then there exist x_q and x_j such that $d_p(x_q, x_j) \ge 4$, $d_G(x_j, x_i) \ge 2$ and $d_G(x_q, x_i) \ge 2 =$ then $d_G(x_q, x_i) \ge 4$ and hence $d(G) \ge 4$.

3.2. b. if the 1 -sets which is incident with max degree have 2 or 1 vertices and the other edges :

3.2.b.1. is incident by two (or one) vertices with extra edges so, there exist x_q and x_j (which is not clots with the vertices joined with other edges) such that $d_G(x_q, x_j) \ge 4$ and hence $d(G) \ge 4$.

(since n(H) = 2t - 1 or 2t and the vertices which is close with the other edges is 4 And the vertices which is incident with x_i is 3 so, we have 2 vertices we can choose x_i from it).

3.2. b. 2. Is not incident by any vertex with with extra edges, then there exist x_q and x_j such that $d_p(x_q, x_j) \ge 4$, $d_p(x_q, x_i) \ge 2$ then $d_G(x_q, x_j) \ge 4$ and hence $d(G) \ge 4$.

3.2. c. if the 2-sets which is incident with max degree have 1- vertices and the other edges :

3.2. c. 1. is incident by one vertex with extra edges (incident with 2-vertices with extra edges is not satisfy because H_{α} is became more than 2- vertices) then we choose 2-sets which is not incident with x_i so, there exist x_q and x_j which is far off the other edges by 2 or more steeps such that $d_p(x_q, x_i) \ge 4$ then $d_G(x_q, x_i) \ge 4$ and hence $d(G) \ge 4$.

(since the number of not free vertices and the free vertices incident with x_i is 2t - 2 then we have 2t - 1 free vertices so, we can choose x_i).

3.2.c.2. is not incident by any vertices with extra edges then there exist x_q and x_j which is incident with x_i and far off the other edges by 2 or more steeps (by two or one side) such that $d_p(x_q, x_j) \ge 4$ then $d_G(x_q, x_j) \ge 4$ and hence $d(G) \ge 4$.



Figure 2.2.a



Figure 2.2. b

4. r = 2

4.1. If the maximum degree t + 2, t + 1, ..., 6 (end or interior vertex) and 4,5 (end vertex and maximum degree 4 is not done at d = 12). Is no satisfying since H_a contain vertices greater than or equal 2.

Hint: the vertices which is incident with t - edge is t + 1 and the free vertices which is close with the incident to t - edge is t + 1 then we have 2t + 2 and hence 3t + 1 - (2t + 2) = t - 1 free vertices.

4.2. If the maximum degree 5 (interior vertex), n(H) = t + 3 and n(k) = t + 3

Then there exist x_q and x_j is not a neighborhood to the vertex with maximum degree, and there is no common edge between neighborhood x_q and x_j , also $d_p(x_q, x_j) \ge 4$, so x_q it need at least 4 = steeps in the graph to reach x_j (x_q need one steep to reach vertex join with extra edge then to vertex with max degree after that reach to vertex join with another extra edge and finally reach to x_j so $d_G(x_q, x_j) \ge 4$ and hence $d(G) \ge 4$.

4.3. Maximum degree is 4 (interior vertex,= $t + 2 \le n(H) \le t + 3$, $t + 2 \le n(k) \le t + 3 = =$ and maximum degree is (interior or end vertex,= $t + 1 \le n(H) \le t + 2$, $t + 1 \le n(k) \le t + 2 = =$ then there exist x_q and x_j such that $d_p(x_q, x_j) \ge 4$, is not a neighborhood to the vertex incident with maximum degree and there is not common edge between neighborhood of x_q and x_j then $d_G(x_q, x_j) \ge 4$ and hence $d(G) \ge 4$.

From above results $p(t, 3t) = d(G) \ge 4$(2) and from equation (1) We have p(t, 3t) = 4 where $t \ge 4$.

Proposition 2.2: p(t,d) = 4 where $t \ge 4$ and $3t + 1 \le d \le 3t + 3$

Proof : From theorem 2.1 above we have p(t, 3t) = 4 where $t \ge 4$ From Schoon. [6] $p(t,d) \ge p(t,d')$ if $= d \ge d'$ We get $p(t,d) \ge 4$ for $t \ge 4$ and $3t + 1 \le d \le 3t + 3$

On other hand, from Deng and Xu [4] $p(t,d) \le \left\lceil \frac{d}{t+1} \right\rceil + 1$ where t = 4,5 and $d \ge 4$, we get $p(t,d) \le 4$



Construction of theorem 2.3

And from Nijim and Xu [7] $p(t,d) \le \left\lceil \frac{d-2}{t+1} \right\rceil + 1$ where $t \ge 6$ and $d \ge 3$

We get $p(t,d) \le 4$ So, p(t,d) = 4

Theorem 2.3: $p(t,d) \le k+3$ for $k \ge 2$, $3 \le t \le 5$ and d = k(t+1)+8.

Proof: Let G be an altered graph construct from a single path $P = x_0 x_1 \dots x_d$ plus t – extra edgessuch that the diameter of G is p(t,d)

Let d = k(t+1) + 8 we add $= t - \text{extra edges} (3 \le t \le 5)$:

 $e_1 = x_0 x_{2k+5}$ $e_4 = x_{k+2} x_{5k+8}$ $e_5 = x_{2k+5} x_d$ $e_2 = x_{k+2} x_{3k+6}$ $e_3 = x_{k+5} x_{4k+8}$

Now , the end – vertices of these edges divide P into t + 1 segments :

$P_1 = (x_0, x_{k+2})$	$P_3 = (x_{2k+5}, x_{3k+6})$		
$P_2 = (x_{k+2}, x_{2k+5})$	$P_4 = (x_{3k+6}, x_{4k+8})$		
$P_5 = (x_{4k+8}, x_{5k+8})$	$P_6 = (x_{5k+8}, x_{6k+8})$		
It is easy to see that :			
$\varepsilon(p_2) = k + 3$	$\varepsilon(p_i) = k + 2$	where	i = 1, 4.
$\varepsilon(p_3) = k + 1$	$\varepsilon(p_i) = k$	where	i = 5, 6

We will prove the distance between any two vertices x and y of V(G), is less than or equal k + 3.

Now we define $\frac{t(t+1)}{2}$ cycles, $c^1, c^2, ..., c^{\frac{t(t+1)}{2}}$ as: $C_{t}^{1} = p_{1} \cup p_{2} + e_{1}$ $C_{t}^{2} = p_{1} \cup p_{3} + e_{1} + e_{2}$ $C_{t}^{3} = p_{2} \cup p_{3} + e_{2}$ $C_{t}^{4} = p_{1} \cup p_{4} + e_{1} + e_{2} + e_{3}$ $C_{t}^{9} = p_{3} \cup p_{5} + e_{2} + e_{3} + e_{4}$ $C_{t}^{10} = p_{4} \cup p_{5} + e_{2} + e_{4}$ $C_{t}^{11} = p_{1} \cup p_{6} + e_{1} + e_{4} + e_{5}$ $C_{t}^{12} = p_{2} \cup p_{6} + e_{4} + e_{5}$ $C_{\star}^{13} = p_2 \cup p_4 + e_2 + e_4 + e_5$ $C_{1}^{5} = p_{2} \cup p_{4} + e_{2} + e_{3}$

$$C_{t}^{6} = p_{3} \cup p_{4} + e_{3} \qquad C_{t}^{14} = p_{4} \cup p_{6} + e_{2} + e_{3} + e_{4} + e_{5}$$

$$C_{t}^{7} = p_{1} \cup p_{5} + e_{1} + e_{3} + e_{4} \qquad C_{t}^{15} = p_{5} \cup p_{6} + e_{3} + e_{5}$$

$$C_{t}^{8} = p_{2} \cup p_{5} + e_{3} + e_{4}$$

Their lengths are :

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon(C_{t}^{i}) &\leq 2k + 6 & \text{where } i = 1, 4, 14 \, . \\ \varepsilon(C_{t}^{i}) &\leq 2k + 5 & \text{where } i = 2, 3, 7, 8, 11, 12 \, . \\ \varepsilon(C_{t}^{i}) &\leq 2k + 7 & \\ \varepsilon(C_{t}^{i}) &\leq 2k + 4 & \text{where } i = 6, 9, 10, 13 & \\ \varepsilon(C_{t}^{15}) &= 2k + 2 & \end{split}$$

Now, clearly that any two vertices x and y of V(G), are contained in cycle

$$c^{\frac{t(t+1)}{2}} \text{ define above the fact } : \max\left\{d\left(C_{t}^{i}\right):1 \le i \le 15\right\} \le \left\lfloor\frac{2k+5}{2}\right\rfloor$$
$$= k+3$$
So, $p(t,k(t+1)+8) \le k+3$

References

- [1] Bondary , J.A. and Murty U. S. R. "Graph Theory with Application", Macamillan Press, London, 1976.
- [2] XU Jumming ," Topological structure and Analysis of interconnection network", Dordecht /Bsten/London, 2001.
- [3] Chung , F. S. R., Garey, M. R., "Diameter bounds for altered graph". J. graph Theory. 1984, 8(4): 511-534.
- [4] Deng, Z.G. and Xu, J. –M., "On diameters of altered graph". J. Mathematical study. 37(1)(2004), 35-41.
- [5] J. Bond and C.peyarat," Diameter Vulnerability in network "Graph Theory with Application to Algorithm and Computer Science, John Wiley and sons Inc., pp.123-149, 1984.
- [6] A.A. Schoone, Bodlaender, H. L. and Van Lee ween, J., "Diameter increase caused by edge deletion". J. Graph Theory 11(1987), 409-427.
- [7] A.A. Najim, J.-M. Xu "On Edge addition and Edge deletion of Graphs". Ph.D. thesis, *Journal of University of Science and Technology of China*, 2006.

- [8] Wyatt.J Desormeaus, Teresa W.Haynes&Lucas der Merwe, "Connected Domination Stable Graphs Upon Edge Addition" Questions Mathematical, Volume 38,2015-issue 6.
- [9] Joaquin Fernandez-Tapia (2019) An analytical solution to the budget-pacing problem in programmatic advertising, *Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences*, 40:3, 603-614, DOI: 10.1080/02522667.2017.1303946
- [10] Riccardo Cambini & Laura Carosi (2019) A note on scalar "generalized" invexity, *Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences*, 40:3, 615-632, DOI: 10.1080/02522667.2017.1313942
- [11] Riccardo Cambini & Laura Carosi (2019) A note on scalar "generalized" invexity, *Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences*, 40:3, 615-632, DOI: 10.1080/02522667.2017.1313942

Received September, 2019