Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Polymer Degradation and Stability

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/polymdegradstab

# Computational study of synthetic and natural polymer additives – Antioxidant potential of BHA, TBHQ, BHT, and curcumin

Dalal K. Thbayh<sup>a,b</sup>, Béla Fiser<sup>a,c,d,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Chemistry, University of Miskolc, Miskolc-Egyetemváros 3515, Hungary

<sup>b</sup> Polymer Research Center, University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq

<sup>c</sup> Higher Education and Industrial Cooperation Centre, University of Miskolc, Miskolc-Egyetemváros 3515, Hungary

<sup>d</sup> Ferenc Rákóczi II. Transcarpathian Hungarian College of Higher Education, Transcarpathia, Beregszász 90200, Ukraine

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 February 2022 Revised 17 April 2022 Accepted 10 May 2022 Available online 13 May 2022

Keywords: DFT Phenolic compounds Antioxidant mechanism HAT SETPT SPLET

#### ABSTRACT

The antioxidant potential of commonly used synthetic and natural antioxidant additives, including butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA), *tert*-butylhydroquinone (TBHQ), and natural additive, curcumin have been studied and compared by calculating the bond dissociation enthalpy (BDE), ionization potential (IP), proton dissociation enthalpy (PDE), proton affinity (PA), and electron transfer enthalpy (ETE) values for each potential hydrogen donor site. The results indicate that, in each additive an O-H group has the highest antioxidant potential (lowest BDE value). The studied molecules can be ranked based on their antioxidant potential as follows: BHT > BHA  $\approx$  TBHQ > curcumin *A* > curcumin D. By comparing with commonly used polymers, in each studied species, there is at least one X-H bond which has a lower BDE value than in the corresponding polymeric material. Thus, all studied additives are potentially applicable to protect polymeric materials. BHT is the best radical scavenger additive in case of the hydrogen atom transfer (HAT), and the sequential proton loss electron transfer (SPLET) mechanisms to donate a H atom, but in single electron transfer (SETPT) curcumin could also be suitable. © 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd.

This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

### 1. Introduction

Pure polymeric materials are frequently found to have inferior properties, which would lead to their commercial failure. In the absence of additives, polypropylene (PP) and other polyolefins most probably would not be one of the most used polymers [1]. Due to its weak thermal oxidative stability, PP would decay in just a few weeks [2]. Therefore, additives play a significant role in processing and improving the properties of polymers used in many applications including automotives, design, packaging, constructions, electronics, telecommunication [3,4]. There are a wide variety of additives available, such as plasticizers, flame retardants, lubricants, stabilizers, colorants, antimicrobial agents, and antioxidants [5], each of them improves the properties of the polymeric materials in some way. Within the realm of additives, antioxidants are especially important as they can prevent the oxidative stress induced deterioration of the materials [6]. Furthermore, oxidative stress also effects living organisms along with food and

E-mail address: fiser@uni-miskolc.hu (B. Fiser).

other commodities and thus, the importance of antioxidants exceeds the range of polymers [7]. Oxidative stress is a process which involves the attack of free radicals, which are characterized by having an unpaired electron in their outer shell, making them unstable and highly reactive [8]. Antioxidants are substances which are able to donate an electron to free radicals and thus, detoxify them [9]. There are two main types of antioxidants, synthetic and natural species. Several synthetic antioxidants are used in the chemical and food industry including butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), octyl gallate (OG), butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA), propyl gallate (PG), *tert*-butylhydroquinone (TBHQ), and others [10]. From a structural point of view, many of these are phenolic compounds (Fig. 1).

Previous studies proved that polyphenols, either natural or synthetic, are interesting and promising compounds with many applications [11–13]. Polyphenols include hydroxyl groups, which enable them to scavenge free radicals, and hydrogen atom transfer has been identified as the primary mechanism for radical scavenging [14,15]. As environmental issues are more and more prevalent, the replacement of synthetic additives is inevitable with environmentally friendly (e.g. natural) compounds. Natural antioxidants can be found in a wide variety of natural products such as plant components (fruits, leaves, and flowers) [16], as well as

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2022.109979

0141-3910/© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)





<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Institute of Chemistry, University of Miskolc, Miskolc-Egyetemváros 3515, Hungary.

List of abbreviations				
BHA	butylated hydroxyanisole			
BHT	butylated hydroxytoluene			
TBHQ	<i>tert</i> -butylhydroquinone			
BDE	bond dissociation enthalpy			

in cow milk and honey [17,18]. In many countries, a variety of spices and condiments, like turmeric and garlic, have been utilized to restrain and cure a lot of diseases [19]. Curcumin [1,7-bis (4–hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)–1,6-heptadiene-3,5–dione] (Fig. 2) is a widespread natural antioxidant and a commonly used spice with a yellow color, and it has two isomers, curcumin A (enol) and D (diketone) [20–22]. Curcumin has several functional groups which plays a critical role in its beneficial characteristics [23]. It is a phenolic compound, extracted from the dried rhizomes of turmeric (*curcuma longa*) [24], and it has been utilized in various cosmetics and drugs as well. Besides its antioxidant effect [25,26], it has good influence on various biological and cellular conditions, and thus, used as an anti-inflammatory [27,28], anticancer and antimutagenic [29], wound healing, and hypocholesterolemic agent [20].

In order to replace synthetic antioxidants with potent natural counterparts a comparison between such species is inevitable to find the most potent alternatives. Furthermore, the antioxidant potential of the species has to be described in a detailed manner. Therefore, three synthetic (BHT, BHA, and TBHQ) and a natural (curcumin) antioxidant additive will be compared by using computational tools. The results will be applied in the future to design polymeric recipes which contain only natural or a mixture of natural and synthetic antioxidant additives.

#### 2. Computational methods

The geometries of all the molecules and the corresponding ionic and radical species were calculated by using the Gaussian 09 program package [30]. Three different density functional theory (DFT) methods have been used, the B3LYP functional [31–34] in combination with the 6–31G(d) basis set, and the M06 and M06–2X [35] in combination with the 6–311+G(d,p) basis set applied to optimize all the molecules in gas phase. These methods have been chosen to calculate the antioxidant potential of the studied species, because they have been successfully applied for similar systems [36–39]. Frequency calculations have also been carried out by using the previously optimized geometries.

To explore the antioxidant activity of the studied species three different free radical scavenging (RS) mechanisms have been considered: hydrogen atom transfer (HAT), single electron transfer proton transfer (SETPT), and sequential proton loss electron transfer (SPLET) [40–46] (Fig. 3).



Fig. 1. Schematic representation of common synthetic antioxidants: tert-butylhydroquinone (TBHQ), butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), and butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA).



Fig. 2. 2D chemical structure of curcumin.



**Fig. 3.** Radical scavenging mechanisms (hydrogen atom transfer – HAT, single electron transfer proton transfer – SETPT, and sequential proton loss electron transfer – SPLET) of phenolic antioxidants through their hydroxyl group.

To compare the antioxidant activity of the compounds, the bond dissociation enthalpy (BDE), ionization potential (IP), proton dissociation enthalpy (PDE), proton affinity (PA), and electron transfer enthalpy (ETE) has been computed for each structure as follows:

$$BDE = H(A^{\cdot}) + H(H^{\cdot}) - H(A)$$
(1)

$$IP = H(A^{+}) + H(e^{-}) - H(A)$$
(2)

$$PDE = H(A^{\cdot}) + H(H^{+}) - H(A^{\cdot+})$$
(3)

$$PA = H(A^{-}) + H(H^{+}) - H(A)$$
(4)

$$ETE = H(A^{\cdot}) + H(e^{-}) - H(A^{-})$$
(5)

where H(A) is the enthalpy of antioxidant, while  $H(A \cdot)$  and  $H(H \cdot)$  are the enthalpies of the corresponding antioxidant radical and hydrogen atom, respectively, which are the free radicals formed after the dissociation of an X-H (X = C, or O) bond.  $H(A^{+})$  is the enthalpy of the radical cation formed after an electron transferred from the antioxidant, while  $H(A^{-})$  is the enthalpy of the anion

which is formed after a proton loss has been occurred. The corresponding values for the enthalpy of electron and proton in the gas phase were  $H(e^-) = 3.1351$  kJ/mol and  $H(H^+) = 6.1398$  kJ/mol, respectively [47–49].

# 3. Results and discussion

# 3.1. Validation of the selected methods

The antioxidant potential of BHA, BHT, TBHQ and curcumin (enol and diketone forms) have been studied by using three different levels of theory. Experimental O–H bond dissociation enthalpy values for BHA and BHT were used to validate the calculations and to select the best combination of method and basis set for the discussion. In each case, gas phase data were used in the comparison, and the computed values underestimated the measured BDEs (Table 1).

The least favourable choice of method would be B3LYP in combination with the 6–31G(d) basis set, as the computed BDEs are lower by >40 kJ/mol than the experimental values. By using the M06/6–311+G(d,p) level of theory, the computed BDEs improved, but the differences were still >20 kJ/mol compared to the measured values. The best method – basis set combination is the M06–2X/6–311+G(d,p) level of theory which is able to predict the BDEs with good accuracy as the difference between the calculated and experimentally measured values were only 7.5 kJ/mol and 6.0 kJ/mol for the O–H bond in BHA and BHT, respectively (Table 1). Therefore, M06–2X/6–311+G(d,p) is selected and used in the discussion to study the antioxidants. All calculations were carried out in gas phase as the validation is based on gas phase data.

# 3.2. Theoretical evaluation of the antioxidant potential

## 3.2.1. Structural considerations

The geometries of the antioxidant additives have been optimized and it was found that the longest and shortest C–H bonds are located in BHA (C7-H) and curcumin A (C11-H), respectively (Figs. 4 and 5). As for O–H bonds, the longest one is 0.999 Å for all structures and can be found in curcumin A (O3-H). The longest bond in BHA is C7-H with a bond length equal to 1.095 Å and it is located on the methyl group in the para position, while the shortest is a benzylic hydrogen C5-H (1.081 Å). For BHT the situation is similar, and the longest C–H bond is C7-H with a bond length equal to 1.093 Å and it is located on the methyl group while

**Table 1** Bond dissociation enthalpy (BDE) values (in kJ/mol) of O–H bonds in butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), and butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA) in gas phase calculated at the B3LYP/6–31G(d), M06//6–311+G(d,p), and M06–2X/6– 311+G(d,p) levels of theory.

	BDE(O-H) (kJ/mol)					
Compound	B3LYP/6-31G(d) M06/6-311+G(d,p)		M06–2X/6–311+ <i>G</i> (d,p) Exp. value			
BHA H H H H	298.0	319.3	335.9	343.4		
	290.3	307.9	327.0	333.0		



**Fig. 4.** Optimized structures of the studied synthetic antioxidant additives: butylated hydroxytoluene – BHT, butylated hydroxyanisole – BHA, and *tert*-butylhydroquinone – TBHQ. The optimization have been carried out at the M06-2X/6-311+G(d,p) level of theory in gas phase. The corresponding bond lengths (in Å) are also shown.

the shortest bond belongs to a benzylic hydrogen C5-H (1.082 Å). In case of TBHQ, the longest C–H bond is C7-H again with a bond length equal to 1.093 Å and it is located on the methyl group, while the shortest is a benzylic hydrogen C5-H (1.085 Å) again. All in all, the bond length in each studied synthetic species follow similar trend (Fig. 4). As for the natural additive, the longest C–H bonds are located on the *o*-methoxy phenolic group on both sides of curcumin A, C1-H and C21-H, and these are equal to 1.094 Å, while the shortest bond is C11-H (1.080 Å). As for the diketone form, the longest C–H bonds are the same, C1-H and C21-H (1.094 Å), while the shortest C–H bond is located on the aromatic ring (1.083 Å).

#### 4. Antioxidant mechanisms

In the hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) mechanism, a hydrogen atom (H<sup>·</sup>) will be directly transferred to the free radical in a onestep process. To study the effectiveness of this process, and thus, to compare the antioxidant potential of the molecules, the bond dissociation enthalpies of each unique C–H and O–H bonds in BHA, BHT, TBHQ, curcumin A, and curcumin D have been computed (Tables 2 and 3, **Fig. SI**).

The lower the BDE value, the higher the antioxidant potential as it is easier to donate the corresponding hydrogen atom to free radicals [51]. The BDEs of the O–H bonds for synthetic additives

#### Table 2

Bond dissociation enthalpy (BDE) values (in kJ/mol) of all unique C-H and O-H bonds in butylated hydroxy-toluene - BHT, butylated hydroxyanisole - BHA, and tert-butylhydroquinone - TBHQ calculated at the M06-2X/6-311+G(d,p) level of theory in gas phase.

BHA	BDE	BHT	BDE	TBHQ	BDE
0-H C3-H C5-H C6-H C7-H	335. 9 454.4 465.2 464.6 403.0 421.3	0-H C3-H C5-H C7-H C8-H	327.0 450.2 450.1 374.4 417.2	01-H 02-H C3-H C5-H C6-H	335.5 345.5 463.9 469.3 465.5 420.9

are lower compared to the C-H bonds and cover a narrow range starting from 327.0 kJ/mol for BHT and reaching 345.5 kJ/mol in case of TBHQ (Fig. 6). The C-H bonds are generally stronger as the corresponding BDEs are higher than their O-H counterparts. In case of BHA, BDE<sub>C-H</sub> values are in a range between 403.0 and 465.2 kJ/mol. The weakest C-H bond in BHA is C7-H (1.095 Å) and it is located on the methyl group, which is in the para position, while the benzylic hydrogen C5-H (1.081 Å) is the strongest bond with a BDE = 465.2 kJ/mol (Figs. 3 and 6). The BDE values of the C-H bonds in BHT covers a range between 374.4 to 450.2 kJ/mol. C7-H (1.092 Å) has the lowest BDE<sub>C-H</sub> (374.4 kJ/mol) and it is lo-



**Fig. 5.** Optimized geometries of the studied natural antioxidant additive structures: curcumin A and D, enol and diketone forms, respectively. The optimization have been carried out at the M06-2X/6-311+G(d,p) level of theory in gas phase. The corresponding bond lengths (in Å) are also shown.

Table	3
-------	---

Bond dissociation enthalpy (BDE) values (in kJ/mol) of all unique C-H and O-H bonds in curcumin A and D calculated at the M06-2X/6-311+G(d,p) level of theory in gas phase.

Curcumin A	BDE	Curcumin D	BDE
01-H	355.9	01-H	359.1
02-H	357.5	02-H	359.1
03-H	461.9	-	-
С1-Н	408.0	С1-Н	405.9
C4-H	474.5	C4-H	475.4
С5-Н	464.0	С5-Н	465.2
С7-Н	466.8	С7-Н	467.1
C8-H	438.3	С8-Н	439.1
С9-Н	458.8	С9-Н	452.4
C11-H	482.2	C11-H	376.4
C13-H	460.2	C13-H	427.3
C14-H	439.3	C14-H	436.1
C16-H	463.4	C16-H	465.2
C17-H	474.7	C17-H	475.4
С20-Н	466.6	С20-Н	467.1
С21-Н	407.9	С21-Н	405.9

cated on the methyl group in the para position while the strongest one not surprisingly is a benzylic hydrogen, C3-H (1.083 Å) with  $BDE_{C-H} = 450.2 \text{ kJ/mol}$ . For TBHQ, the  $BDE_{C-H}$  values cover a range between 420.9 to 469.3 kJ/mol. The smallest  $BDE_{C-H}$  (420.9 kJ/mol) belongs to C7-H (1.093 Å) which is located on the methyl in the meta position, while a benzylic hydrogen (C5-H, 1.085 Å) is attached to its respective carbon via the strongest bond.

All in all, the BDE values indicate that the O–H bonds are weaker than the C–H bonds for all synthetic species and thus, the most potent functional groups within them are the hydroxyl

groups (Figure S1). Furthermore, not surprisingly easier to remove hydrogen atoms which are part of methyl groups, than their benzylic counterparts. Based on the computed BDE values of the C-H and O-H bonds of the synthetic additives, BHT has the highest antioxidant potential. The studied natural antioxidant, curcumin has two isomers, the enol (curcumin A) and diketone (curcumin D) forms. There are three O-H bonds in curcumin A and only two in curcumin D. Four of these are very similar in terms of the computed  $BDE_{O-H}$  values as these are around 355.9 and 359.1 kJ/mol. However, in the case of the enol form, O3-H has an exceptionally high BDE value (461.9 kJ/mol) as it is participating in a hydrogen bond (Figs. 5 and 6). For C-H bonds, the BDE values in case of the enol form starts from 407.9 kJ/mol and reach up to 482.2 kJ/mol. The weakest C-H bond within curcumin A is C21-H and it is located on the o-methoxy phenolic group while the strongest one is C11-H, and it has a quite high BDE value with 482.2 kJ/mol. As for the diketone form, the  $BDE_{C-H}$  values cover a similar range starting from 405.9 to 475.4 kJ/mol. The weakest C-H bond in curcumin D is at the same position, C21-H whereas the strongest bond is C4-H. All in all, with one exception the O-H bonds in curcumin are weaker than the C-H bonds which follows the trend of the synthetic structures (Fig. S1). By comparing the computed BDE values of curcumin A and D, and the other studied species, the molecules can be ranked based on their antioxidant potential as follows: BHT > BHA  $\approx$  TBHQ > curcumin A > curcumin D.

The experimental BDEs of a few commonly used polymers were collected from the literature (*Table S1*) and it was found that they are in a range of 393.7 and 406.2 kJ/mol [52]. In each studied antioxidant additive, there is at least one X-H bond which has a lower BDE than the polymers. Thus, the additives can be applied to protect the polymers and prevent the oxidative stress induced deterioration of the materials.



Fig. 6. Bond dissociation enthalpies (BDEs) vs bond length in case of butylated hydroxytoluene - BHT, butylated hydroxyanisole - BHA, tert-butylhydroquinone - TBHQ (left panel), and curcumin A and D (right panel) antioxidant additives. All compounds have been computed at the M06–2X/6–311+G(d,p) level of theory in gas phase.

#### Table 4

lonization potential (IP) and lowest proton dissociation enthalpy (PDE) values (in kJ/mol) of butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA), *tert*butylhydroquinone (TBHQ), curcumin A, and D calculated at the M06–2X/6–311+G(d,p) level of theory in gas phase.

Compound	IP	PDE	IP+PDE
BHA	726.0		
0-H		920.9	1646.9
С7-Н		988.0	1714.0
BHT	728.9		
0-H		909.0	1637.9
С7-Н		956.5	1685.4
TBHQ	741.3		
01-H		905.1	1646.4
02-H		915.1	1656.4
С7-Н		990.5	1731.8
Curcumin A	699.2		
01-H		967.5	1666.7
02-H		969.2	1668.4
03-H		1033.7	1732.9
С21-Н		1019.6	1718.8
Curcumin D	738.4		
01-H		931.6	1670.0
02-H		931.6	1670.0
С11-Н		948.9	1687.3

#### Table 5

Lowest proton affinities (PA) and electron transfer enthalpy (ETE) values in kJ/mol for butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA), *tert*-butylhydroquinone (TBHQ), and curcumin A, and D calculated at the M06-2X(6-311+G(d,p) level of theory in gas phase.

1	5	01	
Compound	PA	ETE	PA+ETE
BHA			
0-Н	1447.5	199.3	1646.8
С7-Н	1676.7	37.3	1714.0
BHT			
0-H	1417.3	220.7	1638.0
С7-Н	1594.7	96.2	1690.9
TBHQ			
01-H	1446.2	200.3	1646.5
02-H	1458.8	197.7	1656.5
С7-Н	1686.5	54.0	1740.5
Curcumin A (eno	1)		
01-H	1380.7	286.0	1666.7
02-H	1384.4	284.1	1668.5
03-H	1436.8	296.2	1733.0
C21-H	1609.5	109.3	1718.8
Curcumin D (diketone)			
01-H	1382.6	287.4	1670.0
02-H	1383.1	287.0	1670.1
С11-Н	1413.7	296.2	1709.9

The second studied antioxidant mechanism is the single electron transfer proton transfer (SETPT), which involves two steps, an electron transfer which is followed by a proton transfer (Fig. 3). To describe the process, the ionization potential (IP) of the antioxidants was calculated (first step - electron transfer to a free radical), which resulted in the formation of a radical cation, and then, the proton dissociation enthalpy (PDE) was calculated (second step - proton transfer from the radical cation). The value of IP relates to the energy necessary to remove an electron from the neutral antioxidant compound, whereas the PDE value shows the enthalpy of deprotonation of the antioxidant radical cation. The lower the IP and PDE values, the greater the antioxidant potential of the molecule [53]. The computed ionization potentials (Table 4) indicate that curcumin A requires the lowest energy (699.2 kJ/mol) to donate an electron, so it has the highest potential to participate in such reaction among all additives followed by BHA, BHT, curcumin D. and TBHO.

The computed PDE values show that the O–H bonds are preferred over the C–H bonds for deprotonation in all additives, which means that proton donation is preferable from an O–H of the antioxidant radical cation than from a C–H. The PDE values of O–H bonds for the compounds range from 905.1 to 1033.7 kJ/mol. The lowest value is 905.1 kJ/mol for TBHQ and the highest is 1033.7 kJ/mol for curcumin A and located at the center of structure (O3-H). The molecules can be ranked based on their PDEs of O-H bonds as follows: curcumin A > curcumin D > BHA> BHT> TBHQ.

The third studied mechanism is the sequential proton loss electron transfer (SPLET), which also includes two steps (Fig. 3). First, a proton from the antioxidant molecules transferred and thus, an anion is formed, then, the electron transfer occurs from the antioxidant anion to the free radical. The antioxidant potential of the species in this mechanism has been characterized by computing the proton affinities (PAs) and the electron transfer enthalpy (ETE) values (Table 5).

The PA values for the natural compounds are smaller than for the synthetic antioxidant additives for each of the studied O–H and C–H bonds. The PA values of O–H bonds for the studied compounds range from 1380.7 to 1458.8 kJ/mol. The lowest value is 1380.7 kJ/mol belongs to curcumin A (O1-H) and the largest one is 1458.8 kJ/mol and the corresponding hydrogen can be found in TBHQ (O2-H). While for C–H bonds, the results indicated that, the lowest value is associated with C11-H (1413.7 kJ/mol) in curcumin D and the strongest one is C7-H (1686.5 kJ/mol) and located in TBHQ. Based on their proton affinities the molecules can be ranked as follows curcumin < BHT< BHA< TBHQ. Thus, curcumin requires less energy for proton dissociation compared to the other studied species. As for the results of the ETE values, the synthetic antioxidant additives require less energy to donate an electron than curcumin. The ETE values are smaller than the IP values for all studied compounds, because the single electron transfer from the anionic structure is more favored than from the neutral molecule, which is in good agreement with previous findings [43,44,53-56]. The IP and PA values are much larger than the corresponding BDE values (Tables 1–5). Thus, the HAT can be considered as the predominant mechanism, which is in good agreement with previous theoretical studies about BHA and BHT [44] and also with experimental result [50]. All in all, BHT is a great choice as radical scavenger additive in case of the HAT, and SPLET mechanisms, but in SETPT curcumin could also be suitable.

#### 5. Conclusion

The antioxidant potential of synthetic (BHA, BHT, TBHQ) and natural (curcumin) antioxidant additives has been studied by using computational chemical tools in order to compare their ability to donate H atoms to free radicals and thus, prevent the degradation of polymers. To compare the antioxidant potential of different groups (X-H, where X = O and C), three main antioxidant mechanisms (HAT, SETPT, and SPLET) have been considered and the corresponding bond dissociation enthalpy (BDE), ionization potential (IP), proton dissociation enthalpy (PDE), proton affinity (PA), and electron transfer enthalpy (ETE) values have been calculated for each potential hydrogen donor sites of the studied species. All in all, the BDE values indicate that the O-H bonds are weaker than the C-H bonds for all studied species. Furthermore, not surprisingly, the hydrogen atoms which are located in methyl groups are easier to remove than their benzylic counterparts. Based on the computed BDE values of the C-H and O-H bonds of the additives, BHT has the highest antioxidant potential. Thus, BHT is a great choice as radical scavenger additive in case of the HAT (BHT > BHA  $\approx$  TBHQ > curcumin A > curcumin D), and SPLET mechanisms, but in SETPT curcumin could also be suitable. Furthermore, in each studied antioxidant additive, there is at least one X-H bond which has lower BDE than in commonly used polymers (e.g. PE, PP) and thus, all studied species can be applied to protect and prevent the oxidative stress induced deterioration of polymeric materials. Based on the current and future results, new antioxidant additives are planned to be proposed and designed. Their applicability in polymer formulations will also be explored. In this way, new environmentally friendly polymer compositions will be achieved.

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

# **CRediT** authorship contribution statement

**Dalal K. Thbayh:** Validation, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Project administration. **Béla Fiser:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Supervision, Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

# Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the European Union and the Hungarian State, co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund in the framework of the GINOP-2.3.4–15–2016–00004 project, which aimed to promote the cooperation between the higher education and the industry. Further support was provided by the National Research, Development, and Innovation Fund (Hungary) within the TKP2021-NVA-14 project. The GITDA (Governmental Information-Technology Development Agency, Hungary) is gratefully acknowledged for allocating computing resources used in this work.

# Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.polymdegradstab. 2022.109979.

#### References

- V. Marturano, P. Cerruti, V. Ambrogi, Polymer additives, Phys. Sci. Rev. 2 (6) (2017).
- [2] H. Bockhorn, A. Hornung, U. Hornung, D. Schawaller, Kinetic study on the thermal degradation of polypropylene and polyethylene, J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 48 (2) (1999) 93–109.
- [3] V. Ambrogi, C. Carfagna, P. Cerruti, V. Marturano, Additives in polymers, in: Modification of Polymer Properties, Elsevier, 2017, pp. 87–108.
- [4] B. Kirschweng, D. Tátraaljai, E. Földes, B. Pukánszky, Natural antioxidants as stabilizers for polymers, Polym. Degrad. Stab. 145 (2017) 25–40.
- [5] M.A.D. Paoli, W.R.J.P. Waldman, Bio-based additives for thermoplastics, Polímeros 29 (2) (2019).
- [6] S. Nagarajan, et al., Antioxidant activity of synthetic polymers of phenolic compounds, Polymers (Basel) 12 (8) (2020) 1646.
- [7] J.S. Wright, E.R. Johnson, G.A. DiLabio, Predicting the activity of phenolic antioxidants: theoretical method, analysis of substituent effects, and application to major families of antioxidants, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 123 (6) (2001) 1173–1183.
- [8] A. Galano, G. Mazzone, R. Alvarez-Diduk, T. Marino, J.R. Alvarez-Idaboy, N. Russo, Food antioxidants: chemical insights at the molecular level, Annu. Rev. Food Sci. Technol. 7 (2016) 335–352.
- [9] S. Sundaram Sanjay, A.K. Shukla, Free Radicals Versus Antioxidants, in: Potential Therapeutic Applications of Nano-antioxidants, Springer, 2021, pp. 1–17.
- [10] K. Neha, M.R. Haider, A. Pathak, M.S. Yar, Medicinal prospects of antioxidants: a review, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 178 (2019) 687–704.
- [11] S. Vladimir-Knežević, B. Blažeković, M.B. Štefan, and M. Babac, Plant polyphenols as antioxidants influencing the human health. Intechopen, 2012.
- [12] N.S. Alrawaiq, A. Abdullah, A review of flavonoid quercetin: metabolism, bioactivity and antioxidant properties, Int. J. PharmTech Res. 6 (3) (2014) 933–941.
- [13] S.M. Cardoso, in: The Antioxidant Capacities of Natural Products, 24, Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, 2019, p. 492.
- [14] A. Wang, Y. Lu, X. Du, P. Shi, H. Zhang, A theoretical study on the antioxidant activity of Uralenol and Neouralenol scavenging two radicals, Struct. Chem. 29 (4) (2018) 1067–1075.
- [15] V.K. Rajan, C. Ragi, K. Muraleedharan, A computational exploration into the structure, antioxidant capacity, toxicity and drug-like activity of the anthocyanidin "Petunidin, Heliyon 5 (7) (2019) e02115.
- [16] M. Spiegel, T. Marino, M. Prejanò, N. Russo, On the scavenging ability of scutellarein against the OOH radical in water and lipid-like environments: a theoretical study, Antioxidants 11 (2) (2022) 224.
- [17] M. Al-Farsi, A. Al-Amri, A. Al-Hadhrami, S. Al-Belushi, Color, flavonoids, phenolics and antioxidants of Omani honey, Heliyon 4 (10) (2018) e00874.
  [18] D. Li, B. Li, Y. Ma, X. Sun, Y. Lin, X. Meng, Polyphenols, anthocyanins, and
- [18] D. Li, B. Li, Y. Ma, X. Sun, Y. Lin, X. Meng, Polyphenols, anthocyanins, and flavonoids contents and the antioxidant capacity of various cultivars of highbush and half-high blueberries, J. Food Compos. Anal. 62 (2017) 84–93.
- [19] S. Satyanarayana, K. Sushruta, G. Sarma, N. Srinivas, G.S. Raju, Antioxidant activity of the aqueous extracts of spicy food additives—evaluation and comparison with ascorbic acid in *in vitro* systems, J. Herb. Pharmacother. 4 (2) (2004) 1–10.
- [20] R. Benassi, E. Ferrari, S. Lazzari, F. Spagnolo, M. Saladini, Theoretical study on Curcumin: a comparison of calculated spectroscopic properties with NMR, UV-vis and IR experimental data, J. Mol. Struct. 892 (1-3) (2008) 168–176.
- [21] M.J. Li, L. Liu, Y. Fu, Q.X. Guo, Accurate bond dissociation enthalpies of popular antioxidants predicted by the ONIOM-G3B3 method, J. Mol. Struct. THEOCHEM 815 (1–3) (2007) 1–9.
- [22] B. Kirschweng, D. Tátraaljai, E. Földes, B. Pukánszky, Efficiency of curcumin, a natural antioxidant, in the processing stabilization of PE: concentration effects, Polym. Degrad. Stab. 118 (2015) 17–23.
- [23] K. Mahmood, et al., Synthesis and characterization of chitin/curcumin blended polyurethane elastomers, Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 113 (2018) 150–158.
- [24] A. Galano, R. Álvarez-Diduk, M.T. Ramírez-Silva, G. Alarcón-Ángeles, A. Rojas-Hernández, Role of the reacting free radicals on the antioxidant mechanism of curcumin, Chem. Phys. 363 (1–3) (2009) 13–23.
- [25] O. Sharma, Antioxidant activity of curcumin and related compounds, Biochem. Pharmacol. 25 (15) (1976) 1811–1812.

- [26] K. Soudamini, M. Unnikrishnan, K. Soni, R. Kuttan, Inhibition of lipid peroxidation and cholesterol levels in mice by curcumin, Indian J. Physiol. Pharmacol. 36 (1992) pp. 239-239.
- [27] Y. Xiao, et al., Curcumin inhibits acute vascular inflammation through the activation of heme oxygenase-1, Oxid. Med. Cell Longev. 2018 (2018).
- [28] R. Srimal, B. Dhawan, Pharmacology of diferuloyl methane (curcumin), a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agent, J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 25 (6) (1973) 447–452.
- [29] M. Nagabhushan, S.V. Bhide, Nonmutagenicity of curcumin and its antimutagenic action versus chili and capsaicin, Nutr. Cancer (1986).
- [30] M. Frisch et al., "gaussian 09, Revision d. 01, Gaussian," *Inc., Wallingford CT*, vol. 201, 2009.
- [31] A.D. Becke, Density-functional exchange-energy approximation with correct asymptotic behavior, Phys. Rev. A 38 (6) (1988) 3098.
- [32] A.D. Becke, Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange, J. Chem. Phys. 98 (7) (1993) 5648-6.
- [33] C. Lee, W. Yang, R.G. Parr, Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density, Phys. Rev. B 37 (2) (1988) 785.
- [34] S.H. Vosko, L. Wilk, M. Nusair, Accurate spin-dependent electron liquid correlation energies for local spin density calculations: a critical analysis, Can. J. Phys. 58 (8) (1980) 1200–1211.
- [35] Y. Zhao, D.G. Truhlar, The M06 suite of density functionals for main group thermochemistry, thermochemical kinetics, noncovalent interactions, excited states, and transition elements: two new functionals and systematic testing of four M06-class functionals and 12 other functionals, Theor. Chem. Acc. 120 (1) (2008) 215–241.
- [36] G.L. de Souza, K.A. Peterson, Benchmarking antioxidant-related properties for gallic acid through the use of DFT, MP2, CCSD, and CCSD (T) approaches, J. Phys. Chem. A 125 (1) (2021) 198–208.
- [37] J.L. Santos, A.C. Kauffmann, S.C. da Silva, V.C. Silva, G.L. de Souza, Probing structural properties and antioxidant activity mechanisms for eleocarpanthraquinone, J. Mol. Model. 26 (9) (2020) 1–8.
- [38] E.N. Maciel, I.N. Soares, S.C. da Silva, G.L. de Souza, A computational study on the reaction between fisetin and 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), J. Mol. Model. 25 (4) (2019) 1–8.
- [39] E.N. Maciel, S.K. Almeida, S.C. da Silva, G.L. de Souza, Examining the reaction between antioxidant compounds and 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) through a computational investigation, J. Mol. Model. 24 (8) (2018) 1–12.
- [40] L. Wang, F. Yang, X. Zhao, Y. Li, Effects of nitro-and amino-group on the antioxidant activity of genistein: a theoretical study, Food Chem. 275 (2019) 339–345.
- [41] J. Rimarčík, V. Lukeš, E. Klein, M. Ilčin, Study of the solvent effect on the enthalpies of homolytic and heterolytic N–H bond cleavage in p-phenylenediamine and tetracyano-p-phenylenediamine, J. Mol. Struct. THEOCHEM 952 (1–3) (2010) 25–30.

- [42] N.M. Thong, T. Duong, L.T. Pham, P.C. Nam, Theoretical investigation on the bond dissociation enthalpies of phenolic compounds extracted from Artocarpus altilis using ONIOM (ROB3LYP/6-311++ G (2df, 2p): PM6) method, Chem. Phys. Lett. 613 (2014) 139–145.
- [43] N.M. Thong, D.T. Quang, N.H.T. Bui, D.Q. Dao, P.C. Nam, Antioxidant properties of xanthones extracted from the pericarp of Garcinia mangostana (Mangosteen): a theoretical study, Chem. Phys. Lett. 625 (2015) 30–35.
- [44] H. Boulebd, Comparative study of the radical scavenging behavior of ascorbic acid, BHT, BHA and Trolox: experimental and theoretical study, J. Mol. Struct. 1201 (2020) 127210.
- [45] C.Y. Lee, A. Sharma, J. Semenya, C. Anamoah, K.N. Chapman, V. Barone, Computational study of ortho-substituent effects on antioxidant activities of phenolic dendritic antioxidants, Antioxidants 9 (3) (2020) 189.
- [46] M.E. Alberto, N. Russo, A. Grand, A. Galano, A physicochemical examination of the free radical scavenging activity of Trolox: mechanism, kinetics and influence of the environment, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 15 (13) (2013) 4642–4650.
- [47] Z. Marković, J. Tošović, D. Milenković, S. Marković, Revisiting the solvation enthalpies and free energies of the proton and electron in various solvents, Comput. Theoretical Chem. 1077 (2016) 11–17.
- [48] J.J. Fifen, Thermodynamics of the electron revisited and generalized, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 9 (7) (2013) 3165–3169.
- [49] J.J. Fifen, Z. Dhaouadi, M. Nsangou, Revision of the thermodynamics of the proton in gas phase, J. Phys. Chem. A 118 (46) (2014) 11090–11097.
- [50] Y.-R. Luo, Comprehensive Handbook of Chemical Bond Energies, CRC press, 2007.
- [51] M. Leopoldini, N. Russo, M. Toscano, The molecular basis of working mechanism of natural polyphenolic antioxidants, Food Chem. 125 (2) (2011) 288–306.
- J. Zuquan, Carbon-Hydrogen bond dissociation energies and stretching frequencies, J. Mol. Struct. THEOCHEM 123 (3-4) (1985) 443-455.
   G. W. D. K. M. M. Theory, A. Machler, Description of the strength of the strengt of the strength of the strength of the strength of the stren
- [53] Q.V. Vo, P.C. Nam, M. Van Bay, N.M. Thong, N.D. Cuong, A. Mechler, Density functional theory study of the role of benzylic hydrogen atoms in the antioxidant properties of lignans, Sci. Rep. 8 (1) (2018) 1–10.
- [54] M. Li, W. Liu, C. Peng, Q. Ren, W. Lu, W. Deng, A DFT study on reaction of eupatilin with hydroxyl radical in solution, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 113 (7) (2013) 966–974.
- [55] A. Pérez-González, A. Galano, On the OH and OOH scavenging activity of 3-methyl-1-pyridin-2-yl-5-pyrazolone: comparisons with its parent compound, edaravone, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 112 (21) (2012) 3441–3448.
- [56] P. Škorňa, J. Rimarčík, P. Poliak, V. Lukeš, E. Klein, Thermodynamic study of vitamin B6 antioxidant potential, Comput. Theor. Chem. 1077 (2016) 32–38.