Basrah Journal Of Surgery

Bas J Surg, March, 17, 2011

# DIABETES MELLITUS IN PREGNANCY; MATERNAL & PRENATAL OUTCOME

Rabia A Alkaban\* & Methal A AL-Rubaee@

\*MB,ChB. @MB,ChB, DGO, CABOG, Department of Obstetric & Gynecology, Medical College, University of Basrah

## **Abstract**

This is a case-control comparative study carried out over the period (July 2007-July 2008) to identify the frequent type of D.M., analyze demographic features of diabetic cases as well as to identify maternal, fetal & neonatal complications of D.M. during pregnancy.

This study included 160 diabetic pregnant women as (cases) compared to 180 non-diabetic pregnant women as (control) who were admitted to three known hospitals with obstetrical & Gynecology department during same period of study. Such controls had approximated age & parity to that of cases.

Type 2 DM was the commonest type among cases who tend to be more advanced in their age with higher BMI compared to controls. Three major risk factors that predispose to develop GDM were family history of type 2 or GDM, advanced maternal age & obesity. Diabetic pregnancy was more likely complicated by abortions, hypertensive disorders, polyhydramnious and preterm delivery with high C/S rate as well as P.P.H. & birth tract injury in comparison to controls. Cephalo-pelvic disproportion consequent to macrosomia was main indication for C/S in diabetic cases in whom not only macrosomic newborns were more but also stillbirth rate was higher with lower Apgar score & more admission to NICU.

Diabetic newborns were more likely to be affected by RDS, hypoglycemia & congenital malformation.

In conclusion, D.M. is a major medical disorder that exhibit burden on health of both mother & fetus with high maternal morbidity, prenatal & neonatal morbidity & mortality.

#### Introduction

The WHO recognizes three main forms of DM: Type 1: Insulin dependent DM, Type 2: Non insulin dependent DM. Gestational DM. Defined as glucose intolerance of variable degree with onset or first recognition during present pregnancy<sup>1</sup>.

Epidemiology: Only 10% of diabetes in pregnancy is pre-gestational while 90% is gestational. The incidence of type1 in the general population is 0.1–0.4% while type2 represent 3% of pregnancies<sup>2</sup>. Recent studies suggest that prevalence of

DM among women of child bearing age is increasing which is believed due to: More sedentary life styles, Changes in diet, Continued immigration from high risk population, Virtual epidemics of childhood & adolescent obesity.

GDM occur in between (5-10%) of all pregnancies between 1-14%]in various studies<sup>3</sup>.

Fetal Morbidity: 1. Miscarriage: Current data suggest strong association between degree of glycemic control prior to pregnancy & miscarriage rate. In those

with glycol-hemoglobin exceeding 11%; the miscarriage rate reach up to 44%<sup>4</sup>.

- 2. Congenital anomalies: Approximately 3–8% of infants of diabetic mothers suffer from major congenital anomalies<sup>5</sup>. Neural tube defects & cardiac malformation are more common than in non diabetic population<sup>5</sup>.
- 3. Growth restriction: Growth restriction occurs significantly in pregnancies complicated by pre-existing type1 DM<sup>4</sup>.
- 4. Growth acceleration: Excessive body fat stores stimulated by excessive glucose delivery during diabetic pregnancy often extend into childhood & adult life<sup>4</sup>.
- 5. Fetal Obesity: Macrosomia occur in 15-45% of pregnant diabetic women; (3 fold) increase from normoglycemic women<sup>4</sup>.
- 6. Preterm Labor: Spontaneous preterm labor complicate about 20% of pregnancies with diabetes<sup>6</sup>, Maternal Morbidity: Diabetic retinopathy, Diabetic nephropathy.
- 3. Chronic hypertension: This complicate 1 in 10 diabetic pregnancies. Patients with underlying renal or retinal vascular disease are at higher risk with 40% having chronic hypertension<sup>7</sup>.
- 4. Pre-eclampsia: The risk in diabetic women is related to maternal age & duration of pre existing diabetes<sup>8</sup>.
- 5. Infection: It has been estimated that about (80%) of pregnancies in women with type1 DM will have at least one episode of infection compared with 25% in non pregnant<sup>9</sup>.
- 6. Operative Delivery<sup>10</sup>.
- 7. Pelvic floor trauma: Macrosomia, nulliparity, episiotomy & instrumental delivery are established risk factors for 3rd & 4th degree perineal tear. Shoulder dystocia is also risk factor for perineal trauma<sup>11</sup>.

Prenatal morbidity & mortality:

Prenatal mortality: The current prenatal mortality rates remain high; Congenital malformations, RDS & extreme prematurity account for the most prenatal deaths in diabetic pregnancies<sup>12</sup>.

Polycythemia: Its rate is 1%)in gestational diabetes while 3% in both type 1 & 2 diabetes<sup>12</sup>.

Neonatal hypocalcaemia: Its rate is 1% in both GDM & type 2 while it is 4%)in type  $1^{12}$ .

- 4. Postnatal hyperbilirubinemia: Its rate is highest in type 1, 55% while it is 44% in type 2 & 29% in GDM<sup>12</sup>.
- 5. Respiratory Problems: RDS incidence has been declined dramatically from 31% to 3% after improved prenatal management for DM & new techniques in obstetrics for timing & mode of delivery. RDS affect 8%)of type 1, 4% of type 2 & 3% of GDM<sup>12</sup>.
- 6. Birth injury: Common birth injuries associated with D. M. are shoulder dystocia, brachial plexus trauma, facial N. injury & cephalic—haematoma<sup>13</sup>.
- 7. Hypoglycemia: Hypoglycemia may lead to seizures, coma & brain damage. The rate of hypoglycemia is 29% in type 1 24% in type 2 & 9% in GDM<sup>13</sup>.

## **Materials and Methods**

A prospective case control comparative study carried out over a period of one year from 1st of July 2007 till 1st of July 2008 in Basra Maternity child Hospital, AL-Basrah General Hospital, AL-Tahrer Hospital including 160 pregnant women who were admitted to labor room diabetics (cases) diagnosed as as compared to 180 pregnant women who were non diabetic as (control), whose age & parity is similar or approximated to

those with diabetes to identify the risk of diabetes per se.

DM was diagnosed by history taken from mother, to identify the onset of disease & the type of treatment so to conclude the type of diabetes; then it is confirmed by biochemical blood test to measure glucose level.

A special printed questionnaire papers were used for all participants including data about age, parity, maternal body weight & height, type of D., gestational existing hypertension, previous obstetrical history including abortion, unexplained macrosomic newborn. intrauterine death, preterm labor & instrumental delivery as well as family history of DM and the result of this pregnancy in term of abortion, C/S or instrumental delivery, birth weight of newborn, PPH. or genital tract injury & neonatal complication with admission to NICU.

BMI was estimated by measuring maternal weight in kilograms divided by height in meter squared (kg/m2). It is classified as:

- 1. Acceptable: if it range between 19-25.
- 2. Over weight: if it is 25-30.
- 3. Obese: if it is 30-40.
- 4. Morbidly obese: if it is  $> 40^{14}$ .

Evaluation of apgar score including heart rate, respiratory effort, muscle tone, facial grimace & color was done within 5 minute after birth. A score of 7-10 indicate best possible condition while a score of 0-3 require immediate resuscitation including intubations & admission.

A score of 4-7 indicate use of some measures of resuscitation newborn will be in favorable condition<sup>15</sup>.

To test the significance of difference; Z test was used to estimate (p value). If P <

0.05 it was considered statistically significant and P < 0.01 it was highly significant.

#### Results

Diabetic patients in this research had been classified according to WHO classification as shown in table I.

About 50) of cases were of type 2 followed by GDM (34%) & the least frequent were those of type 1 (17%). Those of type 2 gave history of previous GDM in (30 cases; 38.5%).

Maternal demographic characters of both cases & control groups were shown in (table II). Diabetic cases found to be of advanced age (i.e. >35 years) in about one fourth of cases (25%) compared to only (11.6%) of controls with statistically highly significant difference (p<0.01). About (70%) of diabetic cases found to be grandmultiparae (i.e. >5 deliveries) compared to only (42%) of controls with statistically highly significant difference (p <0.01). Obesity is evident among diabetic cases where about (70%) had BMI classified as obese & morbidly obese compared to (35%) of controls with statistically highly significant difference (p < 0.01).

Diabetic cases with GDM whom constitute about one third of studied group in this research found to have one or more of the risk factors for GDM as in (table III). About (65%) of those had family history of type 2 or GDM in their 1st degree relatives.

Obesity (58%) & advanced maternal age (50%) were a frequent risk factors for developing GDM as noted also in table 3. Also previous history of macrosomic newborns was a frequent risk factor as about half of those with GDM had such history before.

Pregnancy complications & outcome were shown in (table IV) where about half of cases (47%) gave history of hypertensive disorders compared to only (17%) in controls with statically highly difference (p<0.01). significant Pregnancy induced hypertension tend to be more frequent. Polyhydramnious was evident in about one fourth of cases (26%) compared to only (3%) of controls statistically highly significant (p<0.01). Pregnancy difference diabetic cases ended by abortion in about (14%) compared only to (6%) of controls as well as preterm delivery in (10%) compared to (4%) of controls with statistically highly significant difference (p < 0.01).

C/S rate found to be higher in diabetic cases (39%) compared to only 13% in controls while N.V.D was the usual end result in controls which constitute about three fourths (77%) compared to only (37%) in diabetic cases with statistically highly significant difference (p<0.01). One fourth of diabetic cases (26%) developed PPH. compared only to 5.5% in controls as well as birth tract injury was more in diabetics (9%) compared to 4% in controls with statistically highly significant difference (p<0.01).

Indications of C/S were listed in (table V); about half of C/S (48%) in diabetic cases were indicated for cephalo-pelvic disproportion consequent to macrsomia

compared to only 13.0% in controls while C/S for malpresentation which constitute about one fourth (26%) of C/S rate in controls is the commonest compared to only 5% in diabetics with statistically highly significant difference (p < 0.01).

Neonatal outcome was shown in table VI; (9%) of diabetic cases had stillborn neonate compared to only (3%) in statistically highly controls with significant difference (p<0.01). More than half of newborns (56%) who delivered to diabetics had B.W. (4000gm->) (i.e. macrosomic) compared to only (12%) in with highly controls statistically significant difference (p < 0.01).

Newborns of both diabetics & controls delivered in good status according to Apgar score although the score tend to be higher in those born to non-diabetic mothers. All newborns delivered to diabetic mothers were admitted to NICU for early detection & urgent treatment of hypoglycemia if develop.

Table VII, represent frequent early neonatal complications; the commonest was RDS which developed in about one fourth (25%) of newborns delivered to diabetic mothers compared to only (6%) in non-diabetics. Hypoglycemia tend to occur more in newborns of diabetic mothers (14%) compared to only (2%) in those of non-diabetic mothers with statistically highly significant difference (p<0.01).

Table I: Classification of D.M.

Туре	No.	%		
Type 1	27	16.8		
Type 2	78	48.8		
G. D. M.	55	34.4		
Total	160	100		

Table II: Maternal demographic characters

Value and the second se				
Cases		Control		
No.	%	No.	%	
15	9.3	27	15.0	
26	16.3	42	23.3	
20	12.5	50**	27.7	
59**	36.8	40	22.4	
40**	25.1	21	11.6	
160	100	180	100	
20	12.5	38*	21.1	
46	16.3	67*	37.2	
63**	39.4	38	21.2	
31	31.8	37	20.5	
160	100	180	100	
25	15.6	56**	31.2	
22	13.7	61**	33.8	
64**	40.1	33	18.4	
49**	30.6	30	16.6	
160	100	180	100	
	No.  15 26 20 59** 40** 160  20 46 63** 31 160  25 22 64** 49**	15 9.3 26 16.3 20 12.5 59** 36.8 40** 25.1 160 100 20 12.5 46 16.3 63** 39.4 31 31.8 160 100 25 15.6 22 13.7 64** 40.1 49** 30.6	No.       %       No.         15       9.3       27         26       16.3       42         20       12.5       50**         59**       36.8       40         40**       25.1       21         160       100       180         20       12.5       38*         46       16.3       67*         63**       39.4       38         31       31.8       37         160       100       180         25       15.6       56**         22       13.7       61**         64**       40.1       33         49**       30.6       30	

Table III: Risk factors for GDM

Risk factors	No.	%
Family history of GDM or type 2D.M.	36	65.4
Obesity		
Maternal age > 35years	32	58.1
Previous macrosomic infant	28	50.9
Previous pregnancy with GDM	26	47.2
Previous unexplained I.U.D	18	32.7
•	8	14.5

Table IV: Pregnancy outcome

Events	Case		Contro	ıl
	No.	%	No.	%
Hypertension:-	75**	46.8	36	16.6
a. PE	45**	28.1	22	12.2
b. chronic hypertension	30*	18.7	14	7.7
Polyhydramnious	42**	26.2	6	3.3
Abortion:-	22**	13.7	10	5.5
Missed	12**	7.5	3	1.6
Incomplete	8*	5	6	3.3
Complete	2	1.2	1	0.5
Preterm	16**	10	8	4.4
N. V. D	59	36.8	139**	77.2
C/S	63**	39.3	23	12.7
Instrumental delivery.	0	0	0	0
P. P. H.	42**	26.2	10	5.5
Birth tract injury	15**	9.3	7	3.8

Table V: Indications of C/S

Indi	Indications		Case		
		No.	%	No.	%
1. C.P.D.		30**	47.6	3	13.1
2. Repeat	ed C/S	14	22.3	3	13.1
3. Prolon	g labor	10	15.8	7	30.4
4. Ante pa	artum haemo	6	9.6	4	17.3
5. Malpre	esentation	3	4.7	6**	26.1
Total		63	100	23	100

Table VI: Neonatal Outcome

Outcome	Case		Control	
	No.	%	No.	%
Alive	125	90.5	165*	97
Dead	13**	9.4	5	2.9
Fresh	8**	5.7	3	1.7
Macerated	5*	3.7	2	1.1
B.W.:<3000gms	16	11.5		
3000-3499gms	23	16.6	25*	14.7
3500-3999gms	20	14.4	75**	44.1
4000-4499gms	39**	28.2	49**	28.8
4500-4999gms	30**	21.7	12	7.0
5000->	10**	7.2	8	4.7
Birth injury			1	0.5
Shoulder dysticia				
Brachial pleux injury	4	2,8	1	0.5
Apgar score within 5 min	1	0.7	0	0
0-3	0	0	0	0
4-7				
>7		1900	10	5.8
Admission to NICU	15		58	34.1
	88**		112	65.8
	35		23	
	All**			

**Table VII: Early Neonatal complications** 

Complications	Case		Control		
	No.	%	No.	%	
RDS	35**	21.8	10	5.5	
Hypoglycemia	20**	21.5	4	2.2	
Congenital malformation	8**	5.0	2	1.1	
Total	63	39.3	16	8.8	

## Discussion

In this research: type 2 DM was the most frequent type that affect about half of cases; this agrees the concept that there is worldwide increase in the prevalence of type 2 DM probably related to rising in population obesity and shift in

ethnicity as well as the prevalence of GDM varies in direct proportion to that of type 2 D.M. for a given population & ethnic group 16. The above result was against what was reported that 90% of D.M. in pregnancy was GDM & only

10% was pregestational<sup>2</sup>. Such difference can be explained by small size sample with short duration of study in this research as well as lack of established screening program for GDM in our community so GDM may pass undiagnosed as its onset is during pregnancy.

Diabetic cases in this research tend to be of more advanced in their age (i.e.>30 years) & obese as evident by their BMI in comparison to the controls. This agrees the result achieved in other study that mean age was (34+5 years) with BMI of (31.7-7.5)<sup>17</sup>. The existence of high parity in diabetic cases (i.e.>5 deliveries) is probably related to advanced age because of the approach of early marriage and conception in our community with tendency to have large family.

Those with GDM had more than one of risk factors that predispose to GDM; commonly were family history of type 2 or GDM, obesity and advanced maternal age as well as they were an Asian which is regarded as high risk ethnicity<sup>18</sup>, so that GDM was diagnosed in 11.6% of those with family history of D.M. in their first degree relatives, (12.3%) of those with preconception BMI of (30->), (8.5%) of women aged over 30 years.

Hypertensive disorders had complicated about half of diabetic cases in this study; this agrees the result stated by other study where preeclampsia was more frequent among diabetics occurring in 12% compared to (8%) in non diabetics as well as chronic hypertension complicated (1 in 10) diabetic pregnancy<sup>19</sup> while preeclampsia affected 8.9% of diabetics in other study<sup>17</sup>.

Risk of preeclampsia was related to maternal age & duration of pre-existing D.M. while risk of chronic hypertension

increased in those with underlying retinal or renal vascular disorders<sup>19</sup>. Polyhydramnious had been affected about one fourth of diabetic cases which indicate poor control of D.M. throughout pregnancy consequent to poor antenatal follow up or poor compliance to insulin treatment by some patients.

Abortion occurred in 14% of diabetic cases, significantly more than in non-diabetics, it approximates the result of 13.6% reported in other study<sup>17</sup>. Commonly such abortion was consequent to congenital anomalies which increased in their incidence as there was poor preconceptional control of DM.

Preterm delivery had been complicated (10%) of diabetic cases in this research; less than what was evident in other study (21.4%)<sup>17</sup>. This difference may attributed to small size sample & short study period of my research.

About 40% of diabetic cases had been delivered by C/S; percentage a approximated to that reported by other research which was  $(42.9\%)^{17}$  &  $(38.7)^{20}$ . PPH was a frequent complication affect about one fourth of diabetic cases (26.2%) consequent to uterine atony that may result from uterine over distension by both polyhydramnious & macrosomic fetus, also birth tract injury mainly vaginal & perineal tears was significantly complicate delivery of macrosomic fetuses (9.3%); these approximated to (30.2%) for PPH & (7%) for birth tract injury obtained in other study<sup>20</sup>.

Cephalo-pelvic disproportion consequent to macrosmia was the frequent indication for C/S in diabetic cases as the established approach in our hospitals is to perform C/S rather than induction of labor for treatment of macrosomia.

## References

- 1. Abbertini k, Zimmet P. Definition, diagnosis & classification of diabetes mellitus & its complications. Provisional report of WHO consultation. Diabetes Med. 1998; 15: 539-553.
- Report of the Expert Committee on the diagnosis & classification of Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetic Care 1997; 20: 1183 – 97.
- 3. Landon MB, Gabbe SG. Diabetes mellitus & pregnancy. Obstet Gyn. Clin. North Am. 1992; 19: 633-48.
- 4. Temple R, Aldridge V, Greenwood R, etal. Association between outcome of pregnancy & glycemic control in early pregnancy: population based study. BMJ 2002; 325: 1275 6.
- Sheffield JS, Butter-koster EL, Casey BM, etal. Maternal diabetes mellitus & infant malformation. Obstet. Gynecol. 2002; 100: 925 -930.
- 6. Berkowitz GS, Blakemore Prince C, Lipinski RH, Savitz DA. Risk factors for preterm birth subtypes. Epidemiology 1998; 9: 279 285.
- 7. Rosen BM, Miodovnik M, kranias G, etal. Progression of diabetic retinopathy in pregnancy: association with hypertension in pregnancy Am J Obstet Gynecol 1992; 166: 1214-8.
- 8. Reece E, CoustanDR, Hayslett Jip, etal. Diabetic nephropathy: pregnancy performance & fetomaternal outcome. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1988; 159: 56 66.
- 9. Stamler EF, Cruz ML, Mimouni F, etal. High infectious morbidity in pregnant women with insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. Am J Obstet Cynecol. 1990; 163: 1217 1221.
- 10. Naylor CD, Semer M, Chen E, Sykora RH. Cesarean delivery in relation to birth weight & gestational glucose tolerance: Path physiology or practice style. JAMA 1996; 275: 1165 1170.
- 11. Langer O, Berkns MD, Huff RW, Samuel off A. Shoulder dystocia: should the fetus weighing > 4000 gm be delivered by cesarean section. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1991; 165: 831-837.
- 12. Hawthorn G, Robson S, Ryall EA, etal. Prospective population based survey of outcome of pregnancy in diabetic women. Br. Med. J. 1997; 315, 279-281.
- 13. Stenninger E, Flink R, Eriksson B, etal. Long term neurological dysfunction and neonatal hypoglycemia after diabetic pregnancy. Arch. Dis. Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 1998; 79: 174-9.
- 14. Friar B. M., Truswell A. S, shepherd j, etal. Diabetes Mellitus & Metabolic Disorders. Davidson's principles practice of Medicine 1999; 19th Ed: 526.
- 15. Behrman kliegman Jenson. The newborn infant. Nelson textbook of pediatric 2004; 17th Ed: 528.
- 16. Cousins L, BaxiL, ChezR, etal. Screening recommendation for gestational diabetes mellitus. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1991; 165: 473-496.
- 17. De Valk, Harold W, Nancy H. G., etal. Pregnancy outcome in type2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 2006; 29: 2078-2083.
- 18. Uotila J, Dastidar P, Heinonen T, etal. Magnetic resonance imaging compared to ultrasound in fetal weight & volume estimation in diabetic & normal pregnancy. Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand. 2000; 79:255-259.
- 19. Jeff GM, Esterlits JR, Levine RJ, etal. The relation ship between glucose tolerance & hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1998; 177: 1032-1037.
- 20. Kasson IF, Clarke CA, Howard CV, etal. Outcome of pregnancy in insulin dependent diabetic women: result of five year population cohort study. Br Med J 1997; 315: 275-8.
- 21. Langer O, Conway DL. Level of glycemia & prenatal outcome in pregestational diabetes. J Matern Fetal Med 2000; 9: 35-41.

Stillbirth rate was higher in diabetic cases (9.5%) in comparison to the controls; such rate was higher than what reported in other study  $(4.8\%)^{17}$ ; this can be explained by poor ante partum monitoring of fetal welling consequent to inadequate antenatal care & poor control of maternal hyperglycemia. Diabetic cases tend to deliver heavier newborns in their B.W. where about (57%) of them delivered macrosomic newborns (i.e. 4000gms->) compared to only (12%) in the controls. Such rate exceed what was reported by other study  $(41\%)^{17}$ . Despite this; most of diabetic newborns delivered with favorable Apgar score, although all were admitted to NICU for early diagnosis & urgent treatment of early neonatal hypoglycemia. One fourth of diabetic newborns developed RDS that was usually expected consequent to delay in pulmonary maturation in diabetic newborns. This result approximated the result obtained by other study (31%)<sup>21</sup> while hypoglycemia affect only (14.4%) of diabetic newborns in this study less than what reported by other study  $(41.5\%)^{21}$ .

Congenital malformation affected (5.7%) of diabetic newborns similar to that of (5.1%) evident by other study<sup>21</sup>.

In Summary:- Pregnancy outcome in D.M. was still unsatisfactory in term of high maternal. fetal & neonatal complications consequent to suboptimal preconception & pregnancy including preconceptional counseling, glycaemic control before. during pregnancy, appropriate screening management of diabetic complications & fetal surveillance during pregnancy.

Various clinical skills & training issues for medical staff were found to be the cause of this as well as social & lifestyle for the women. Maternal social deprivation was found to be associated with poor outcome.

Recommendation:- The above findings support the argument that preparation for pregnancy, glycaemic control, screening programme & the standard of preconception & pregnancy care need to be improved if better pregnancy outcome are to be achieved for women with DM.