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(http://iaescore.com/gfa/telkomnika.docx)

===============================================================

Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: Imad Alshawi,

It is my great pleasure to inform you that your paper entitled "Extending of

Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Networks Lifetime using Spider Monkey

Protocol" to TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and

Control), (a SCOPUS/ScimagoJR indexed journal, SJR: 0.21, CiteScore: 1.7,

SNIP: 0.659) has been ACCEPTED with minor revisions. Please prepare your

final paper (in MS Word file format) adheres every detail of the guide of

authors (http://iaescore.com/gfa/telkomnika.docx), and check it for

spelling/grammatical mistakes. The Editors will check whether the revision

already address the reviewers' comments. Failing to do proper revision may

lead to the rejection of your paper.

Please submit your final paper within 8 weeks by uploading to our online

system (at same paper ID, as author version under our decision)

I look forward for your response

Sincerely yours,

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Tole Sutikno

Universitas Ahmad Dahlan

telkomnika@ee.uad.ac.id

===============================================

\*\* PLEASE PAY ATTENTION! \*\*

TELKOMNIKA Telecommunication, Computing, Electronics and Control is a Scopus

indexed leading journal. This journal ONLY publishes high quality papers. A

high quality paper MUST has: 1) a clear statement of the problem the paper

is addressing; 2) the proposed solution(s); and 3) results achieved. It

describes clearly what has been done before on the problem, and what is NEW.

The goal of your final camera ready paper is to describe NOVEL TECHNICAL

RESULTS.

Update title of your paper!! The title summarizes the main idea or ideas of

your study (title is “summary” and “essence” of your paper). Title

should be “encompassing” as well as “descriptive”. A good title

contains the fewest possible words needed to adequately describe the content

and/or purpose of your research paper. Rarely use abbreviations or acronyms

unless they are commonly known. Find the below guide, how to update your

paper title.

For original research paper, there are four (4) types of novel technical

results: 1) An algorithm; 2) A system construct: such as hardware design,

software system, protocol, etc.; The main goal of your revised paper is to

ensure that the next person who designs a system like yours doesn't make the

same mistakes and takes advantage of some of your best solutions. So make

sure that the hard problems (and their solutions) are discussed and the

non-obvious mistakes (and how to avoid them) are discussed; 3) A performance

evaluation: obtained through analyses, simulation or measurements; or 4) A

theory: consisting of a collection of theorems. Your final camera ready

paper should focus on: 1) Describing the results in sufficient details to

establish their validity; 2) Identifying the novel aspects of the results,

i.e., what new knowledge is reported and what makes it non-obvious; and 3)

Identifying the significance of the results: what improvements and impact do

they suggest. Number of minimum references for original research paper is 25

references (and minimum 20 recently journal articles).

For review paper, the paper should present a critical, constructive analysis

of the literature in a specific field through summary, classification,

analysis and comparison. The function and goal of the review paper is: 1) to

organize literature; 2) to evaluate literature; 3) to identify patterns and

trends in the literature; 4) to synthesize literature; or 5) to identify

research gaps and recommend new research areas. The structure includes:

1. Title – in this case does not indicate that it is a review article.

2. Abstract – includes a description of subjects covered.

3. Introduction includes a description of context (paragraph 1 – 3),

motivation for review (paragraph 4, sentence 1) and defines the focus

(paragraph 4, sentences 2 – 3)

4. Body – structured by headings and subheadings

5. Conclusion – states the implications of the findings and an identifies

possible new research fields

6. References (“Literature Review”) – organised by number in the order

they were cited in the text.

Number of minimum references for review paper is 50 references (and minimum

40 recently journal articles).

Prepare your abstract in single paragraph and within 200 words. You need to

summarize your contribution, idea, findings/results, and describe

implications of the findings. Without abbreviations, footnotes, or

references. Without mathematical equations, diagram or tabular material. It

is suggested to present your abstract included the elements: 1) state the

primary objective of the paper; 2) highlight the merits (or contribution; 3)

give a conceptual idea on the method; 4) describe the research design and

procedures/processes employed (is it simulation, experimental, survey etc.);

5) give the main outcomes or results, and the conclusions that might be

drawn; and 6) include any implications for further research or

application/practice, if any.

Please refer to https://bit.ly/35R6JTs and https://bit.ly/2DxU9MI for

further guidelines

Your final camera ready paper should reflect a careful consideration of the

following criteria:

1. Analysis: your revised paper should demonstrate a clear understanding of

the key issues related to your topic of choice. The paper should display

analysis and not mere summary of the topic under consideration. It should

also include evidence to support arguments where necessary.

2. Connections: your paper should demonstrate a connection of the references

you mention to the central topic and to each other where necessary

throughout the paper.

3. Mechanics: this includes attention to punctuation, grammatical soundness

and your submissions being checked for spellings errors.

4. Formatting: adhere the new guide of authors

(http://iaescore.com/gfa/telkomnika.docx)

--------------------------------------------

Guideline for preparing your paper title:

--------------------------------------------

A good research paper title: (1) Condenses the paper’s content in a few

words & Use words that create a positive impression and stimulate reader

interest; (2) Captures the readers’ attention; (3) Indicate accurately the

subject and scope of the study and Differentiates the paper from other

papers of the same subject area. Five (5) Simple steps to write a good

research paper title:

STEP 1: Ask yourself these questions and make note of the answers:

- What is my paper about?

- What techniques/ designs were used?

- Who/what is studied?

- What were the results?

STEP 2: Use your answers to list key words.

STEP 3: Create a sentence that includes the key words you listed

STEP 4: Delete all unnecessary/ repetitive words and link the remaining.

STEP 5: Delete non-essential information and reword the title.

----------------------

General Guidelines:

----------------------

1. Please re-read our instructions (at:

http://journal.uad.ac.id/index.php/TELKOMNIKA/about/editorialPolicies#custom-1)

carefully and follow the checklist strictly, as any spelling mistakes and

errors may be translated into the typeset version.

2. The “result and discussion” section reports the most important

findings, including analysing results as appropriate. It is very important

to prove that your manuscript has a significant value and not trivial.

3. Please re-check that all references are already cited in your article,

and order of your citation is SEQUENTIAL

example in a paper:

—> [1-4], [2], [5-6], [7-9], [8], [4-5], [9], [10-14], [12], [15] ......

(SEQUENTIAL) — correct

—> [1], [2], [3], [4-6], [7], [8-10], [4-5], [11-16], [13], [17] ......

(SEQUENTIAL) — correct

—> [2], [3], [6], [1], [4-5], [7], [11-16], [8-10], [13], [17] ...... (NOT

SEQUENTIAL) — INCORRECT

—> [4-5], [7], [2], [3], [17], [6], [1], [11-16], [8-10], [13] ...... (NOT

SEQUENTIAL) — INCORRECT

------------------------------------------------------

Reviewer B:

Ethics (Plagiarism, Fraud, Other ethical concerns)

- If you suspect that an article is a substantial copy of another work,

please let the editor know, citing the previous work in as much detail as

possible.

- It is very difficult to detect the determined fraudster, but if you

suspect the results in an article to be untrue, inform it

- Has there been a violation of the accepted norms in the ethical?

Please provide your detailed comments to the Author(s) on the following.:

No Evidence was observed.

Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution

of this paper?:

No

If No, please suggest change of the title as appropriate within 10 words:

The Paper has been observed with lots of technical gaps in terms of

Literature review, performance comparison, working, and other technical

aspects.

Is the abstract an appropriate and adequate digest of the work?:

Yes

Is the paper clear, concise, and well organized?:

No

Structure and Presentation (Layout and format, Title, Abstract,

Introduction, Method, Results and Discussion, Conclusion, Language). Please

provide your detailed comments to the Author(s) on the following:

The abstract is not satisfactory. It should introduce the topic covered in

the paper before mentioning the contributions.

Do authors place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers? Is

the paper free from obvious errors, misconceptions, or ambiguity? Is the

paper written in correct English? Please note grammatical errors and suggest

corrections:

1. Keywords should be placed in alphabetical order.

2. The related works section is a part of the introduction section. The

Introduction section must be devised into two sections: Introduction and

Related works.

Previous Research: If the article builds upon previous research does it

reference that work appropriately? Are there any important works that have

been omitted? Are the references accurate? Do authors place the paper in

proper context by citing relevant papers? Please provide your detailed

comments to the Author(s) on the following.:

1. The related works part is not satisfactory and lacks critical analysis

with respect to what surveyed.

2.The paper needs intensive revision in English structure. Also, there are

many spelling and punctuation mistakes that should be corrected.

Rate of the contribution strength to the field and the scientific quality is

represented in this paper?:

Average

Please mark appropriate scale for the overall grade for this paper?:

Average

Reviewer's comments and suggestions how to improve the paper. (If it is not

possible, kindly please use separate sheets or a copy of the paper for

comments and suggestions for revision. Indicate whether revisions are

mandatory or suggested. Please use word processing type format if possible,

and then upload in next step or submit via email: telkomnika@uad.ac.id)

URGENT: Authors should consider all above items for preparing their

revision (and NOT only the below comments)

:

1.The resolution of the algorithms should be enhanced. They should no be

added as figures.

2.References should be homogeneous.

------------------------------------------------------
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