Study of fresh water diatoms from Al-Salehiya river in Basrah, south of Iraq

Ebtehal M.Jaffer*

*Department of Ecology, College of Science, University of Basrah , Iraq

email:ebtehal_alasade@yahoo.com

Abstract

The present study was carried out in Al-Salehiya river (abranch of shatt Al-Arab river) in Five stations from March 2014 - February 2015 to contribute the knowledge of fresh water diatoms in Iraq. A total of 56 taxa of diatom were identified belonging to 30 genera ,their all diatoms species were imaged by light microscope, as well as , their dimension was given in this study.

Keywords: Al-Salehiya river, diatom, fresh water, Shatt Al-Arab

Introduction

Diatoms are unicellular algae that occurring generally as single cells but some species constitute colonies. The especial features involve the siliceous cell wall (frustule), the owning of unique photosynthetic tincture and appointed storage products (oil and chrysolaminarin). Diatoms owing two parts(each parts are called valve) petri dish like construct joined jointly by girdle bands. In fresh water two groups of diatom were found general namely the centric diatom, that in general circular or discoid in form and adapted to survive in the water column as part of the phytoplankton, and the pennate diatoms that survive in benthic habitats but are often momentarily re-suspended in the (Mitbavkar, 2003; Wehr water column and Sheath, 2003; Taylor et al, 2007 b; Karthick *et al*,2010). Diatoms live in fresh, salt, and brackish water as well as ice, moist soil, and other damp places. Some species are epiphytic and live attached to other plants, often to the smaller seaweeds in the ocean.a few attach themselves to animals. Most species live as single cells, free in the water; many become attached to the substrate by agelatinous stalk; others form free or attached colonies of various

shapes; and still others live in gelatinous tubes or in irregular gelatinous masses(Cupp, 1943). The first study on diatoms in Iraq was published by Klobe and Krieger (1942), followed by a number of investigations in various parts of Iraq some of it in Shatt Al-Arab and the branches(Huq etal .(1978) Saad and Antoine 1982,1983,Hadi etal. (1984),Hadi and Al- Saboonchi(1989); Al-Mousawi etal.(1990) ,Hameed (2003), Al-Handal (2009), Al-Saboonchi and Al-Manshed (2012), Eassa (2012), and marsh south of Iraq (Al-Zubaidi, 2000, Hadi and Al-Zubaidi ,2001; Al-Zubaidi etal 2006 ; Hammadi etal. (2007), Al-Handal and Sno (2010) ,Hassan etal.(2012a), , Aletal. (2014). The aim of the Handal present work to study diatoms that found in Al-Salhia river among other many branches of the Shatt Al- Arab river and represent the first study in this site.

Materials and Methods

Planktonic sample was collected from five station in Al-Salehiya river(small

Shatt Al-Arab) which is branched of Shatt Al-Arab river were located to the south of it and surrounds the Al-Salehiya island (Figure 1) from March 2014 to February 2015 by Phytoplankton net (mesh size 20 µm) and reserved by Formalin solution (4%), or lougle's solution, The samples are cleaned with add 5 ml of a strong acid mixture (HNO3 + H2SO4, 2:1) to beakers contain 2 ml of phytoplankton sample then heated by a hot plate ,beakers should be covered with a watch glass to prevent contamination, heat the samples at 90°C for 2-3 hours, depending on the amount of organic matter in the sample; When oxidation is complete, the samples are allowed to cool, then wash the diatoms with distilled water to remove the acids, diatom slides and examined prepare microscopically depended by (Taylor etal 2007a) . Identifications and imaging of diatom species were done by microscope digital Camera(SCMOS03000KPA) and measure the diameter, long and width of examined taxon.



Figure 1: The map showing the sampling station in Al-Salehiya river (small shatt Al-Arab) south east of the Basrah city.

Results and discussion

A total of 56 diatom taxa belonging to 30 genera were recorded from 5 station of Al-Salhia river . Dimensions of each encounted diatom are provided in present study and the classification of the taxa was according to Round *et al.* (1990). Division: Bacillariophyta Class1: Coscinodiscophyceae Order: Thalassiosirales

Family: Stephanodiscaceae

Genus: Cyclotella Cyclotella meneghiniana Kützing (Pl. 1, Fig. 1).

Dimensions: Diameter: 15.45-32. 5 μm, striae: 7-10 in10 μm (Hustedt 1930, p.100, fig. 67,figs. 1-2 ,Jena *etal*.2006,P.*379* , Pl. 1, Fig.2-3).

Cyclotella stylorum Brightwell (Pl. 1, Fig.2). Dimensions: Diameter: 27.32-44.92 μm, striae: 11-14 in10 μm, (Tomas 1996, p.34, , pl.1, fig. b). Class2: Fragilariophyceae Subclass: Fragilariophycidae

Order: Fragilariales

Family: Fragilariaceae

Genus: Tabularia

Tabulariafasciculata(C.Agardh)D.M.Williams & Round (Pl.4, Fig.54)Synonym:, Synedra fasciculata (Agardh)Kützing

Dimensions: Apical axis: 92.1 - 125. 8 μm, transapical axis:3.53- 5.26 μm. (Patric and Riemer, 1966 ,P.141 ,Pl.5, Fig. 17,18)

Tabularia sp. (Pl.4, Fig. 55)

Dimensions: Apical axis: 35- 121. 4μm, transapical axis: 3. 8-8.2μm, striae: 10-16 in 10 μm.

Class3: Bacillariophyceae

Subclass: Bacillariophycidae

Order1: Mastogloiales

Family: Mastogloiaceae

Genus: Mastogloia

Mastogloia braunii Grunow (Pl.2,

Figs.21,24)

Dimensions: Apical axis: 20.39-62.51μm, transapical axis: 16.62-17.44μm, striae: 15-17 in 10 μm. (Patric and Riemer, 1966 ,P.302 ,Pl 20, Fig,18,19)

Mastogloia pumila (Pl.2, Fig.23) Dimensions: Apical axis: 20.1 -30μm, transapical axis: 9-18μm, striae: 15-17 in 10 μm, (Patric and Riemer, 1966 ,P.301 ,Pl 20, Fig,16,17)

Mastogloia smithii Thwaites (Pl. 2 ,Fig.22,25) Dimensions: Apical axis: 20.1 -50μm, transapical axis: 8-14 μm Striae 18-22 in10 μm, (Patric and Riemer, 1966, P.299 ,Pl 20, Fig,14,15).

Order: Cymbellales

Family: Gomphonemataceae Genus: Gomphonema

Gomphonema lanceolatum fo. turris

(Ehr. c.p.) Hust (Pl.2, fig. 26) Apical axis:53-78 µm, transapical axis: 11 -12.3µm, striae: 10 in 10 µm,(Gandhi, H.P. 1959, P. 325, Fig. 47; Hadi et al. 1984, P. 535, Pl. 5, Fig. 78; Pl. 12, Fig. 205, Rai, S.K. 2006, P. 16, Fig. 10) Family: Cocconeidaceae Genus: Cocconeis Cocconeis placentula Ehrenberg (Pl.1, Figs.3,4) Dimensions: apical axis: 32.95-47.55 µm, transapical axis: 19.19-27.85 µm, striae: 14-20 in 10 µm, puncta: 14-20 in 10 µm. (Tiffany, L.H. & Britton, M.E. 1952, Pl.64, Fig. 735, P. 241, Prasad, B. N. and Srivastava, M. N. (1992), p.198, pl.27, Fig.6.)

Cocconeis placentula var. euglypta (Ehrenberg) Grunow (Pl. 1,Fig.5)

Dimensions: apical axis: 16.89-43.69 μm, transapical axis: 8.12-22.38 μm, striae: 16-22in 10 μm, puncta: 14-20 in 10 μm. (Hustedt, 1930, 190, fig. 261; Patrick & Reimer, 1966, 241, pl.15, figs. 8; pl. 9, fig. 158; Lawso & Rushforth, 1975, 21, pl.11, fig. 1)

Order: Cocconeidales Family: Achnanthidiaceae

Genus: Lemnicola

Lemnicola hungarica (Grunow) F.E.Round & P.W.Basson (Pl.2, Fig.33 ,34)

Synonym:, *Achnanthes hungarica* (Grunow) Grunow,

Dimensions: Apical axis: 24.5-27.2 μm, transapical axis: 7.67-8.1 μm, striae: 20-23in 10 μm. (Patric and Riemer, 1966 ,P.259 ,Pl.16, Fig.27,28)

Order: Naviculales Suborder: Neidiineae Family: Amphipleuraceae **Genus:** *Halamphora*

Halamphora ghanensis Levkov (Pl.2, Figs.30,31)

Dimensions: Apical axis: 26.69-29.37μm, transapical axis: 4.57-5.5 μm, striae: 13-17 in 10 μm, puncta: in 10 μm.(Cavalcante, KP.*etal.*,2014, Fig. 15-17, Levkov 2009, p. 194, pl. 105, figs 12-19, pl. 235, figs 1-7).

Halamphora veneta (Kütz.) Levkov, 2009 (Pl.2, Fig.27)

Basionym: Amphora veneta Kützing.

Dimensions: Apical axis: 33.88-35.98 μm, transapical axis: 4.81-5.68 μm, striae: 20-21 in 10 μm, puncta: in 10 μm. ((Carter & Denny, 1992, Taylor *et al*. 2007b.Pl.96 Order Thalassiophysales

Family Catenulaceae

Genus *Amphora Amphora copulata*(Kützing) Schoeman et Archibald (Pl.2, Fig.32). Length 24-60.6 μm, valve width 4.6-10 μm, 14-16 striae in 10 μm. (Schoeman &Archibald,1986 , 429,Fig.11- 13,30-34) Family: Berkeleyaceae **Genus:** *Parlibellus* Parlibellus crucicula (W.Smith)
Witkowski, Lange-Bertalot & Metzeltin
(Pl.3, Figs.38,39)
Basionym: Stauroneis crucicula W.Smith.
Dimensions: Apical axis: 45.32-80.48μm,
transapical axis: 12.6-18.44μm, striae: 1519 in 10 μm.(Lange-Bertalot&Metzeltin
2000,P.321, Pl.2, Fig.21, Joh,G.,2013 P.
384, Pl. 5, Figs.2)
Order: Naviculales
Family: Diadesmidaceae

Genus: Luticola

Luticola nivalis (Ehrenberg) D.G.Mann (Pl.3, Figs.42)

Synonym: *Navicula mutica var. nivalis* (Ehrenberg) Hustedt

Dimensions: Apical axis: 16.54- 18.98μm, transapical axis: 6.48- 7.45μm, striae: 14-20 in10 μm, puncta: 15-20in 10 μm. (Patric and Riemer,1966,P.456, pl.42 ,Fig.6-9).

Genus: Caloneis

Caloneis permagna (Bailey) Cleve (Pl.3, Fig.41)

Dimensions: Apical axis: 71.1-175.46 μm, transapical axis: 26.71-39.9 μm, striae: 12-15 in 10 μm. (Patric and Riemer, 1966,P.580, Pl.53, Fig.5).

Genus: Pinnularia

Pinnularia viridis (Nitzsch) Ehrenberg (Pl.3, Fig.40)

Dimensions:Apical axis:46-125 μm , transapical axis :14-21 μm Striae 7-9 in10 μm. Tiffany & Britton, 1952, pl. 70, Fig. 809; Prasad& Srivastava, 1992, pl. 30, Fig. 6]

Suborder: Diploneidineae Family: Diploneidaceae **Genus:** *Diploneis Diploneis smithii* (Brébisson) Cleve (Pl.3, Fig.45) Dimensions: Apical axis: 19.4-21.17 µm, transapical axis: 7.4-8.57 µm, striae: 13-15 in 10 µm.(Hustedt, 1930, p.250,fig. 395, Meeravali S.N,2015,P.6925, Pl.1, FIg.14). Suborder: Naviculineae Family: Naviculaceae **Genus:** *Navicula*

Navicula radiosa Kuetz (Pl.3, Fig.36) Dimensions: Apical axis: 41.55-70.9 μm, transapical axis: 7.82-10.88 μm, striae: 10-12 in 10 μm.(Tiffany, L.H. and M.E. Britton 1952, P. 255, Pl. 67, Fig. 780; Foged, N. 1983, P. 446, Pl 3, Fig. 18; Prasad, B.N. and M.N. Srivastava 1992, P. 212, Pl. 28, Fig. 4 , Meeravali S.N,2015,P.6926, Pl.2, FIg.2).

Navicula viridula Kütz (Pl.3, Fig.44)

Dimensions: Valve length : 44-60 μ m , Valve breadth 5.5-9 μ m Striae 12-13 in 10 μ m(Hustedt, 1930, p.297, fig. 503,Rashmi Pareek *et al.*, 2011, p. 112, fig.1k.) *Navicula sp1*. (P1.2, Fig.19) Dimensions: Apical axis: 68.5-80 μ m, transapical axis: 5.61-8.77 μ m, striae: 4-5

in 10 µm.

Navicula sp2. (Pl.3, Fig.35)

Dimensions: Apical axis: 26.33-42μm, transapical axis: 9.37-11.22μm, striae: 5-8 in 10 μm.

Navicula salinarum Grunow (Pl.3, Fig.43)

Dimensions: Apical axis: 23.94-31.17μm, transapical axis: 6.8-8.1μm, striae: 15-18in 10 μm.(Grunow 1880 (Pl. 1, Figs. 24, 25,Lange-Bertalot 2001, p 65, pl. 45: 1–8, Joh,G.,2013 P. 382, Pl.1, Figs.24,25).

Genus: Seminavis

Seminavis strigosa (Hustedt) Danieledis & Economou-Amilli (Pl.2, Fig.29) Dimensions: Apical axis: 20.52- 26.62 μ m, transapical axis: 3.86-5.35 μ m, dorsal striae: 16-20 in 10 μ m, ventral striae: 16-18 in 10 μ m.(Taylor *et al.* 2007b,Pl.99 , (Cavalcante, KP.*etal.*,2014, Fig.3-12).

Genus: *Haslea Haslea spicula* (Hickie) L.Bukhtiyarova (Pl.3, Fig.37) Synonym: *Navicula spicula* (Hickie) Cleve, Dimensions: Apical axis: 62.26-101 μm, transapical axis: 9.14 μm. (Patric and

Riemer, 1966; 1966, P.469, pl. 44, Fig.9, Witkowski et al. 2000, p 231, pl. 97, Fig. 6).

Order: Naviculales Suborder: Sellphorineae Family: Sellphoraceae Genus: Fallacia Fallacia tenera (Hustedt) Mann (Pl. 3. Fig.46) Basionym: Navicula tenera Hustedt **Dimensions:** Apical axis: 12.42-14.43µm, transapical axis: 5.88-6.18µm, striae: (16)17-18 in 10 µm. Round et al. 1990, Pl. 7, Figs. 8-11, Witkowski et al. 2000, p 214, pl. 71: 52-56).

Suborder: Sellphorineae Family: Sellphoraceae **Genus:** *Sieminskia Sieminskia wohlenbergii* (Brockmann) D.Metzeltin & Lange-Bertalot (Pl.4, Fig.51) Basionym: *Navicula expansa* Hagelst Dimensions: Apical axis: 68.44-106.81µm, transapical axis: 17.85-24.66µm, striae: 13-21in 10 µm, puncta: 12-18 in 10 μm. (Patric and Riemer, 1966,P.459, pl.43,Fig.1-3).

Suborder: Naviculineae Family: Pleurosigmataceae Genus: Gyrosigma Gyrosigma acuminatum (Kützing) Rabenhorst (Pl. 5, Fig.63) Dimensions: Apical axis: 159.9-187.03µm, axis: 21.9-23.26µm, transapical longitudinal striae: 17-18 in 10 μm, transverse striae: 13-16 in 10 μm. (Desikachary 1988, p. 10, pl. 592, figs. 14-16; Rath and Adhikary 2005, p. 89, pl. 13, fig. 87, Jena etal. 2006, P.384, Pl. 2, Fig. 5)

Gyrosigma attenuatum (Kützing) Rabenhorst (Pl. 5, Fig.64) Dimensions: Apical axis: 141.76-296.37 μ m, transapical axis: 24.34-29.93 μ m, longitudinal striae: 10-12in 10 μ m, transverse striae: 10-13in 10 μ m. (Hustedt1930, p.224, fig. 330, Gupta, R.K. ,2005 p. 183, pl. 52. fig. 6.)

Gyrosigma scalproides (Rabenhorst)

Cleve (Pl.5, Fig.62)

Dimensions: Apical axis: 76.38-104.99 μ m, transapical axis: 11.41-15.5 μ m, longitudinal striae: 26-28in 10 μ m, transverse striae: 19-23in 10 μ m. (Venkataraman 1939, p.319, fig.76., Jena *etal.* 2006,P.384, Pl. 2, Fig. 4) *Gyrosigma sinense* (Ehrenberg) Desikachary (Pl.5, Fig.60) . **Dimensions:** Apical axis: 116.15-208.23 μ m, transapical axis: 13.69-18.64 μ m, longitudinal striae: 16-18 in 10 μ m, transverse striae: 13-16 in 10 μ m.(Desikachary 1988, p. 11, pl. 592, figs. 1-11)

Genus: Pleurosigma Pleurosigma delicatulum W.Sm. var. delicatulum (Pl.5, Figs.61) Dimensions: Apical axis: 135.11-257.88 μ m, transapical axis: 14.32-26.73 μ m, transapical striae: 16-20in 10 μ m, oblique striae: 18-22 in 10 μ m, raphe angle: 5.07- 7.7, striae angle: 53- 66. (Patric and Riemer, 1966,P.336, pl.28,Fig.4a,b,Hadi etal.1984,531,Pl.4,Figs,71-72). Family: Stauroneidaceae
Genus: Craticula
Craticula cuspidata (Kutzing) D.G.Mann
(Pl.4, Figs.50)
Synonym: Navicula cuspidata (Kutzing)
Kutzing,
Dimensions: Apical axis: 82.71-93.9 μm,
transapical axis: 17.4-20.43 μm, striae: 1317 in 10 μm. (Patric and Riemer,
1966,P.464, pl.43,Fig.9-10, Taylor *et al.*2007b,pl.46).

Order: Bacillariales

Family: Bacillariaceae

Genus: Bacillaria

Bacillaria paxillifera (O.F.Müller)

T.Marsson (Pl.4, Figs.57) Dimensions: Apical axis: 61.27-120.19 μm, Transapical axis: 4.5- 6.46 μm, Striae: 18 -24 in 10 μm, Fibulae: 4-9 in 10 μm. .(Taylor *et al.* 2007b,pl.136, Hadi *etal.*1984,537,Pl.6,Figs,111).

Genus: Nitzschia

Nitzschia amphibia Grunow (Pl. 3, Fig.48) Dimensions: Apical axis :17.26-30.1µm,Transapical axis: 4.06-5.1 µm; striae 16-19 in 10 μm, Margins fibulate. (Lawson and Rushforth, 1975, 53, pl. 38, figs. 3, 8-9; Czarnecki and Blinn,1977, 64, pl.16, fig. 4, Meeravali S.N,2015,P.6927, Pl.2, Fig11)

Nitzschia sp1(Pl.4, Fig.56)

Dimensions: Apical axis: 82.71-177.37μm, transapical axis: 5.12- 8.87 μm, striae: 16-20in 10 μm, fibulae: 9- 13 (15) in 10 μm.

Nitzschia clausii Hantzsch (Pl.4, Fig.53)

Dimensions: Apical axis: 39.83- 76 μm, transapical axis: 3.81- 6.77μm, fibulae: 6-11 in 10 μm.(Taylor *et al.* 2007b,pl.147)

Nitzschia sigma (Kützing) W.Smith (Pl.5,

Fig.58)

Dimensions: Apical axis: 60.7- 142 μm, transapical axis: 5.15- 8.87μm, fibulae: 9-13in 10 μm.(Hustedt,1930,420, fig.813; Al-Zubaidi, 1985,124, pl.7, figs. 133-134, Hadi *etal*.1984,540,Pl.6,Figs,94-96).

Nitzschia sigmoidea (Nitzsch) W.Smith (Pl.5, Fig.59)

Dimensions: Apical axis: 301.84-334.44μm, transapical axis: 10.96-11.8μm, striae: 22-24 in 10 μm, fibulae: 5-8 in 10 μm. (Desikachary 1989, p. 4, pl. 663, fig. 3, Al-Zubaidi, 1985,124, pl.7, figs. 138-140)

Nitzschia sp2 (Pl.2, Fig.14)

Dimensions: Apical axis: 15.2-18 μm, transapical axis: 5.47- 7.14μm, striae: 15-18 in 10 μm, fibulae: 16-18 in 10 μm.

Nitzschia tryblionella Hantzsch (Pl.4, Fig.52)

Dimensions: Apical axis: 57.26- 137.9 μm, transapical axis: 13.48- 20.25μm, fibulae: 6-11in 10 μm, Transapical ridges: 5-12 in 10 μm.(Hustedt, 1930, 399, fig.757; Al-Zubaidi, 1985,124, pl.7, figs. 141-142)

Genus: Hantzschia

Hantzschia amphioxys (Ehrenberg)Grunow (Pl.3, Fig.49)Dimensions: Apical axis 60-67 μm,

Dimensions: Apical axis 60-67 μm, transapical axis: 7-8 μm, keel punctae 7-8 in 10 μm,(Hustedt, 1930, p.394, fig. 747, Gupta, 2005 p.190. pl. 54. fig.15).

Genus: Tryblionella

Tryblionella cf. *coarctata* (Grunow) D.G.Mann (Pl.1, Fig. 11) Dimensions: Apical axis: 24.57- 32.16 μm, transapical axis: 9.35- 9.71 μm, striae: 16-20in 10 μm, areolae: 14-16 in 10 μm.(Taylor *et al.* 2007b,pl.143)

Tryblionella compressa (Bailey) Poulin (Pl.1,Fig.13) Dimensions: Apical axis: 20.33-37.12 μ m, transapical axis: 9.43-22 μ m, striae: 10-11in 10 μ m, fibulae: 10-11in 10 μ m, puncta: 10-14 in 10 μ m.(Poulin *et al.* (1990): 96, fig .98, Jiunn-Tzong Wu *et al.* (2011), p.76, pl. 96, figs. b-d.)

Tryblionella granulata (Grunow) D.G.Mann (Pl.1, Fig.12) Basionym: *Nitzschia granulate* Grunow Dimensions: Apical axis: 23.67- 37.30μm, transapical axis: 13.14- 17.57 μm, striae: 6-8 in 10 μm, areolae: 5-7 in 10 μm. (Foged,1980,656 , pl.12, figs. 7,9)

Tryblionella hungarica (Grunow) Frenguelli (Pl.3, Figs.47) Basionym: *Nitzschia hungarica* Grunow Dimensions: Apical axis: 40.01-110.4µm, transapical axis: 5.4-6.85 µm, striae: 17-21 in 10 µm, fibulae: 9-13in 10 µm.(Taylor *et al.* 2007b,pl.139) Order: Rhopalodiales Family: Rhopalodiaceae Genus: *Epithemia* Epithemia adnata (Kützing) Brébisson (Pl.2, Fig. 28) Dimensions: Apical axis: 97.43 µm, transapical axis: 12.26 µm, striae: 11-12 in $10 \,\mu\text{m}$, puncta: 5-6 in 10 μm (striae) between fibulae: 3-7.(Hofmann et al. (2011) Pl. 119, fig. 5-9) Genus: Rhopalodia Muller 1895 *Rhopalodia gibba* (Ehrenberg) Otto Müller (Pl. 2, Fig.17) Dimensions: Apical axis: 123.74-192.66µm, transapical axis: 7.9-10.31µm, striae: 12-16in 10 µm.(Desikachary 1987, p. 3, pl. 231, fig. 10.)

Rhopalodia gibberula (Ehrenberg) O

(Pl. 2, Fig.15,16) Müller Dimensions: Apical axis: 25-100, transapical axis: 5-12 µm, Striae in15-19 /10 Fibulae :3-10 µm Costae :15-19 /10 µm. (Taylor et al., 2007b,Pl. 133). **Order:** Surirellales Family: Entomoneidaceae Genus: Entomoneis Entomoneis alata (Ehrenberg) Ehrenberg (Pl. 1, Figs.8,9) **Synonym:** *Amphiprora alata* (Ehrenberg) Kuetzing Dimensions: Apical axis: 65.71-71.13 µm, transapical axis (valve view): 5-7.9µm, transapical axis (girdle view): 12.53-25.19 μm, striae: 18-20 in 10 μm. (Hustedt, 1930, 340, fig.625; Al-Zubaidi, 1985,114, pl.5, fig. 80)

Entomoneis paludosa (W.Smith) Reimer (Pl.1, Fig.10) Synonym :*Amphiprora paludosa* W.Smith Dimensions: Apical axis: 44.83- 110.6 μm, transapical axis (valve view): 4.1-7.2 μm, transapical axis (girdle view): 18.82-22.21

μm, striae: 18-20 in 10 μm.(Patrick and Reimer, 1975, P. 4, pl.1, Fig. 1)

Genus: Surirella

Surirella sp.(Pl. 2, Figs. Fig.18) Dimensions: Apical axis: 33.5- 74.98μm, transapical axis: 17.66 – 42.59μm, striae: 20-25in 10 μm, fibulae: 2-3in 10 μm.

Surirella striatula Turpin (Pl. 2, Fig.20) Dimensions: Apical axis:61.26-100.12μm, transapical axis: 34.2- 49μm, fibulae: 15-18 in 10 μm. (Hustedt, 1930, 445, fig.869; Al-Zubaidi, 1985,126 , pl.8, fig. 150). Family: Surirellaceae **Genus:** *Campylodiscus Campylodiscus* **cf.** *bicostatus* W.Smith ex Roper (Pl. 1, Fig.6) Synonym: *Campylodiscus clypeus var. bicostatus* (W.Smith ex Roper) Hustedt. Dimensions: Diameter: 42.75-73.07 μm, striae: 8-20 in 10 μm, fibulae: 2-4 in 10 μm. (Hustedt, 1930, 448, fig.874; Al-Zubaidi, 1985,126 , pl.8, fig. 153)

Campylodiscus sp. (Pl. 1, Fig. 7) Dimensions: Diameter: $15.87-29.04 \mu m$, striae: 8-18 in 10 μm , fibulae: 3-6 in 10 μm .



coarctata 12- Tryblionella granulate 13- Tryblionella compressa

10μ _____



10µ



49-Hantzschia amphioxy





References

Al-Handal, A.Y. (2009). Littoral diatoms from the Shatt Al-Arab estuary, North West Arabian Gulf. Cryptogamie Algologie 30(2):153-183.

Al-Handal, A.Y. and Sno, D. S. (2010). Diatoms from the restored Mesopotamia marshes, South Iraq. Algological studies, 133:65-103.

Al-Handal, A.Y.; Abdullah, D. S.; Wulff, A. and Abdulwahab, M.T. (2014). Epiphytic diatomsof the Mesopotamian wetland: Huwaiza marsh, South Iraq. Diatom, 30: 1-15.

Al-Mousawi, A.H.A.; Hadi, R.A.; Kassim, T. I. and Al-Lami, A.A.(1990). A study on the algae in Shatt Al-Arab estuary, southern Iraq. Marina Mesopotamica,5(2):305-323.

Al-Saboonchi, A.A. and Al-Manshed, H.N. (2012). Study of epiphytic algae on *Ceratophyllum demersum* L. from two stations at Shatt Al-Arab River. Journal of Thi-Qar Science, 3(2): 57-63.

Al-Zubaidi, A. J. M. (2000). Species composition and seasonal variations of the epipelic diatoms in some southern Iraqi marshes. Marina Mesopotamica. 15 (1): 53-67.

Al-Zubaidi, A.M. (1985). Ecological study on Algae (phytoplankton) in some marshes near Qurna-southern Iraq. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Basrah, 236p

Al-Zubaidi, A. J. M.; Abdullah, D.S.; Houriabi, K.K. and Fawzi. M. (2006). Abundance and distribution of phytoplankton in some southern Iraqi waters. Marsh Bulletin .1(1): 59-73. Carter J.R. & Denny P., 1992. Freshwater algae of Sierra Leone IV. Bacillariophyceae; Part (iii) diatoms from the lake Sonfon region and from Lake Popei. Nova Hedwigia, 54: 159-211.

Cavalcante, KP., Tremarin, PI. and Ludwig, TAV.,2014. New records of amphoroid diatoms (Bacillariophyceae) from Cachoeira River, Northeast Brazil *Braz. J. Biol., 2014, 74(1): 257-263* DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1519-6984.24512

Cupp, E.E. (1943). Marine plankton diatoms of the west coast of North America, Vol. 5, No.1. Berkeley and Los Angeles, University of California Press, 238pp.

Desikachary T.V. 1987b. *Atlas of Diatoms* (Diatoms from the Bay of Bengal), Madras Science Foundation, Madras. Vol. III & IV: 3-10. pl. 222-400.

Desikachary, T.V. (1988), Marine diatoms of the Indian Ocean region, in: Desikachary, T.V. (ed.), *Atlas of diatoms. Fasc. V.* Madras Science Foundation, Madras, pp. 1–13.

Desikachary, T.V. (1989), Marine diatoms of the Indian Ocean region, in: Desikachary, T.V. (ed.), *Atlas of diatoms. Fasc. VI.* Madras Science Foundation, Madras, pp. 1–27. Eassa, A.M. (2012). The use of diatom indices for the assessment of Shatt Al-Arab River water quality. Journal of Basrah Researches (Sciences), 38(1):114-124.

Foged, N. 1980. Diatoms in Egypt. *Nova Hedwigia***33(1-4):** 629-675-707.

Foged, N. 1983. Diatoms in fountains, reservoirs and some other humid and dry localities in Rome, Italy. *Nova Hedwigia* **38**: 433-468

Gandhi, H.P. 1959. Fresh water diatoms from Sagar inthe Mysore State. J. Ind. bot. Soc. 38(3): 305-331.

Gupta R.K. Algal flora of Dehradun district Uttaranchal, Botanical survey of India-Algae. pp.160-194.(2005).

Hadi, R. A. M. and Al-Saboonchi, A.A.(1989). Seasonal variation of phytoplankton, epiphytic and epipelic algae in the Shatt Al-Arab River at Basrah, Iraq. Marina Mesopotamica, 4(2):211-232.

Hadi, R. A. M. and Al- Zubaidi, A. J. M. (2001). Species composition and seasonal variation of epiphytic diatoms on *Typha domengensis* and *Phragmits australis* southern Iraqi Marshes. Journal of the College Education for Women, University of Baghdad. 12(1): 113-118.

Hadi, R.A.M.; Al-Sabonchi, A.A. and Haroon, A.K.Y. (1984). Diatoms of the Shatt Al-Arab River Iraq, Nova Hedwigia. 39: 513-557.

Hameed, H.A. (2003). The colonization of periphytic diatom species on artificial substrates in the Ashar canal, Basrah, Iraq. Limnologica, 33:54-61.

Hammadi, N. S.; Jasim, A. Q. and Al-Sodani, H. M. (2007). Occurrence and seasonal variations of phytoplankton in the restored marshes of southern Iraq. Marsh Bulletin, (2):96–109.

Hassan, F.M.; Hadi, R.A.; Kassim, T.I. and Al-Hussany, J.S. (2012a). Systematic study of epiphytic algae after restoration of Al-Hawizah marshes, Southern of Iraq. International Journal of Aquatic Science, 3(1):37-57.

Hofmann G., Werum M. & Lange-Bertalot H., 2011. Diatomeen im Süsswasser-Benthos von Mitteleuropa. A.R.G. Gantner Verlag K.G., 908 pp.

Huq, M.F.; Al-Saadi, H.A. and Hameed, H.A. (1978). Phytoplankton ecology of Shatt Al-Arab River at Basrah, Iraq. Verhandlungen des Internationalen Verein Limnologie, 20:1552-1556.

Hustedt, F. (1930). Bacillariophyta (Diatomeae) Zweite Auflage. In: Die Süsswasser-Flora Mitteleuropas. Heft 10. (Pascher, A. Eds), pp. [i]vii, [1]-466. Jena: Verlag von Gustav Fischer.

Jena, M., Ratha, S.K. and Adhikary, S.P. (2006). Diatoms (Bacillariophyceae) from Orissa State and Neighbouring Regions, India Algae 21(4): pp. 377-39 Jiunn-Tzong,w.Bakthavachalam,B.,Chuan-

Ling,C.,Sundararaju,J(2011). Freshwater Diatom Flora of Taiwan (Vol. I&II), Biodiversity Research Center, Academia Sinica, Taipei, 2011. pp. 1-392 & 1-356 (2011).

Joh,G.(2013). Species diversity of the old genus *Navicula* Bory (Bacillariophyta) on intertidal sand-flats in the Nakdong River estuary, Korea, J. Ecol. Environ. 36(4): 371-390, 2013 , http://www.jecoenv.org

Krammer K., 2000. The genus *Pinnularia*. Diatoms of Europe, 1: 1-703.

Kolbe, R.W. and Krieger, W. (1942). Susswasseralgue aus Mesopotamien und Kurdistan. Berichte der Deutschen Botanischen Gesellschaft, 60:336 -355.

Karthick, B.; Taylor, J.C.; Mahesh, M.K. and Ramachandra, T.V. (2010). Protocols for Collection, Preservation and Enumeration of Diatoms from Aquatic Habitats for Water Quality Monitoring in India. The IUP Journal of Soil and Water Sciences, Vol. III (1), 1- 36.

Lawson, L.L. and Rushforh, S.R. (1975). The diatom flora of the Provo River. Utah, U.S.A., Bibl. Phyc., 17: 1- 149.

Lange-Bertalot H. 2001. Diatoms of the European inland waters and comparable habitats. Vol 2. Diatoms of Europe. ARG Gantner Verlag KG, Ruggell.

Levkov, Z., 2009. *Amphora* sensu lato. In LANGE-BERTALOT, H. *Diatoms of Europe*.

Ruggell: A.R.G. Gantner Verlag K.G. 916 p. vol. 5

Meeravali S.N., Prabhakara Raju C and Venkata Raju R.R. 2015. Floristic studies on diatom flora from selected water bodies of ananthapuramu district andhra pradesh India. International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Research

6(10): 6923-6930

Mitbavkar, S. S. (2003). Eco-biology of marine diatoms with emphasis on the influence of physico-chemical parameters. Ph.D. Thesis, National Institute of Oceanography Council of Scientific & Industrial Research Dona Paula, Goa, India.

Prasad, B.N. and M.N. Srivastava 1992. *Fresh water algal flora of Andaman and Nicobar Islands*, Vol. I,B. Singh and M.P. Singh Publ., Dehradun, India.369p.

Patrick, R. and Reimer, C.W. (1966). The diatom of the United States exclusive of Alaska and Hawaii. Vol.1, Monogr. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia No.13. 688pp.

Patrick,R.&Reimer,C.W.(1975).The diatom of the united states exclusive of Alaska and Hawaii vol.2,part 1. Entomoneidaceae ,cymbellaceae,Gomphonemaceae,

Epithemiaceae.PP.[i]-ix[1]-213,28

pls.Philadelphia:The Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia

Poulin, M., Bérard-Therriault, L., Cardinal, A. & Hamilton, P.B. 1990. Les Diatomées

(Bacillariophyta) benthiques de substrats durs des eaux marines et saumâtres du

Québec. 9. Bacillariaceae. *Le Naturaliste Canadien* 117

(2): pp.73-101.

Rai,S.K.(2006). Taxonomic Studies on Some Freshwater Diatoms from the

Eastern Terai Region, Nepal, Our Nature 4:10-19

Rath J. and Adhikary S.P. 2005. *Algal flora of Chilika Lake*. Daya publishing house, Delhi. 206 pp.

Rashmi Pareek *et al.* (2011), Some fresh water diatoms of Galta kund, Jaipur, India. *Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management* V 2(4), pp. 110-116.

Round, F. E.; Crawford, R. M. and Mann, D. G. (1990). The diatoms, biology and Morphology of The Genera. Cambridge University Press, UK, 747p.

Saad, M.A.H. and Antoine, S.E. (1982). Effect of pollution on phyto-plankton inAl- Khandak and Al –Rabat , polluted outlet canals of the Shatt Al-Arab estuaryat Basrah , Iraq . Internationale Revue der gesamten Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie. , 67(3): 419 – 429.

Saad, M. A. H. and Antione, S. E. (1983). Effect of pollution on phyto-plankton in the Ashar canal, a highly polluted canal of the Shatt Al- Arab estuary at Basrah, Iraq. Hydrobiologia 99: 189 – 196. Schoeman, F.R.

&Archibald,R.E.M.(1986).Observation on Amphora species(Bacillariophyceae)in the British

Museum(Natural History).V.Some species from the subgenus Amphora.S.Afr.J.Bot.52:425-437.

Taylor, J.C.; Harding, W.R. and Archibald, C.G.M. (2007a). A Methods Manual for the Collection, Preparation and Analysis of Diatom Samples Version 1.0 WRC Report TT 281/07, Water Research Commission, Pretoria, South Africa, 49pp.

Taylor, J.C.; Harding, W.R. and Archibald, C.G.M. (2007b). An illustrated guide to some common diatom species from South Africa. WRC Report TT 282/07, Water Research Commission, Pretoria, South Africa, 215pp

Tiffany, L.H. and M.E. Britton 1952. The algae of Illinois. Hafner Publishing Co., New York. 407p.

Tomas, C. R. (Ed.) (1996). Identifying Marine diatoms and dinoflagellates. Academic press, New York, 598p.

You,Q.,Kociolek,J.P. & Wang,Q.(2015). of Taxonomic studies the diatom genus Halamphora (Bacillariophyceae) from the regions mountainous of southwest China. including the description of two new Phytotaxa 205 075-089, (2): http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.205.2.1

Venkataraman, G. (1939), A systemic account of some South Indian diatoms. Proceedings of the

India NationalScience Academy. 10(6): pp. 293-368.

Witkowski A, Lange-Bertalot H, Metzeltin D. 2000. Diatom flora of marine coasts. Vol 1. In: Iconographia Diatomologica: Annotated Diatom Micrographs, (Lange-Bretalot H, ed), Vol 7. ARG Gantner Verlag KG, Rugell Wehr, J. D. and Sheath, R. G. (2003). Freshwater Algae of North America Ecology and Classification. Academic Press. USA, 918 pp.

دراسة دياتومات المياه العذبة من نهر الصالحية في البصرة، جنوب العراق

ابتهال موسى جعفر

قسم علم البيئة، كلية العلوم، جامعة البصرة، العراق

ebtehal_alasade@yahoo.com البريد الإلكتروني

المستخلص

أجريت هذه الدراسة في نهر الصالحية (فرع من شط العرب) في خمس محطات للفمدة من اذار 2014 - شباط 2015 للمساهمة في معرفة دياتومات المياه العذبة في العراق. تم تحديد ما مجموعه 56 نوعا من الدياتومات تنتمي إلى 30 جنسا، تم تصوير ها جميع أنواع الدياتومات المجهر الضوئي، وكذلك، أعطيت ابعدها في هذه الدراسة.

الكلمات الرئيسية: نهر الصالحية، دااتومات، المياه العذبة، شط العرب