

The Estimate Function of Schrödinger Operator



Yahea Hashem Saleem and Hadeel Ali Hassen Shubber

Abstract In this paper, as a basic step in arriving at a self-adjoint operator proof, we must find an estimate function and a continuation of our previous work in proof of convergence and smoothness of a function $\Psi(t, x)$. We structure the function $\xi(t, x)$, and we show the function $\xi(t, x)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ and get the relevance among this function and the function $\Psi(t, x)$ by using the characteristics of the first function. Generally previous function for any smooth potential $W(x)$ and we have the same results with the function $\xi(t, x)$. The advantages of $\xi(t, x)$ are investigated. Finally, we evidence that the function $\xi^{(W)}(t, x)$ converges to $\xi(t, x)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx, dV)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Keywords Schrödinger operator · Electric potential · Magnetic potential · Infinitely differentiable

1 Introduction

The equation of the self-adjoint operator is major in the quantum machine (the Dirac–von Neumann formulation of quantum mechanics, physical observables such as position, momentum, and angular momentum).

Ismailov [1, 2] showed the Schrödinger operator (denoted by Sch.O.) is essentially self-adjoint (denoted by E.S.A.). After this study, Simon [6] investigated the Sch.O. $-\Delta + q$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$, where q is an operator of multiplication by a real-valued measurable function, $q(x)$, on \mathbb{R}^n . Many studies interested Essentiality self-adjoint operator refer to [3–5, 7, 9, 10].

Y. H. Saleem (✉) · H. A. H. Shubber
University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq
e-mail: yahea_h@mail.ru

H. A. H. Shubber
e-mail: hadeelali2007@yahoo.com

© The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2021
S.-L. Peng et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of First International Conference on Mathematical Modeling and Computational Science*, Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing 1292, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-4389-4_9

97

In this article, we define function $\xi(t, x)$ as

$$\xi(t, x) = \frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{i}\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} + e^{ts}(2\pi)^{-n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\sigma} \frac{\Psi(\frac{1}{s+i\sigma}, x)\varphi(x) - \varphi(x)}{\sqrt{s+i\sigma}} d\sigma,$$

we study its properties for this function and investigate the relationship with the function $\Psi(t, x)$ of the variable t which is defined as [7]:

$$\Psi(t, x) = \int d\mu_x^t(w) \left\{ \exp \left[-i \int_0^t b(\omega(s)) d\omega - \frac{1}{2} i \int_0^t \operatorname{div} b(\omega(s)) ds - \int_0^t V(\omega(s)) ds \right] \right\} \varphi(\omega(t)) \quad (1)$$

for the electromagnetic Schrödinger operator

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2} (i\partial_j + b_j(x))^2 + V(x),$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $b_j(x)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $V(x)$ are real-valued functions on \mathbb{R}^n , $V \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $b \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\partial_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$, and $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

2 The Main Results

We investigate the function $\xi(t, x)$ and their relationship with $\Psi(t, x)$.

Proposition 2.1 *Let $V \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$. Hence, $\xi(t, x)$ is found and has the characteristics*

- i. $\xi(t, x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx) \forall t, s > 0$
- ii. $\int_0^\infty e^{-ts} \xi(t, x)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)} dt < +\infty$ for all $t, s > 0$
- iii. $\xi(t, x)$ is finite ($\xi(t, x) = 0$, if $d(x, \operatorname{supp} \varphi) > 2\sqrt{t}$)
- iv. $\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \Psi\left(\frac{1}{s}, x\right) = \int_0^\infty e^{-ts} \xi(t, x) dt$

$$v. \quad \xi(t, x) = \frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{t}\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} + e^{t\sigma} (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\sigma} \frac{\Psi(\frac{1}{\sqrt{s+i\sigma}}, x) \varphi(x) - \varphi(x)}{\sqrt{s+i\sigma}} d\sigma, \quad \Gamma(x) \text{ is } \Gamma\text{-function,}$$

$$\operatorname{Re}\sqrt{s+i\sigma} > 0.$$

Proof From (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_v(t, x) &= \frac{(-1)^v}{v!} \sum_{j=0}^v \binom{v}{j} (-i)^j b(\omega(s))_{L^2[0,t]}^j \int_0^t ds_1 \int_{s_1}^t ds_2 \dots \int_{s_{v-j-1}}^t ds_{v-j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} \dots \\ &\quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} p(x, x_1, s_1) \dots p(x_{v-j-1}, x_{v-j}, s_{v-j} - s_{v-j-1}) p(x_{v-j}, y, t - s_{v-j}) \\ &\quad \left(-\frac{i}{2} \operatorname{div} b(x_1) - V(x_1) \right) \left(-\frac{i}{2} \operatorname{div} b(x_2) - V(x_2) \right) \dots \\ &\quad \left(-\frac{i}{2} \operatorname{div} b(x_{v-j}) - V(x_{v-j}) \right) \varphi(y) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{v-j} dy \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\Psi(t, x) = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \Psi_v(t, x)$$

Now we can write the function

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_v(t, x) &= \frac{(-1)^v}{v!} \sum_{j=0}^v \binom{v}{j} (-i)^j b(\omega(s))_{L^2[0,t]}^j \int_0^t ds_1 \int_{s_1}^t ds_2 \dots \int_{s_{v-j-1}}^t ds_{v-j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} \dots \\ &\quad \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} p(x, x_1, s_1) p(x_{v-j-1}, x_{v-j}, s_{v-j} - s_{v-j-1}) p(x_{v-j}, y, t - s_{v-j}) \\ &\quad \left(-\frac{i}{2} \operatorname{div} b(x_1) - V(x_1) \right) \left(-\frac{i}{2} \operatorname{div} b(x_2) - V(x_2) \right) \dots \\ &\quad \left(-\frac{i}{2} \operatorname{div} b(x_{v-j}) - V(x_{v-j}) \right) \\ &\quad \varphi(y) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{v-j} dy \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $p(x, y, t) = (2\pi t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{-(x-y)^2}{2t}\right)$. By Fourier transformation

$$\widehat{\Psi}_v(t, \lambda) = \frac{(-1)^v}{v!} \sum_{j=0}^v \binom{v}{j} (-i)^j t^{v-j} b(\omega(s))_{L^2[0,t]}^j$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \int_{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \dots + \sigma_{v-j} < 1} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} d\sigma_1 \dots d\sigma_{v-j} \\
& \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \dots d\lambda_{v-j} e^{-t\sigma_1 \lambda^2} e^{-t\sigma_2 \lambda_1^2} \dots e^{-t(1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2-\dots-\sigma_{v-j})\lambda_{v-j}^2} \\
& \times \left(-\frac{i}{2} \widehat{\text{div}} b(\lambda - \lambda_1) - \widehat{V}(\lambda - \lambda_1) \right) \left(-\frac{i}{2} \widehat{\text{div}} b(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) - \widehat{V}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \right) \dots \\
& \times \left(-\frac{i}{2} \widehat{\text{div}} b(\lambda_{v-j-1} - \lambda_{v-j}) - \widehat{V}(\lambda_{v-j-1} - \lambda_{v-j}) \right) \widehat{\phi}(\lambda_{v-j}).
\end{aligned}$$

We put $t = \frac{1}{s}$ and use the Laplace transformation; then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \widehat{\Psi}_v \left(\frac{1}{s}, \lambda \right) &= \frac{(-1)^v}{v!} \sum_{j=0}^v \binom{v}{j} (-i)^j \frac{1}{s^{\frac{v-j}{2}}} b(\omega(s))^j_{L^2[0, \frac{1}{s}]} \\
& \int_{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \dots + \sigma_{v-j} < 1} \dots \int d\sigma_1 \dots d\sigma_{v-j} \int_0^\infty e^{-s\tau} d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \dots d\lambda_{v-j} \\
& \left(\frac{\cos(2\sqrt{\sigma_1 \tau_1} \lambda)}{\sqrt{\pi \tau_1}} \right) * \left(\frac{\cos(2\sqrt{\sigma_2 \tau_2} \lambda_1)}{\sqrt{\pi \tau_2}} \right) * \dots * \left(\frac{\cos(2\sqrt{\sigma_{v-j} \tau_{v-j}} \lambda_{v-j-1})}{\sqrt{\pi \tau_{v-j}}} \right) * \\
& \left(\frac{\cos(2\sqrt{(1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2-\dots-\sigma_{v-j})\tau_{v-j+1}} \lambda_{v-j})}{\sqrt{\pi \tau_{v-j+1}}} \right) \left(-\frac{i}{2} \widehat{\text{div}} b(\lambda - \lambda_1) - \widehat{V}(\lambda - \lambda_1) \right) \\
& \left(-\frac{i}{2} \widehat{\text{div}} b(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) - \widehat{V}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \right) \dots \left(-\frac{i}{2} \widehat{\text{div}} b(\lambda_{v-j-1} - \lambda_{v-j}) \right. \\
& \left. - \widehat{V}(\lambda_{v-j-1} - \lambda_{v-j}) \right) \widehat{\phi}(\lambda_{v-j})
\end{aligned}$$

where $f * g$ is convolution. If $\Delta_q f(x) = 2^{-1}(f(x+q) + f(x-q))$, thus

$$\mathcal{F} \Delta_q \mathcal{F}^{-1} f(x) = \mathcal{F} \left(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} f(x+q) \right) + \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} f(x-q) \right) \right) = \Delta_q f(x)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \Psi_v \left(\frac{1}{s}, x \right) &= \frac{(-1)^v}{v!} \sum_{j=0}^v \binom{v}{j} (-i)^j \frac{1}{s^{\frac{v-j}{2}} \pi^{\frac{v-j+1}{2}}} b(\omega(s))^j_{L^2[0, \frac{1}{s}]} \\
& \int_{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \dots + \sigma_{v-j} \leq 1} \dots \int d\sigma_1 \dots d\sigma_{v-j} \int_0^\infty e^{-s\tau} d\tau \int_{\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \dots + \tau_{v-j} \leq \tau} \dots \\
& \int d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_{v-j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_2}} \dots \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau - \tau_1 - \tau_2 - \dots - \tau_{v-j}}} \Delta_{2\sqrt{\sigma_1 \tau_1}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(-\frac{i}{2}\operatorname{div} b(\tau_1) - V(\tau_1)\right) \dots \Delta_{2\sqrt{\sigma_{v-j}\tau_{v-j}}} \\ & \left(-\frac{i}{2}\operatorname{div} b(\tau_{v-j}) - V(\tau_{v-j})\right) \Delta_{2\sqrt{(1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2-\dots-\sigma_{v-j})(\tau-\tau_1-\tau_2-\dots-\tau_{v-j})}} \varphi(x). \end{aligned}$$

We note that by Cauchy inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{k=1}^{v-j} 2\sqrt{\sigma_k \tau_k} + 2\sqrt{(1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2-\dots-\sigma_{v-j})} \sqrt{(\tau-\tau_1-\tau_2-\dots-\tau_{v-j})}\right)^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{v-j} 4\sigma_k \tau_k + 4(1-(\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\dots+\sigma_{v-j}))(\tau-(\tau_1+\tau_2+\dots+\tau_{v-j})) \leq 4\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{v-j} 2\sqrt{\sigma_k \tau_k} + 2\sqrt{(1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2-\dots-\sigma_{v-j})} \sqrt{(\tau-\tau_1-\tau_2-\dots-\tau_{v-j})} \leq 2\sqrt{\tau}.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_{2\sqrt{\sigma_1 \tau_1}} \left(-\frac{i}{2}\widehat{\operatorname{div}} b(\tau_1) - \widehat{V}(\tau_1)\right) \dots \Delta_{2\sqrt{\sigma_{v-j} \tau_{v-j}}} \left(-\frac{i}{2}\widehat{\operatorname{div}} b(\tau_{v-j}) - \widehat{V}(\tau_{v-j})\right) \\ & \Delta_{2\sqrt{(1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2-\dots-\sigma_{v-j})(\tau-\tau_1-\tau_2-\dots-\tau_{v-j})}} \varphi(x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

If $d(x, \operatorname{supp} \varphi) > 2\sqrt{\tau}$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \Psi_v \left(\frac{1}{s}, x\right) = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^v}{v!} \sum_{j=0}^v \binom{v}{j} (-i)^j \frac{1}{s^{\frac{v-j}{2}} \pi^{\frac{v-j+1}{2}}} b(\omega(s))^j_{L^2[0, \frac{1}{2}]} \\ & \int_{\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\dots+\sigma_{v-j} \leq 1} \dots \int d\sigma_1 \dots \int d\sigma_{v-j} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-s\tau} d\tau \\ & \int_{\tau_1+\tau_2+\dots+\tau_{v-j} \leq \tau} \dots \int d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_{v-j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_2}} \\ & \dots \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau-\tau_1-\tau_2-\dots-\tau_{v-j}}} \\ & \Delta_{2\sqrt{\sigma_1 \tau_1}} \left(-\frac{i}{2}\widehat{\operatorname{div}} b(\tau_1) - V(\tau_1)\right) \dots \Delta_{2\sqrt{\sigma_{v-j} \tau_{v-j}}} \Delta_{2\sqrt{(1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2-\dots-\sigma_{v-j})(\tau-\tau_1-\tau_2-\dots-\tau_{v-j})}} \varphi(x) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Thus, we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \Psi\left(\frac{1}{s}, x\right) \varphi(x) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-s\tau} \xi(\tau, x) d\tau$$

By using Proposition (1.1) in [7], the function $\xi(t, x)$ satisfied the properties (i) and (ii).

Moreover, we can compose (iii) by replacing s by $s + i\sigma$ in Proposition (2.1) in [7]. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s+i\sigma}} \left(\Psi\left(\frac{1}{s+i\sigma}, x\right) - \varphi(x) \right) &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-s\tau - i\sigma\tau} \left(\xi(\tau, x) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\sqrt{\tau}} \varphi(x) \right) d\tau \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{s+i\sigma}} \Psi\left(\frac{1}{s+i\sigma}, x\right) - \varphi(x) &\leq \frac{1}{|s+i\sigma|^{-3/2}} \text{const} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by inverse Fourier transformation

$$\xi(t, x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\sqrt{t}} \varphi(x) + \frac{e^{s\tau}}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\sigma t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s+i\sigma}} \left(\Psi\left(\frac{1}{s+i\sigma}, x\right) - \varphi(x) \right) d\sigma$$

By the Proposition (2.1), we can easily prove the next proposition.

Proposition 2.2 Suppose that $W(x)$ is a smooth function with compact support, suppose $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(t, x) = \int d\mu_x^t(w) \left\{ \exp \left[-i \int_0^t b(\omega(s)) ds \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} i \int_0^t \text{div} b(\omega(s)) ds - \int_0^t W(\omega(s)) ds \right] \right\} \varphi(\omega(t)) \end{aligned}$$

Then, \exists a family $\xi^{(W)}(t, x) \ni$

- i. $\xi^{(W)}(t, x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$,
- ii. $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ts} \xi^{(W)}(t, x)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)} dt < +\infty$ for any $s, t > 0$
- iii. $\xi(t, x)$ is finite $\xi(t, x) = 0$, if $d(x, \text{supp } \varphi) > 2\sqrt{t}$

$$\text{iv. } \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \Psi^{(W)}\left(\frac{1}{s}, x\right) = \int_0^\infty e^{-ts} \xi^{(W)}(t, x) dt$$

$$\text{v. } \xi^{(W)}(t, x) = \frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{t} \Gamma(1/2)} + e^{ts} (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{t\sigma} \frac{\Psi^{(w)}\left(\frac{1}{s+i\sigma}, x\right) - \varphi(x)}{\sqrt{s+i\sigma}} d\sigma,$$

where $\Psi^{(W)}(t + is, x)$ is an analytic expansion of $\Psi(t, x)$ into a domain $\text{Re}(t + is) > 0$ and an account of a quadrate root is possessed in a right-hand half-plane for any $s > 0$.

Suppose $V(x)$ have the form

$$v_{j-1,m}(x - (a_{j-1,m1}, a_{j-1,m2}, \dots, a_{j-1,mn})) \xi_{j-1,m} + v_{j,m}(x - (a_{j,m1}, a_{j,m2}, \dots, a_{j,mn})) \xi_{j,m}$$

For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, put $v_{j,m}(x) = 0$ for $|m| > r$. Thus, the random potential conformable to $v_{j,m}$ is a smooth finite function of x . Stand for W and stratify to the proposition.

We secure random functions $\Psi^{(W)}(t, x)$ and $\xi^{(W)}(t, x)$ together the advantages (i)–(v). From the outcomes of Proposition (2.1) and Lemma (2.2) in [7], we acquire

$$\Psi^{(W)}(t, x) \text{ converge } \Psi(t, x) \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx, dV) \text{ if } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

converge $\Psi(t, x)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx, dV)$ if $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 2.3 For $t = \tau + i\gamma$, the assessment holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi(t, x) - \varphi(x) + tH\varphi(x) - \frac{t^2}{2!} H^2\varphi(x) \\ & + \dots (-1)^{m+1} \frac{t^m}{m!} H^m\varphi(x)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx, dV)} \leq C_{m+1} \frac{t^{m+1}}{m!} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where C_{m+1} is a constant.

Proof As it was shown

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E \left(\Psi(t, x) \sum_{k,l} C_{k,l} h_k(x) \theta_l(V) \right) dx \\ & = (-1)^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E \left(\tilde{\Psi}_m(t, x) \sum_{k,l} C_{k,l} h_k(x) \theta_l(V) \right) dx, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $\tilde{\Psi}_m(t, x) \equiv H^m\varphi$. By Taylor's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E \left(\Psi(t, x) \sum_{k,l} C_{k,l} h_k(x) \theta_l(V) \right) dx = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(t-t_1)^j}{j!} \cdot \frac{\partial^j}{\partial t^j} \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E(\Psi(t, x) \sum_{k,l} C_{k,l} h_k(x) \theta_l(V)) dx|_{t=t_1} + \int_{t_1}^t d\tau_1 \int_{t_1}^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \dots \int_{t_1}^{\tau_m} d\tau_{m+1} \frac{\partial^{m+1}}{\partial t^{m+1}} \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E \left(\Psi(t, x) \sum_{k,l} C_{k,l} h_k(x) \theta_l(V) \right) dx|_{t=\tau_n} \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

substitute, by formula (6), the derivations into (5). According to: [8]

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2} (i \partial_j + b_j(x))^2 \Psi - V \Psi$$

A .e. V , we acquire

$$\lim_{t_1 \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\Psi}_m(t_1, x) = H^m \varphi(x).$$

From (6),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E \left((\Psi(t, x) - \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{(-t)^j}{j!} H^j \varphi(x)) \sum_{k,l} C_{k,l} h_k(x) \theta_l(V) \right) dx \\
& = (-1)^{m+1} \int_0^t d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \dots \int_0^{\tau_m} d\tau_{m+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E \left(\tilde{\Psi}_{m+1}(t, x) \times \sum_{k,l} C_{k,l} h_k(x) \theta_l(V) \right) dx \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

by the estimates,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E \left(\Psi(t, x) \sum_{k,l} C_{k,l} h_k(x) \theta_l(V) \right) dx \right| \leq \text{const.} \sum_{k,l} C_{k,l} h_k(x) \theta_l(V)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx, dV)}. \tag{8}$$

Now (4) follows from (7) and (8). ■

We return to $\xi^{(W)}(t, x)$ and (v). By the proposition,

$$\frac{1}{|\sqrt{s+i\sigma}|} \Psi^{(w)} \left(\frac{1}{s+i\sigma}, x \right) - \varphi(x)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx, dV)} \leq C_1 \frac{1}{|s+i\sigma|^{3/2}}, \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{1}{|\sqrt{s+i\sigma}|} \Psi\left(\frac{1}{s+i\sigma}, x\right) - \varphi(x)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx, dV)} \leq C_1 \frac{1}{|s+i\sigma|^{3/2}} \quad (10)$$

Since (9) does not depend on r , then by (v) of Proposition (2.3) that $\xi^{(W)}(t, x)$ have limits in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx, dV)$, and denote by $\xi(t, x)$. In addition,

$$\xi(t, x) = \frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{t}\Gamma(1/2)} + e^{ts} (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{t\sigma} \frac{\Psi\left(\frac{1}{s+i\sigma}, x\right) - \varphi(x)}{\sqrt{s+i\sigma}} d\sigma. \quad (11)$$

We note for $\xi(t, x)$ the following:

- i. $\xi(t, x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx, dV)$.
- ii. $\int_0^{\infty} e^{-ts} \xi(t, x)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx, dV)} dt < +\infty$ for any $s, t > 0$
- iii. $\xi(t, x)$ is finite $\xi(t, x) = 0$, if $d(x, \text{supp } \varphi) > 2\sqrt{t}$
- iv. $\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \Psi\left(\frac{1}{s}, x\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ts} \xi(t, x) dt$,

which is convergent in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx, dV)$.

These advantages can be found by the limit the conformable advantages of $\xi^{(W)}(t, x)$.

By depending on scalar product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx, dV)$ of (11) and $\sum_{k,l} C_{k,l} h_k(x) \theta_l(V)$, thus we acquire a. e. V , the $\xi(t, x)$ is smooth and

$$H\xi(t, x) = \xi^{(1)}(t, x)$$

where $\xi^{(1)}(t, x)$ is found by (11) and $\varphi(x)$ is displaced by $H\varphi(x)$. This pursues from $H\Psi(t, x) = \Psi^{(1)}(t, x)$. Suppose that evidences our proposition.

From (11) and the results in [8],

$$\left| E \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(t, x) \sum_{k,l=1}^p C_{k,l} \sum_{j=1}^n 2^{-1} (i\partial_j + b_j(x))^2 h_k(x) \theta_l(V) dx \right| \\ \leq \text{const} \sum_{k,l=1}^p C_{k,l} h_k(x) \theta_l(V)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx, dV)}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \xi(t, x) \sum_{k,l} C_{k,l} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2} (i\partial_j + b_j(x))^2 \right) h_k(x) \theta_l(V) dx \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}\Gamma(1/2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2} (i\partial_j + b_j(x))^2 \right) \varphi(x) E \left(\sum_{k,l} C_{k,l} h_k(x) \theta_l(V) \right) dx \\
&+ (2\pi)^{-n} e^{ts} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{t\sigma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s+i\sigma}} E \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2} (i\partial_j + b_j(x))^2 \right) \Psi \left(\frac{1}{s+i\sigma}, x \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2} (i\partial_j + b_j(x))^2 \varphi(x) \right) \sum_{k,l} C_{k,l} h_k(x) \theta_l(V) \right] d\sigma \\
&\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2} (i\partial_j + b_j(x))^2 \Psi \left(\frac{1}{s+i\sigma}, x \right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2} (i\partial_j + b_j(x))^2 \varphi(x) \right) \right. \quad (12) \\
&\quad \left. = H\varphi(x) - H\Psi \left(\frac{1}{s+i\sigma}, x \right) + V\Psi \left(\left(\frac{1}{s+i\sigma}, x \right) - \varphi(x) \right) \right) \\
&\quad = \tilde{\varphi}_1(x) - \tilde{\Psi}_1 \left(\frac{1}{s+i\sigma}, x \right) + V \left(\Psi \left(\frac{1}{s+i\sigma}, x \right) - \varphi(x) \right), \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}_1 = H\varphi(x)$ and $\tilde{\Psi}_1(t, x)$ corresponds to $\tilde{\varphi}_1(x)$.

Note that the Proposition (2.2) remains satisfied for $\tilde{\Psi}_1$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_1$. In particular,

$$\tilde{\Psi}_1 - \tilde{\varphi}_1_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx, dV)} \leq \text{const} \frac{1}{|s+i\sigma|}. \quad (14)$$

If we multiply the integrand in (5) by $V(x)$, then (4) remains satisfied

$$V \left(\Psi(t, x) - \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^{j+1} \frac{(t+1)^j}{j!} \varphi(x) \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx, dV)} \leq C_{n+1} \frac{t^{n+1}}{n!}, \quad (15)$$

where C_{n+1} is a constant.

$$V \left(\Psi \left(\frac{1}{s+i\sigma}, x \right) - \varphi(x) \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx, dV)} \leq \frac{\text{const}}{|s+i\sigma|} \quad (16)$$

substitute (13)–(16) into (11). After the Fourier transform, we get

$$\left| E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\xi}(t, q) \left(\frac{-n}{2} |q|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n i\partial_j \hat{b}_j(q) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n i\hat{b}_j(q) |q| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{b}_j^2(q) \right) \right) \right|$$

$$\left| \sum_{k,l} C_{k,l} \hat{h}_k(q) \theta_l(V) \right| \leq \text{const} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} + B_s e^{t_s} \right) \sum_{k,l} C_{k,l} h_k(x) \theta_l(V)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx, dV)}, \quad (17)$$

where A, B_s are constants. The estimate (17) implies $\xi(t, x) \in W_1$ a. e. V , also, $\xi_{W_1}^2$ an integrable of V . Further, we can return above by the same method as in [8]. Thus, we get the stated assertion.

3 Conclusion

We construct the function $\xi(t, x)$ and note that the function $\xi^{(W)}(t, x)$ converges to $\xi(t, x)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx, dV)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. So, the same properties of the $\Psi(t, x)$ are satisfied.

References

1. Ismagilov, R. S. (1962). On conditions of self-adjointness of differential operators of a higher order. *Dokl Acad Nauk SSSR*, 142, 1239–1242.
2. Milatovic, O. (2018). Self-adjointness, m-accretivity, and separability for perturbations of Laplacian and Bi-Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds. *Integral Equations, Operator Theory* pp 90–112.
3. Nenciu G, Nenciu I (2017) Drift-diffusion equations on domains in \mathbb{R}^d : essential self-adjointness and stochastic completeness. *Journal of Functional Analysis* 2616–2654.
4. Portmann F, Sok J, Solovej JP (2018) Self-adjointness and spectral properties of dirac operators with magnetic links. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées* 114–157.
5. Simon, B. (1973). Essential self-adjointness of Schrödinger operators with singular potentials: a generalized Kalf-Walter—Schmincke theorem, *arch. Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 52, 44–48.
6. Treust, L. L., Bonafos, T. O. (2018). Self-adjointness of dirac operators with in_nite mass boundary conditions in sectors, *Annales Henri Poincaré*.
7. Saleem, Y. H., & Hassen, H. A. (2019). Convergence of Schrödinger Operator with electromagnetic potential. *Science International Lahore*, 31(2), 303–308.
8. Saleem, Y. H., Shubber, H. A. (2019). The smoothness of Schrödinger operator with electromagnetic potential. *European Journal of Pure and Application Mathematics* 12(4), 1441–1454.
9. Saleem, Y. H., Shubber, H. A. (2019). Essential self-adjointness of the Schrödinger operator with electromagnetic potential. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics* 22(8), 1537–1542.
10. Saleem, Y. H., Goldstein, M. S. (1989). Self-adjointness of Schrödinger operators for one class of strongly oscillating potential, *tez.dokl., Skoly-seminara, aktualnye voprosy kompleksnogo analiza*. Tashkent, pp. 152 [Russian].

