



On Fuzzy Statistical (O)-Convergence in Fuzzy Riesz Spaces

Shatha Abdul-Hussein Kadhim

Department of Mathematics, Collage of Sciences, University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq

Articles Information	Abstract		
Received: 01.04.2020 Accepted: 26.08.2020 Published: 26.09.2020	In this paper, we present the concepts of fuzzy order convergence, fuzzy statistical (O)- Convergence (Fso-Convergence), and fuzzy statistical Cauchy sequence in fuzzy Riesz spaces, their fundamental properties are established, some important theorems are given, and show every (Fso-Convergence) can be fuzzy statistical Cauchy and prove that any one of two sequences is fuzzy order converge leads to the second sequence is fuzzy statistical (O)- Convergence. In addition, we have also demonstrated through examples that, in general,		
Keywords:	- "fuzzy ordered linear space, need not to be a fuzzy Riesz spaces".		
Fuzzy Riesz spaces			
Fuzzy order convergence			
Fuzzy statistical (0)-convergence			

DOI: 10.22401/ANJS.23.3.08

Fuzzy statistical Cauchy sequence

Corresponding author: shatha.alkhaqani@gmail.com

1. Introduction

The hypothesis of, vector lattices (Riesz spaces) was founded, separately, by F. Riesz, H. Freudenthal and L. V. Kantorovitch in the Years around (1935), and it is stimulating to perceive. So several authors have developed the subject in [1]. In 1967, the basic concepts in the study of Riesz spaces are the convergence in [2].

So in (1951), Fast [3] and Steinhaus [4], introduced the idea of (Statistical Convergence for Sequences), as a result the statistical convergence has several applications indifferent fields of mathematics some of these fields, including topology [5,6] and fuzzy analysis [7, 8, 9, 10]. So separately from some basic, and main properties of this notion was studied by, buck [11], Salàt [12], Shoenberg [13]. The concept of "fuzzy norm" was presented by Katsaras [14]. In reading (Fuzzy Topological Vector Spaces). Consequently [15,16] discussed several topological properties of fuzzy normed linear space. In (1992), employ the connotation of fuzzy norm., Felbin [17], Put progression the fuzzy no.rm on a line.ar space, which is based on their formation provided of a fuzzy metric introduced into [18, 19].In (1995), Beg and Islam introduced the conception fuzzy ordered linear spaces in [20], also in 1994 they are deliberate notion of fuzzy Riesz spaces (vector lattices) [21]. Then many other authors have developed the subject. One of these Labuschagne, Pinchuck in [22].

Following the statistical convergence for sequence was further information in variously spaces. One of these spaces that is fuzzy Riesz space thus we introduce fuzzy statistical (O)-Convergence in, fuzzy Riesz Space. In this framework, established basic definitions and procure several basic results. Also explained that a fuzzy ordered line.ar space not necessity be a (fuzzy Riesz space) in two examples.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some of the basic concepts related to the notions of fuzzy statistical (O)-Convergence (Fso-Convergence) in fuzzy vector lattices which we will use throughout the paper, and present examples on fuzzy Riesz spaces.

Let *X* be a universal set and, *A* be a subset of *X*.

Definition 2.1 [20]. A fuzzy order, A is a fuzzy subset of $X \times X$ such that the conditions are satisfied.

- i. For every $x \in X$, $\mu(x, x) = 1$ (reflexivity).
- ii. For all, $x, y \in X \overset{\bullet}{\mu}(x, y) + \overset{\bullet}{\mu}(y, x) > 1$ implies x = y (antisymmetry).
- iii. For each $x, y, z \in X$

$$\overset{\bullet}{\mu}(x,z) \geq \bigvee_{y \in X} [\overset{\bullet}{\mu}(x,y) \land \mu(y,z)]$$

where $\dot{\mu}: X \times X \longrightarrow [0,1]$ is the membership function of the fuzzy subset of $X \times X$.

A set with a fuzzy order defined on is called a Fuzzy Ordered Set "foset, for short".

Proposition 2.2 [24]. A subset A of a fuzzy ordered set X.

- i. $\sup A$, if it exists is unique,
- ii. $\inf A$, if it exists is unique.

ANJS, Vol.23 (3), September, 2020, pp. 61 – 67

Proposition 2.3 [24]. In any foset X. The following identities hold:

- i. $x \wedge x = x$, $X \vee X = X$, (idempotent),
- ii. $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$, $x \vee y = y \vee x$, (commutative),
- iii. $x \land (x \lor y) = x \lor (x \land y) = x$ (absorption),
- iv. $\mu(x, y) > \frac{1}{2}$, if and only if $x \wedge y = x$ if and only if, $x \lor y = y$ (consistency).

Definition 2.4 [20]. A (real) linear space X is said to be a fuzzy ordered linear space if X is a foset and further Xsatisfies the following conditions.

i. If $x_1, x_2 \in X$, such that, $\mu(x_1, x_2) > \frac{1}{2}$, then, $\overset{\bullet}{\mu}(x_1,x_2) \leq \overset{\bullet}{\mu}(x_1+x,x_2+x), \text{ for all } x \in X,$ ii. If $x_1, x_2 \in X$, such that, $\mu(x_1, x_2) > \frac{1}{2}$, then

 $\mu(x_1, x_2) \le \mu(\alpha x_1, \alpha x_2)$, for all $0 \le \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.5 [24]. A foset X, is called a Fuzzy Lattice if every finite subsets of X has a supremum, and infimum. So fuzzy lattice X is called Complete if every subset of X, has a supremum in addition to, infimum.

Definition 2.6[4] Fuzzy ordered linear space, at the same time is also "fuzzy lattice" is called a (fuzzy Riesz space). Thus fuzzy ordered linear space need not be a fuzzy Riesz space.

As it can be seen in the following two examples:

Example 2.7. In X = P[0,1] the set of all polynomials is a linear space defined over [0,1] with pointwise ordering. Define a function, $\dot{\mu}: X \times X \to [0,1]$ by, $\dot{\mu}(f,g) =$ 1 when f(x) = g(x), $\dot{\mu}(f,g) = \frac{3}{4}$, if $f(x) \le g(x)$, for all $x \in [0,1]$ and $f(x) \ne g(x)$, and $\dot{\mu}(f,g) = 0$, otherwise.

Now $(X, \dot{\mu})$, is not a "fuzzy Riesz space" because, the supremum is not a polynomial.

Example 2.8. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ be the two-dimensional real space with the coordinate wise ordering. Define the function $\dot{\mu}: X \times X \to [0,1]$, by:

$$\dot{\mu}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & x = y, \\ \frac{3}{4}, & x_1 \le y_1, x_2 \le y_2 \text{ and } x \ne y, \\ \frac{2}{3}, & x_1 < y_1 \text{ and } x_2 > y_2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Observe that X equipped with $\dot{\mu}$ is a "fuzzy ordered space", however the closed unit disc,

 $U = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 1\}$ equipped with the same coordinate wise ordering is not fuzzy Riesz space.

To see this, consider $(1,0), (1,0) \in U$. We see that every upper bound of $\{(1,0), (1,0)\}$ must be greater than or equal to 1 in both it's coordinates.

Therefore U, does not contain an upper bound of $\{(1,0), (1,0)\}$ and thus it does not contain it's supremum.

Definition 2.9 [21]. Let x be an element of X, then $x_{+} = x \lor 0$ (positive part of x), and $x_{-} = (-x) \lor 0$ (the negative part) also, $|x| = x \vee (-x)$ (absolute value of *x*).

Proposition 2.10 [21]. For any $x \in X$, the elements x_{+} ,

 x_{-} and |x| are positive. Also the following equalities hold,

i. $x = x_{+} - x_{-}$. ii. $|x| = x_{+} + x_{-}$

Theorem 2.11 [21]. In a fuzzy Riesz space, the absolute value has the following properties:

- i. $\mu(|x + y|, |x| + |y|) > \frac{1}{2}$, ii. $|\alpha x| = |\alpha| |x|$, iii. $\dot{\mu}(||x| - |y||, |x - y|) > \frac{1}{2}$,
- iv. $|x v| = (x \lor v) (x \land v)$.

Definition 2.12 [21]. Let X be a fuzzy Riesz space. Two elements $x_1, x_2 \in X$ are said to be orthogonal if $|x_1| \wedge |x_2| = 0$, and are written as $x_1 \perp x_2$.

The definition can be extended to the subsets of X. Two subsets A_1 and A_2 are said to be, Orthogonal if $x_1 \perp x_2$, for any $x_1 \in A_1$, and $x_2 \in A_2$.

Definition 2.13. A sequence $(p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, in fuzzy Riesz space is called ((O)-Sequence) if and only if $(p_{j_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a decreasing subsequence as a result $p_{j_i} \downarrow_{Fst} 0$ and $0 = \inf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_{j_i}$.

Definition 2.14. A sequence $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a fuzzy Riesz space X is said to be fuzzy order convergence to an element $x_0 \in X$, denoted, " $x_j \xrightarrow{F_o} x_0$ " if there exists another sequence, $(p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that $p_j \downarrow_{Fuz} 0$, such that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with the Property that $\mu(|x_i - x_0|, p_i) > \frac{1}{2}$. In this case, x_0 is called the Fuzzy Order Limit of $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Notation 2.15. Let F be a set of positive integers. The natural density of F is distinct as:

$$\mathcal{S}(F) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left\{ f \in F : \mu(f, n) > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

ANJS, Vol.23 (3), September, 2020, pp. 61-67

where	${f}$	$\in F: \mu(f,n) > \frac{1}{2}$	denotes	the	number	of	
	1						

elements F not more than n.

If $\delta(F)$ exists, Then $\delta(F^{\circ}) = 1 - \delta(F)$, thus F° is the complement of the set, F in \mathbb{N} .

3. Main results

In this section, We submitted a new concept, namely fuzzy statistically (O)-convergent (Fso-Convergence) defined as follows. So we established some properties and prove an important theorems related to this concept.

Definition 3.1. Let *X* be a fuzzy Riesz space. A sequence $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of *X* is fuzzy statistically increasing if there exists a set $F = \{j_1 < j_2 < ...\} \subset \mathbb{N}$, such that $\delta(F) = 1$ and $(x_{j_1})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is increasing with the aim of if $j_i \leq j_{i+1}$ implies that $\stackrel{\bullet}{\mu}(x_{j_i}, x_{j_{i+1}}) > \frac{1}{2}$. In this case, we note down $x_j \uparrow^{F_{\text{fit}}}$. If moreover the element $x_0 = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} x_{j_i}$ exists, then we note down $x_j \uparrow^{F_{\text{fit}}} x_0$.

A fuzzy statistically decreasing sequence is defined similarly, then can write, $x_j \downarrow_{F_{TT}}$. Also if $x_0 = \inf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} x_{j_i}$ exists, then $x_j \downarrow_{F_{TT}} x_0$.

Definition 3.2. Let *X* be a fuzzy Riesz space. A sequence $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in *X* is fuzzy statistically (O)-Convergent to an element $x_0 \in X$. If there exists (O)-Sequence $(p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a set $F = \{j_1 < j_2 < ...\}$ of \mathbb{N} with $\delta(F) = 1$ such that, $\{j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(p_j, |x_j - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2}\}$ has natural density

zero, in this case, we write $x_j \xrightarrow{Fso} x_0$ and x_0 is called the Fso-limit of the sequence $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Definition 3.3. Let *X* be a fuzzy Riesz space. A sequence $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in *X* is fuzzy statistically Cauchy sequence if there exists (O)-Sequence $(\mathcal{P}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ a subset $F = \{j_i < j_i < ...\}$ of \mathbb{N} , with $\delta(F) = 1$ and there exists, number $k = k(\in)$ such that, $\begin{cases} i \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(p_j, |x_j - x_{k(e)}|) > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$ has natural

density zero.

Theorem 3.4. Let X be a fuzzy Riesz space, and $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a, sequence of elements in X. Then we have,

i. If $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is fuzzy statistically increasing, then the set,

$$\left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \overset{\bullet}{\mu}(x_{j+1}, x_j) > \frac{1}{2} \right\} \text{ has natural density zero.}$$

ii. If $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is fuzzy statistically decreasing, then the set $\left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(x_j, x_{j+1}) > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$. has natural density zero.

Proof.

i. Let $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a fuzzy statistically increasing sequence in *X*, then there exists a set $F = \{j_1 < j_2 < ...\} \subset \mathbb{N}$, such that $\delta(F) = 1$ and $(x_{j_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is increasing. Now since $\{j \in F : \mu(x_j, x_{j+1}) > \frac{1}{2}\} \subset \{j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_j, x_{j+1}) > \frac{1}{2}\}$ hence we have the set, $\{j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_{j+1}, x_j) > \frac{1}{2}\}$ has natural

density zero.

ii. This part can be proved similarly.

Theorem 3.5. Let $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be Sequence in fuzzy Riesz space *X*. Then the following satisfies:

i. If
$$x_j \uparrow^{F_{\text{Eff}}} x_0$$
, $x_j \downarrow_{F_{\text{Eff}}} x_0$ and $\alpha \ge 0$, then
 $\alpha x_j \uparrow^{F_{\text{Eff}}} \alpha x_0$ and $\alpha x_j \downarrow_{F_{\text{Eff}}} \alpha x_0$.
ii. If $x_j \downarrow_{F_{\text{Eff}}} 0$ and $y_j \downarrow_{F_{\text{Eff}}} 0$, then $x_j + y_j \downarrow_{F_{\text{Eff}}} 0$.

Proof.

- i. This is obvious. From definition.
- ii. Suppose that $x_j \downarrow_{Fat} = 0$ and $y_j \downarrow_{Fat} = 0$, then we define the following sets:

$$\begin{split} F = & \left\{ j_1 < j_2 < \dots \right\} \subset \mathbb{N}, \\ H = & \left\{ k_1 < k_2 < \dots \right\} \subset \mathbb{N} \end{split}$$

such that $\delta(F) = \delta(H) = 1$, also let $(x_{j_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(y_{k_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are a subsequence of $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(y_j)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ (respectively). Evidently (0) is an lower bound of the subsequences. Now assume that the set $F \cap H = \{r_1 < r_2 < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{N} \text{ with } \delta(F \cap H) = 1 \text{ . One}$ infers that the sequence $\mu(0, x_r + y_r) > \frac{1}{2}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $0 \in L(\{x_r + y_r : i \in \mathbb{N}\})$. Now let p is another lower bound of this set, it follows that $\mu(p, x_r + y_r) > \frac{1}{2}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $r \le r_i$, where a member l^{r} of the index set $F \cap H$. From this $\mu(p, x_{r_i} + y_{r_i}) > \frac{1}{2}$ we write, and $\mu(x_{r_i} + y_{r_i}, x_r + y_{r_i}) > \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore by transitivity $(p_{x_r} + y_r) > \frac{1}{2}$ for every $r \le r_i$. It implies that $p \in L(\{x_{i} + y_{i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\})$. It further implies that Hence, $p \in L(\{\inf_{x \in \mathbb{N}}(x_r + y_{r_i}) : i \in \mathbb{N}\})$ $p \in L(\{(x_r): r \in F \cap H\})$ that means

ANJS, Vol.23 (3), September, 2020, pp. 61 – 67

$$\begin{split} & \stackrel{\bullet}{\mu}(p, x_r) > \frac{1}{2}. \text{ One infers that for every } r \in F \cap H \text{ we} \\ & \text{have } \stackrel{\bullet}{\mu}(p, \inf_{r \in F \cap H} x_r) > \frac{1}{2} \text{ means that } \stackrel{\bullet}{\mu}(p, 0) > \frac{1}{2}. \text{ Thus,} \\ & \inf_{t \in \mathbb{N}} (x_{r_t} + y_{r_t}) = 0, \text{ which shows that } x_j + y_j \downarrow_{Fat} 0. \end{split}$$

Theorem 3.6. Let *X* be a fuzzy Riesz spaces, $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ are two sequences in *X*. Then:

- i. If $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Fso-Convergence to both x_0 and y_0 then $x_0 = y_0$.
- ii. If $x_j \xrightarrow{F_{50}} x_0$ and $y_j \xrightarrow{F_{50}} y_0$, then $\alpha x_j \xrightarrow{F_{50}} \alpha x_0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_i + y_j \xrightarrow{F_{50}} x_0 + y_0$.
- iii. If $x_j \xrightarrow{F_{50}} x_0$ and $y_j \xrightarrow{F_{50}} y_0$, then $x_j \lor y_j \xrightarrow{F_{50}} x_0 \lor y_0$ and, $x_j \land y_j \xrightarrow{F_{50}} x_0 \land y_0$.
- iv. If $x_j \xrightarrow{F_{SO}} x_0$ then $x_j^+ \xrightarrow{F_{SO}} x_0^+$, $x_j^- \xrightarrow{F_{SO}} x_0^-$, and $|x_j| \xrightarrow{F_{SO}} |x_0|$.
- v. If $x_j \xrightarrow{F_{SO}} x_0$ and $\mu(y_j, x_j) > \frac{1}{2}$, then $\mu(y_0, x_0) > \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof.

i. By Definition 3.2 $x_j \xrightarrow{F_{50}} x_0$, reveal there exists (O)-Sequences $(p_{j_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(q_{j_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X, and a set $F_1 = \{j_1 < j_2 < ...\} \subset \mathbb{N}$, with $\delta(F) = 1$ so as to $\dot{\mu}(|x_{j_i} - x_0|, p_{j_i}) > \frac{1}{2}$, $\dot{\mu}(|z_{j_i} - x_0|, q_{j_i}) > \frac{1}{2}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. So we know $\dot{\mu}(0, |x_0 - y_0|) > \frac{1}{2}$. Thereby, we have that:

$$\mu(|x_0 - y_0|, |x_{j_i} - x_0| + |x_{j_i} - y_0|) > \frac{1}{2}$$

and,

 $\frac{1}{\mu}(|x_{j_i} - x_0| + |x_{j_i} - y_0|, p_{j_i} + q_{j_i}) > \frac{1}{2}$

for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For that reason by transitivity $\mu(|x_0 - y_0|, p_{j_i} + q_{j_i}) > \frac{1}{2}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence we have $x_0 = y_0$.

ii. By definition $x_j \xrightarrow{F_{50}} x_0$ implies, there exists (O)sequence $(p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. Supplementary the set $\left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(p_j, |x_j - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$ has natural density

zero. Firstly, let $\alpha > 0$, since $(p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be (O)sequence, then $p_{j_i} \downarrow_{F_{\text{st}}} 0$ and $0 = \inf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_{j_i}$ implies that $\alpha p_{j_i} \downarrow_{F_{zt}} 0$ and $0 = \inf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha p_{j_i}$. Thus, the set $\left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\alpha p_j, \alpha | x_j - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$ has natural density zero. Hence we have, $\alpha x_j \xrightarrow{F_{zo}} \alpha x_0$.

Now if $\alpha < 0$, would imply the (O)-Sequence $(p_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be increasing means that $\alpha p_{j_i} \uparrow^{F_{st}} 0$, then in this case, the definition, Fso-Convergent is not satisfy. Now Let another Sequence in X, $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $y_j \xrightarrow{F_{50}} y_0$. Then there exists (O)-Sequence $(p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(q_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the sets $\left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(p_j, |x_j - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$ and $\left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(q_j, |y_j - y_0|) > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$ has natural density zero. Consequently, $\dot{\mu}(|(x_i + y_i) - (x_0 + y_0)|, |(x_i + y_i)|)$ $y_i) - (x_0 + y_i) + (x_0 + y_i) - (x_0 + y_0)|) > \frac{1}{2}$ implies that $\dot{\mu}(|(x_i + y_j) - (x_0 + y_j) + (x_0 + y_j) - (x_0 + y_$ $(x_0 + y_0)|, |x_j - x_0| + |y_j - y_0|) > \frac{1}{2}$, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By transitivity we have for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\dot{\mu}(|(x_i + i)|)$ $y_j) - (x_0 + y_0)|, |x_j - x_0| + |y_j - y_0|| > \frac{1}{2}$. Clearly, that $\dot{\mu}(|x_j - x_0| + |y_j - y_0|, p_j + q_j) > \frac{1}{2}$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and transitivity yields $\mu((x_j + y_j) - (x_0 + y_0)|, p_j + q_j) > \frac{1}{2}$ be O-Sequence where $(p_i + q_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ because and $p_{j_i} + q_{j_i} \downarrow_{Fst} 0$ $\inf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (p_{j_i} + q_{j_i}) = \inf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_{j_i} + \inf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} q_{j_i} = 0$. Hence, can have the, $x_j + y_j \xrightarrow{F_{50}} x_0 + y_0$.

iii. Since $x_j \xrightarrow{F_{20}} x_0$, and $y_j \xrightarrow{F_{20}} y_0$, there exist two (O)-Sequences $(p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(q_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that, $\overset{\cdot}{\mu}(|x_j - x_0|, p_j|) > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\overset{\cdot}{\mu}(|y_j - y_0|, q_j|) > \frac{1}{2}$, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently $\dot{\mu}(|(x_j \lor y_j) - (x_0 \lor y_0)|, |x_j - x_0| + |y_j - y_0|) > \frac{1}{2}$, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Also clearly: $\overset{\cdot}{\mu}(|x_j - x_0| + |y_j - y_0|, p_j + q_j|) > \frac{1}{2}$ Therefore by transitivity we have:

$$\mu(|(x_j \vee y_j) - (x_0 \vee y_0)|, p_j + q_j) > \frac{1}{2}$$

for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. From prove the part (ii), we have $(p_j + q_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be O-Sequence. Thus, $x_j \lor y_j \xrightarrow{F_{50}} x_0 \lor y_0$. Similarly, we have that $x_i \land y_i \xrightarrow{F_{50}} x_0 \land y_0$. ANJS, Vol.23 (3), September, 2020, pp. 61-67

iv. Let $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X thus $x_j \xrightarrow{F_{50}} x_0$, then there exist (O)-Sequence, $(p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of element in X and a set $F_i = \{j_i < j_i < ...\} \subset \mathbb{N}$, with $\delta(F) = 1$ such that the set, $\{j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(|x_j - x_0|, p_j) > \frac{1}{2}\}$, has natural density 1. To prove the first assertion, $x_j^+ \xrightarrow{F_{50}} x_0^+$. Thus we can write $\{j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(|x_j - x_0|, p_j) > \frac{1}{2}\}$ be a subset of the set, $\{j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(|x_j^+ - x_0^+|, p_j) > \frac{1}{2}\}$. Therefore, we have $x_j^+ \xrightarrow{F_{50}} x_0^+$. Similarly, $x_j^- \xrightarrow{F_{50}} x_0^-$. Finally, to see that $|x_j| \xrightarrow{F_{50}} |x_0|$ we can write

the set $\left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(|x_j| - |x_0|, p_j|) > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$. is a subset of the set $\left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(|x_j| - |x_0|, p_j|) > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$ where

 $(p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be O-Sequence. Hence we have that $|x_j| \xrightarrow{F_{20}} |x_0|$.

v. Suppose, $x_j \xrightarrow{F_{50}} x_0$ and $\mu(x_j, 0) > \frac{1}{2}$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. From this has $x_j^- = (-x_j) \lor 0 = 0$. It further implies that $-x_j \in U(0)$ imply that $\mu(-x_j, 0) > \frac{1}{2}$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By using the part (iv), have that $x_j^- \xrightarrow{F_{50}} x_0^-$, where $x_0^- = 0$. Thereby, be able to write $\mu(0, x_0) > \frac{1}{2}$ Since $x_j \xrightarrow{F_{50}} x_0$ and $\mu(y_0, x_j) > \frac{1}{2}$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore condition (i) of the Definition 2.4, $\mu(0, x_j - y_0) > \frac{1}{2}$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently we can write $x_j - y_0 \xrightarrow{F_{50}} x_0 - y_0$, by using part (ii). Therefore we see that $\mu(0, x_0 - y_0) > \frac{1}{2}$. Hence $\mu(y_0, x_0) > \frac{1}{2}$.

Theorem 3.7. Let X be a fuzzy Riesz space, $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(Z_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be three sequences of points in X, such that:

- i. $\mu(x_j, y_j) > \frac{1}{2}$, and $\mu(y_j, z_j) > \frac{1}{2}$, for all $j \in F \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $\delta(F) = 1$.
- ii. If $x_j \xrightarrow{Fso} x_0$ and $z_j \xrightarrow{Fso} x_0$, then $y_j \xrightarrow{Fso} x_0$.

Proof. Assume that the given Conditions (i) and (ii) hold for the sequences $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(z_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ suppose two (O)-Sequences $(p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(q_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. Also from condition (ii), have $\delta(F_1) = \delta(F_2) = 1$, where $F_1 = \{j_1 < j_2 < ...\} \subset \mathbb{N}$, $F_2 = \{l_1 < l_2 < ...\} \subset \mathbb{N}$, such that $\stackrel{\bullet}{\mu}(p_j, |x_j - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\stackrel{\bullet}{\mu}(q_j, |z_j - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2}$ for every $j \in$ \mathbb{N} . Also get $\delta(F_1 \cap F_2) = 1$, and from (i) we have $\stackrel{\bullet}{\mu}(x_j - x_0, y_j - x_0) > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\stackrel{\bullet}{\mu}(y_j - x_0, z_j - x_0) > \frac{1}{2}$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies:

$$\mu(y_j - x_0, |x_j - x_0| + |z_j - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(|x_j - x_0| + |z_j - x_0|, p_j + q_j) > \frac{1}{2} \\ \text{By transitivity have:} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} & \stackrel{\bullet}{\mu}(y_j - x_0, p_j + q_j) > \frac{1}{2}, \text{ for every } j \in F_1 \cap F_2 \subset \mathbb{N}.\\ & \text{Since } (p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ and } (q_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ then } p_j + q_j \downarrow_{F_{5t}} 0 \text{ . So,}\\ & \text{moreover } \inf_{t \in \mathbb{N}}(p_{j_t} + q_{j_t}) = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{N}} p_{j_t} + \inf_{t \in \mathbb{N}} q_{j_t} = 0 \text{ . Consequently,}\\ & \text{for } (p_j + q_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ be (O)-Sequence comprise the set}\\ & \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \stackrel{\bullet}{\mu}(|y_j - x_0|, p_j + q_j) > \frac{1}{2} \right\} \text{ has natural density 1.}\\ & \text{Hence } y_j \xrightarrow{F_{50}} x_0 \text{ .} \end{split}$$

Theorem 3.8. Let a sequences $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be fuzzy Riesz Space X. If $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is fuzzy order convergent sequence, such that $x_j = y_j$, then $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Fso-Convergent.

Proof. Suppose $\{j \in \mathbb{N}: x_j \neq y_j\}$ has natural density zero and a sequence $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a fuzzy order convergent. Subsequently there exists (O)-Sequence $(p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a set

$$F = \{j_1 < j_2 < ...\} \subset \mathbb{N}, \text{ with } \delta(F) = 1, \text{ such that:}$$

$$\begin{cases} j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(p_j, |x_j - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \subseteq$$

$$\begin{cases} j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(p_j, |y_j - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \cup \{j \in \mathbb{N} : x_j \neq y_j\}$$

$$II = \text{ such that}$$

This means that,

$$\delta\left(\left\{j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(p_j, |x_j - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right) \leq \delta\left(\left\{j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(p_j, |y_j - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right) + \delta\left(\left\{j \in \mathbb{N} : x_j \neq y_j\right\}\right)$$

ANJS, Vol.23 (3), September, 2020, pp. 61-67

While, $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a fuzzy order convergent to element x_0 in X, the set $\left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(p_j, |y_j - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$ contain finite number of integers. Hence, the set $\left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(p_j, |y_j - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$ has natural density zero. From this include, the set $\left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(p_j, |x_j - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$ has natural density zero. Accordingly $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Fso-Convergent. Theorem **3.9** If a sequence (x_j) in fuzzy Riesz space

Theorem 3.9. If a sequence $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in fuzzy Riesz space *X* is Fso-Convergent, then it is fuzzy statistical Cauchy. **Proof.** Let $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Fso-Convergent to x_0 in *X*. Then there exists (O)-Sequence $(p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ as well as a set $F = \{j_1 < j_2 < ...\} \subset \mathbb{N}$, with $\delta(F) = 1$, and will write $A = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(p_j, |x_j - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2}\}$ has natural density

zero. So, be able to choose positive integers $k(\in)$, such that $k(\in) \in A$. Then, we have the sets:

$$B = \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(p_j, |x_{k(\epsilon)} - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$
$$C = \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(2p_j, |x_j - x_{k(\epsilon)}|) > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

while we explain

$$\begin{cases} j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(2p_j, |x_j - x_{k(e)}|) > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \subseteq \\ \begin{cases} j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(p_j, |x_j - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \cup \\ \begin{cases} j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(p_j, |x_{k(e)} - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}. \end{cases}$$

Consequently,

$$\delta\left(\left\{j \in \mathbb{N}: \stackrel{\bullet}{\mu}(2p_{j}, |x_{j} - x_{k(e)}|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right) \leq \delta\left(\left\{j \in \mathbb{N}: \stackrel{\bullet}{\mu}(p_{j}, |x_{j} - x_{0}|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right) + \delta\left(\left\{j \in \mathbb{N}: \stackrel{\bullet}{\mu}(p_{j}, |x_{k(e)} - x_{0}|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right)$$

Theorem 3.10. Let *X* be a fuzzy Riesz space. A sequence $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Fso- Convergent to x_0 in *X*, if and only if there

exist a (O)-Sequence $(p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ a subset $F = \{j_1 < j_2 < ...\}$ of \mathbb{N} , such that $\delta(F) = 1$ and a subsequence $(x_{j_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that $(x_{j_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is fuzzy convergent to x_0 in X and, $\delta \left(\left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(p_j, |x_j - x_{k(e)}|) > \frac{1}{2} \right\} \right) = 0$, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Sequence in *X*, such that $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Fso-Convergent to $x_0 \in X$. Let $(p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be (O)-sequence and arbitrary subset $F = \{j_1 < j_2 < ...\}$ of \mathbb{N} .

Put $F^* = \{j_1^* < j_2^* < ...\}, k=1,2,..., \text{ such that } \delta(F^k) = 1,$ we write $G^{(r)} = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(|x_j - x_0|, p_j) > \frac{1}{2}\}$ for any

positive integer *r*. Then for each *r*, we have $G^{(r+1)} \subset G^{(r)}$ and $\delta(G^{(r)}) = 1$. Choose e(1) such that l > e(1), then $G^{(1)} \neq \emptyset$, then for every positive integer *l* such that $e(1) \le l < e(2)$, choose $x_{j_i} \in G^{(r)}$, this means there exist a subset $F^1 = \{j_1^1 < j_2^1 < ...\}$ of \mathbb{N} such that $\delta(F^1) = 1$, the set $\{j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(|x_{j_i} - x_0|, p_j) > \frac{1}{2}\}$, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ has natural density 1.

In general, choose e(m + 1) > e(m), choose $x_{j_i} \in G^{(m)}$, we have a subset $F^* = \{j_1^* < j_2^* < ...\}$ of \mathbb{N} , where m = 1, 2, ..., such that $\mathcal{S}(F^m) = 1$, and the set,

 $\left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(|x_{j_i} - x_0|, p_j) > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ has natural

density 1.

Hence it follows that the subsequence $(x_{j_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is fuzzy convergent.

Since $(p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence such that $p_j \downarrow 0$, and a subset $F = \{j_1 < j_2 < ...\}$ of \mathbb{N} , thus $\delta(F) = 1$.

So we put in writing,

$$\mathcal{U}(|x_{j} - x_{j_{\ell}}|, |x_{j} - x_{0}| + |x_{j_{\ell}} - x_{0}|) > \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\mu(|x_j - x_0| + |x_{j_i} - x_0|, 2p_j) > \frac{1}{2}, \quad \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As a result by transitivity,

$$\mu(|x_j - x_{j_i}|, 2p_j) > \frac{1}{2}, \quad \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since a sequence $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Fso- convergent and $(x_{j_l})_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ is fuzzy convergent, implies that $\delta\left(\left\{j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(2p_j, |x_j - x_{j_l}|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right) = 0.$

Next suppose for $(p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a O-sequence moreover a subset $F = \{j_1 < j_2 < ...\}$ of \mathbb{N} such that $\mathcal{S}(F) = 1$ and a

Al-Nahrain Journal of Science

ANJS, Vol.23 (3), September, 2020, pp. 61 – 67

and

subsequence $(x_{j_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $(x_{j_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be convergent $x_0 \in X$ fuzzy order to $\delta\left(\left\{j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(p_j, |x_j - x_{j_i}|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right) = 0.$ Additionally for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\dot{\mu}(|x_{j} - x_{0}|, |x_{j} - x_{j}| + |x_{j} - x_{0}|) > \frac{1}{2},$ and $\begin{array}{l} \stackrel{\bullet}{\mu} \left(\left| x_{j} - x_{j_{t}} \right| + \left| x_{j_{t}} - x_{0} \right|, 2p_{j} \right) > \frac{1}{2}, \\ \stackrel{\bullet}{\lambda} \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \stackrel{\bullet}{\mu} \left(p_{j}, \left| x_{j} - x_{0} \right| \right) > \frac{1}{2} \right\} \subseteq \end{array}$ i.e., $\left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(p_j, \left| x_j - x_{j_i} \right|) > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$ $\cup \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(p_j, |x_{j_i} - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$

thereby, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\delta\left(\left\{j \in \mathbb{N}: \dot{\mu}(p_j, |x_j - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right) \leq \delta\left(\left\{j \in \mathbb{N}: \dot{\mu}(p_j, |x_j - x_{j_i}|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right) + \delta\left(\left\{j \in \mathbb{N}: \dot{\mu}(p_j, |x_{j_i} - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right).$$

for that reason the set, $\left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(p_j, |x_{j_i} - x_0|) > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$ has

natural density zero. This complete the Proof of this theorem.

References.

- [1] Luxemburg, W. A. J. and Zaanen. A. C., Riesz space. Vol. I, North- Holland, Amsterdam, 1971.
- [2] Vuikh, B. Z., Introduction to the theory of partially ordered spaces. Wolters - Noordhoff, Ltd., Groningen, 1967.
- [3] Fast, H., Sur la convergence statistique. colloquium Mathematicum, Vol. 2, pp. 241-244, 1951.
- [4] Steinhaus, H., Sur la convergence ordinate et la esymptotique. Colloquium convergence Mathematicum, Vol. 2, pp.73-84, 1951.
- [5] Maio G. D., Kočinac L. D. R., Statistical convergence in topology. Topologyand its Applications. 156: 28-45, 2008.
- [6] Li K., Lin S., Ge Y., On statistical convergence in con metric spaces. Topology and its Applications. 196: 641-651, 2015.
- [7] Aytar S., Mammadov M. A., Pehlivan S., Statistical limit inferior andlimit superior for sequences of fuzzy numbers. Fuzzy sets and systems.157:976-985, 2006.
- [8] Gong Z., Zhang L., Zhu X., The statistical convergence for sequences of fuzzy-number-valued functions. Information Sciences. 295:182-195, 2015.

- [9] [9] Gregori V., Minãna J. -J., Std-convergence in fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 267: 140-143, 2015.
- [10] Şençimen C., Pehlivan S., Statistical convergence in fuzzy normed linearspaces. Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 159: 361-370, 2008.
- [11] Back, R. C., Generalized asymptotic density. American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 75, pp. 335-346, 1953.
- [12] Šalàt, T., On statistical convergence of real numbers. Mathematica slovaca, Vol. 30, pp. 139-150, 1980.
- [13] Schoenberg, I. J., The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods. The American. Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 66, pp. 361-375, 1959.
- [14] Katsaras A. K., Fuzzy topological vector spaces II. Fuzzy sets and systems.12:143-154, 1984.
- [15] Das N. R., Das P., Fuzzy topology generated by fuzzy norm. Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 107: 349-354, 1999.
- [16] Xiao J., Zhu X., On linearly topological structure and property of fuzzy normed linear space. Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 125: 153-161, 2002.
- [17] Felbin C., Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear space. Fuzzy sets and systems. 48: 239-248, 1992.
- [18] Kaleva O., Seikkala S., On fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 12:215-229, 1984.
- [19] Fang J. -X., A note on the completions of fuzzy metric spaces and fuzzy normed spaces. Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 133: 399-407, 2002.
- [20] Beg, I. and Islam, M. U., Fuzzy ordered linear spaces. Vol. 3, No. 3, 659-670, 1995.
- [21] Beg, I. and Islam, M. U., Fuzzy Riesz spaces. The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, Vol. 2, No. 1, 211-241, 1994.
- [22] Labuschagne C. C. A., Pinchuck A. L., The set of fuzzy points of a fuzzy vector lattice is not a vector lattice. Fuzzy sets and systems. 157:2783-2785, 2006.
- [23] Xue X., Tao J., Statistical order convergence and statistically relatively uniform convergence in Riesz spaces. Journal of Function Spaces. 4:1-9, 2018.
- Venugo Alan, P., Fuzzy ordered sets, Fuzzy sets and [24] systems. 46, 221-226, 1992.