RESEARCH ARTICLE

High Coke-Resistance Pt/Mg_{1-x}Ni_xO Catalyst for Dry Reforming of Methane

Faris A. J. Al-Doghachi^{1,2}, Aminul Islam^{1,2}, Zulkarnain Zainal^{1,2}, Mohd Izham Saiman^{1,2}, Zaidi Embong³, Yun Hin Taufiq-Yap^{1,2}*

1 Catalysis Science and Technology Research Centre, Faculty of Science, University Putra Malaysia, 43400, UPM, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia, 2 Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University Putra Malaysia, 43400, UPM, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia, 3 Department of Science, Faculty of Science, Technology and Human Development, University TunHussienOnn Malaysia (UTHM), 86400, Parit Raja, Batu Pahat, Malaysia

* yap@science.upm.edu.my

Abstract

A highly active and stable nano structured Pt/Mg_{1-x}Ni_xO catalysts was developed by a simple co-precipitation method. The obtained Pt/Mg_{1-x}Ni_xO catalyst exhibited cubic structure nanocatalyst with a size of 50–80 nm and realized CH₄ and CO₂ conversions as high as 98% at 900°C with excellent stability in the dry reforming of methane. The characterization of catalyst was performed using various kinds of analytical techniques including XRD, BET, XRF, TPR-H₂, TGA, TEM, FESEM, FT-IR, and XPS analyses. Characterization of spent catalyst further confirms that Pt/Mg_{1-x}Ni_xO catalyst has high coke-resistance for dry reforming. Thus, the catalyst demonstrated in this study, offers a promising catalyst for resolving the dilemma between dispersion and reducibility of supported metal, as well as activity and stability during high temperature reactions.

Introduction

In recent decades, the demand for alternative energy resources has steadily increased owing to the ongoing depletion of fossil fuels. Therefore, the utilization of greenhouse gases such as methane and carbon dioxide has received much attention. The reservation of methane is larger than crude oil because methane can be produced from various sources, including shale gas, fermented wastes, methane hydrates and others [1]. The syngas, consisting of hydrogen and carbon monoxide is an important feedstock for fuels and/or chemicals production in industry. The attractive feature of the dry reforming reaction is the utilization of CO_2 , which is a greenhouse effect gas. In general, the dry reforming reaction (Eq 1) is typically accompanied by the simultaneous occurrence of the reverse water–gas shift reaction (RWGS) (Eq 2).

$$CH_4 + CO_2 \rightarrow 2H_2$$
 $\Delta H = 247 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (1)

$$CO_2 + H_2 \rightarrow CO + 2H_2O$$
 $\Delta H = 41.1 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (2)

A variety of catalysts have been developed for this reaction. Among them, the catalysts based on noble metals are reported to be less sensitive to coking than the nickel-based catalysts.



Citation: Al-Doghachi FAJ, Islam A, Zainal Z, Saiman MI, Embong Z, Taufiq-Yap YH (2016) High Coke-Resistance Pt/Mg_{1-x}Ni_xO Catalyst for Dry Reforming of Methane. PLoS ONE 11(1): e0145862. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145862

Editor: Gang Yang, Southwest University, CHINA

Received: June 25, 2015

Accepted: December 9, 2015

Published: January 8, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Al-Doghachi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper.

Funding: This work was supported by the University Putra Malaysia.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

However, poor stability caused by carbon deposition (Eq.3 and Eq.4) and aggregation of active Ni metal limits the industrial application of Ni catalysts in DRM reactions [2–3].

$$CH_4 \rightarrow C_{(s)} + 2H_2 \qquad \Delta H = +75 \text{ kJ/mol}$$
(3)

$$CO \rightarrow C_{(s)} + CO_2 \qquad \Delta H = -171 \text{ kJ/mol}$$
 (4)

It is well known that the performance of supported catalysts could be improved by selection of proper promoting materials. Supported transition metals, especially Ni, Ru, Rh, Pd, and Ir have considerable activity for reforming reactions. But supported nickel is preferred and more frequently used for industrial reforming processes because of its high availability and lower cost [4]. However, the Ni-based catalysts are readily deactivated by the deposition of carbon on the active centers.

Various promoters have been tested with metal-based catalysts in an attempt to decrease carbon deposition and prolong the life of the catalyst [5]. Maciel et al. [6] investigated the effect of metal-support interaction with the catalytic property of CuO/CeO₂-TiO₂ catalyst towards the conversion of hydrogen. However, the addition of ceria into the titania support hampers the transformation of anatase to rutile phase, facilitates the reduction of metal species and favors the metal-support interaction [7]. Choudhary et al. [8] reported CO₂ reforming with simultaneous steam reforming or partial oxidation of methane to syngas over NdCoO₃ perovskite-type mixed metal oxide catalyst and found that the methane-to-syngas conversion process occurs in an energy highly efficient manner, requiring little or no external energy. Li et al. [9] investigated the partial oxidation and steaming reforming of methane to produce syngas or hydrogen using Al_2O_3 -coated SiC foam monolith catalyst. The study of Li et al. [9] revealed that the deposited carbon that originated from methane cracking in the upper part of the stainless steel reactor. Alternatively, Kim et al. [10] studied the effect between the catalytic reaction and electric discharge on methanol-steam reforming on Cu/ZnO/Al₂O₃ catalysts at various temperatures and discharge voltages. On the other hand, rhodium based catalyst shows considerable activity in dry reforming of methane process [11]. Recently, Ferreira-Aparicio et al. [12] have noticed that the addition of low Rh contents on Ni/γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts improves the activity and stability of catalysts making them more convenient for their use at industrial scale. Recently, a similar conclusion has been reached by Ocsachoque et al. [13] who studied on Rh-Ni/CeO₂-Al₂O₃ catalysts for methane dry reforming. Even though, the rhodium based catalyst is a potential alternative with a small amount of carbon deposition and better catalytic performance, the dissociation of CO₂ occurred at Rh site and thus the metallic Rh was oxidized to Rh⁺³ [12]. A way to improve catalytic stability and anti-coking performance, the low Pt content modified $Mg_{1-x}Ni_xO$ catalyst studied for dry reforming of methane in this study. The effect of CH₄ and CO₂ concentration, catalyst concentration and temperature on conversion of syngas were studied. The comparison between the catalytic activity and stability as well as coke formation was also evaluated.

Sample Preparation and Characterization

Supports and Catalysts preparation

 $Mg_{1-x}Ni_xO$ (x = 0.00, 0.03, 0.07, 0.15) catalysts were prepared by the co-precipitation method as reported previously(Tomishige et al. 2004). Support MgO and promoter NiO was prepared using aqueous solution of Ni(NO₃)₂.6H₂O (Merck; >99.0%) and Mg(NO₃)₂.6H₂O (Merck; >99.0%). Potassium carbonate (K₂CO₃) (Merck; >99.7%) was used as a precipitant. After being filtered of the precipitant, the sample was washed with hot water. The sample was dried



Table 1. Preparation of catalyst.

Catalyst	Support (MgO) Mg(NO ₃) ₂ .6H ₂ O(g)	Promoter (NiO) Ni(NO ₃) ₂ .6H ₂ O (g)	Total weight of MgO and NiO (g)	Impregnation of the main catalyst (1% Pt) of Pt(acac) _{2.} (g)
Pt/MgO	25.00	0.00	1	0.02
Pt/Mg _{0.97} Ni _{0.03} O	24.87	0.87	1	0.02
Pt/Mg _{0.93} Ni _{0.07} O	23.85	2.04	1	0.02
Pt/Mg _{0.85} Ni _{0.15} O	21.79	4.36	1	0.02

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145862.t001

at 120°C for 12 hours and subsequently pre-calcined in air at 500°C for 5h. Subsequently, the sample was pressed into disks at 600 kg/m², and then calcined at 1150°C for 20h.The preparation of catalyst was shown in <u>Table 1</u>. Finally, 1% Pt was impregnated using $Pt(C_5H_7O_2)_2$.H₂O (Acros Chemicals; >99%) dissolved with dichloromethane. The catalysts were dried at 120°C after impregnation in air for 12 h.

Catalyst characterization

The thermo gravimetricanalysis (TGA) was carried out on a Mettler Toledo TG-DTAApparatus (Pt crucibles, Pt / Pt – Rh thermocouple) with the purge gas (nitrogen) flow rate of 30 ml min⁻¹ and the heating rate of 10°C. min⁻¹ from 50 to 1000°C.

X-ray diffraction analysis was performed using aShimadzudiffractometer model XRD 6000. The diffractometer employed Cu-K α radiation to generate diffraction patterns from powder crystalline samples at ambient temperature. The Cu-K α radiation was generated by Philips glass diffraction X-ray tube broad focus 2.7 kW type. The crystallite size D of the samples was calculated using the Debye–Scherrer's relationship²², Where D is the crystalline size, λ is the incident X-ray wavelength, β is the full width at half-maximum (FWHM), and θ is the diffraction angle.

The Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) analysis was carried out with PerkinElmer spectrometer model 100 series (sample preparation UATR).

The total surface area of the catalysts was obtained using Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method with nitrogen adsorption at –196°C. Analysis was conducted using a Thermo Fisher Scientific S.P.A (model: Surfer Analyzer) nitrogen adsorption–desorption analyzer.

The Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM, Model Hitachi H7100, Japan) was used to determine the crystal shape and Homogeneity of the Catalysts. Briefly, in deionized water, the powder was dispersed, dropped on to the Carbon-cover copper grids placed on a filter paper and at room temperature dried.

Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM). The sample morphology was studied with JEOL Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FE-SEM) model JSM 7600F at very high magnification by using field emission current. The particles of the samples were glued up on aluminum stud by using a double–sided tape. Then, it was coated with gold to make sure the better visibility of the surface and to prevent electrical charging of the sample during analysis.

The active site of the catalysts was evaluated by Temperature Programmed Reduction (TPR-H₂) using hydrogen was conducted by Thermo Finnegan TPDRO 1100 apparatus Equipped with a thermal conductivity detector. In the reactor, about 0.05 g of catalyst was placed and treated under 150°C for 40 min in N₂ (20 ml/min). The analysis of Hydrogen 5.51%



Fig 1. Experimental system for dry reforming of methane.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145862.g001

in Argon was carried out between 50°C and 950°C under argon flow (10°C min⁻¹, 25 ml min⁻¹) and detected by a thermal conductivity detector.

XPS spectra were obtained using Kratos Axis Ultra DLD system, equipped with a monochromatic Al K α (1486.6 eV), dual x-ray sources (Al & Mg), an argon etching system for sample cleaning and depth profiling, parallel imaging XPS, AES, ISS and Vision software for controlling the system. The base pressure of the analyzer chamber was 1×10^{-10} Torr. The excitation sources, X-ray gun was operated at a combination of 20 mA of emissions current and 15 kV voltages. The hemispherical analyzer was operated in the fixed analyzer transmission (FAT) mode for both wide and narrow scanning. This value is set at 100 eV and 40 eV of pass energy respectively. The region of interest for the narrow scan is corresponding to Mg2p, Ni2p, Pt4f, and O1s photoelectron signal. The carbon charging correction refers to the binding energy of adventitious carbon at the binding energy of 285 eV. This highly sophisticated equipment is considered as a non-destructive analysis technique due to soft x-ray production to induce photoelectron emission from the sample surface. Therefore, the equipment would provide information about surface layers or thin film structures (about the top 10–100 Å of the sample).

Catalyst evaluation

The catalytic evaluation for dry reforming of methane with CO₂ (DRM) towards syngas (H₂/CO) production was carried out using a fixed bed stainless steel micro-reactor (i.d. $\emptyset = 6$ mm, h = 34 cm) as shown in Fig 1. The reactor was connected to a mass flow gas controller (SIERRA instrument) and an online gas chromatography (GC) (Agilent 6890N; G 1540N) equipped with varian capillary columns HP-PLOT/Q and HP-MOLSIV. Prior to reaction, approximately 0.02 g catalyst was reduced by flowing 5% H₂/Ar (30 ml min⁻¹) at 700°C and holding for 3h. The reforming reaction was performed by flowing the feed, a gas mixture consisting of CH₄/CO₂ in (2/1) and (1/1) mol and CH₄/CO₂/O₂ (53.75/45/1.25), at a rate of 30 ml. min⁻¹, the reforming has been studied from 700 to 900°C at 1 atm, then holding for 10h (1 atm, GHSV = 15000 ml h⁻¹ g⁻¹cat).

The reduction step was aimed to reduce (Pt^{2+}) phase to Pt metal (Pt^{o}) phase. The catalyst tested was placed in the middle of reactor vertically and held in place by plugs of quartz wool. In order to control and ensure the reaction temperature, a thermocouple was placed into the catalyst chamber. The selectivity of the CO was determined by ratio of moles of CO produced to sum of the moles of methane and CO₂ converted. The conversion of CH₄, CO₂, H₂ and CO

were calculated according to the following equations (Eqs 5-9).

$$CH_{4} \ conversion \ \% = \frac{CH_{4 \ in} - CH_{4 \ out}}{CH_{4 \ in}} * \ 100 \ (\%) \tag{5}$$

$$CO_2 \ \text{conversion} \ \% = \frac{CO_{2 \ in} - CO_{2 \ out}}{CO_{2 \ in}} * \ 100 \ (\%) \tag{6}$$

$$H_2 \text{ selectivity } \% = \frac{H_2}{2[CH_{4 \text{ in-}} CH_{4 \text{ out}}]} * 100 (\%)$$
(7)

$$CO \ selectivity \ \% = \frac{CO}{[CH_{4 \ in-} CH_{4 \ out}] + [CO_{2 \ in} - CO_{2 \ out}]} * \ 100 \ (\%)$$
(8)

$$\frac{H_2}{CO} ratio = \frac{H_2 \ selectivity \ \%}{CO \ selectivity \ \%}$$
(9)

Results and Discussion

Characterization of catalysts

The TGA analysis of the prepared catalysts was illustrated in Fig 2. The weight loss of 4% observed at temperatures 41°C and 266°C could be attributed to the removal of moisture from the catalyst, as shown in Fig 2A. The compound was found to be thermally stable at 500°C which could be associated with the high melting point of MgO (2852°C) and NiO (1955°C). The similar natures of thermal decomposition of other catalysts were observed in Fig 2B–2D. This observation is in agreement with the results reported in the literatures [14–15].

Information on the surface composition of the catalyst was obtained by XPS analysis. Surface study of the catalyst at a few nanometer layer 3–12 nm of its uppermost using XPS indicate





doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145862.g002

that there is a photoelectron signal from O1s, Mg2p, Ni2p and Pt4f, as illustrated in Fig_3. A deconvolution of a O1s photoelectron signal shows that it is contributed by five types of oxygen species which is contributed by O^{2-} (from the bulk), Mg-O, Ni-O, Pt-O and hydroxyl (OH⁻) component. This is also a significant splitting of this O1s spectrum, whereby Ni-O exhibit as the highest intensity of photoelectron signal in the lower binding energy region compared to the others. However, the O^{2-} , Ni-O, Pt-O and OH⁻ were detected under a similar photoelectron envelope. The contribution of the OH⁻ component is considered very low at the binding energy of 533.0 eV. On the other hand, the narrow scan of Mg2p, Ni2p and Pt4f revealed that the oxide species of these metals are a mixture of Mg-O and Mg(OH)₂, Ni and Ni-O, and Pt-O and Pt respectively. The existence of two components of hydroxyl species by Ni and Mg indicated that these metals are easy to react with water vapor compound (H₂O) [16–17].

X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) patterns of the catalysts are shown in Fig 4. The diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 39.7$, 42.9, 62.5, 75.0 and 79.0° were associated with the cubic form of magnesia (JCPDS file no.: 00-024-0712). The value of 2θ at 37.3, 43.3, 62.7, 75.1, and 79.8 were corresponds to



Fig 3. XPS narrow scan of the reduced catalyst. (a) O1s (b) Pt4f (c) Ni2p (d) Mg2p.

PLOS ONE

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145862.g003



Fig 4. XRD results of catalysts (a) $Pt/MgO(b) Pt/Mg_{0.97}Ni_{0.0}$ (c) $Pt/Mg_{0.93}Ni_{0.07}O$ (d) $Pt/Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O$.

the cubic form of NiO (JCPDS file no.: 00-001-0800). No diffraction peak of Pt in the patterns was observed as it exists in very low concentration. This observation agrees well with the results reported by Grange [18]. The average crystalline size was determined by the diffraction peak of the Mg plane in XRD patterns using the Debye-Scherrer equation (Table 2). The size of the crystals were 44.7, 42.4, 40.4 and 38.7 nm for Pt / $Mg_{0.97}Ni_{0.03}O$, Pt/ $Mg_{0.93}Ni_{0.07}O$, Pt / $Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O$ and Pt/MgO, respectively. The results revealed that the crystal size was decreased with increasing Ni concentration. This could be due the effect of platinum that remain bounded on the surface of the samples and inhibit the growth of magnesia crystallites [19]. Further, it was reported by Jogalekar et al. [20] that the addition of nickel oxide coluld prevent agglomeration of the magnesia particles and thus, decrease in particle size.

The crystal system for all the samples was cubic, as confirmed by TEM and FESEM analyses.

The H₂-TPR has been extensively used to characterize the Ni reducibility of the reforming Ni catalysts. The TPR-H₂ profiles for the Pt/Mg_{1-x}Ni_xO catalysts are presented in <u>Fig 5</u>. For comparison the reducibility and different Ni concentration in the MgO support platinum catalysts were investigated. <u>Fig 5</u> shows all of the catalysts had a broad and overlapping H₂ consumption peak at 50 to 950°C, indicating the different interactions of Pt with the support [21].

Table 2.	The main textura	I properties of	i fresh catalysts
----------	------------------	-----------------	-------------------

Sample name	Specific ^a Surface Area m²/g	Pore Volume Cm ³ /g	Pore volume to S _{BET} ratio 10 ⁻⁹ m	Pore radius °A	Pt ^b Loading Wt %	Average ^c Crystal size nm
Pt / Mg _{0.97} Ni _{0.03} O	11.64	0.17	17.6	18.25	0.98	44.7
Pt / Mg _{0.93} Ni _{0.07} O	6.72	0.06	15.7	18.26	0.94	42.4
Pt / Mg _{0.85} Ni _{0.15} O	5.44	0.04	10.6	18.24	0.93	40.4
Pt / MgO	10.46	0.41	48.4	18.26	0.95	38.7

a. Specific surface area calculated by BET method.

b. Determined by the XRF method.

c. Determined by the Debye-Scherrer equation of the Mg (200) plane of XRD.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145862.t002



Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O (d) Pt/MgO.

PLOS ONE

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145862.g005

The peaks shown in TPR profile (Fig 5) at 215, 220 and 219°C could be attributed to the reduction of Pt-O to Pt. The other broad peaks at 465, 470 and 485°Cfor Pt/Mg_{0.97}Ni_{0.03}O, Pt/ Mg_{0.93}Ni_{0.07}O, and Pt/Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O catalyst were associated with the reduction of Ni-O to Ni⁰. The reduction peak of NiO is shifted to a lower temperature when it is deposited on the MgO support [22]. It is likely that the better-dispersed Ni and an appropriate interaction between metal and support increase the Ni reducibility. The TPR-H₂ profiles confirmed that 700°C was the appropriate re-reduction temperature for the complete reduction of catalysts. These peaks might relate to the reduction of nickel oxide particles supported on the outside surface and inside the porous structure of the catalysts, which can be clearly distinguished by TEM results.



Fig 6. FT-IR of (a) unreduced and (b) reduced catalysts.

FTIR spectra for un-reduced and reduced catalysts depicted in Fig 6. As shown in Fig 6A, the sharp peaks around 1525 Cm⁻¹ which represents the C = C stretch for each catalyst. Broad peaks at 3050 Cm⁻¹ represented the C-H bond. Moreover, the band at 1680 Cm⁻¹ can be assigned to the vibration of carbonyl (C = O) group in the acetylactonate on the catalyst. The band at 1380 cm⁻¹ and 1040 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to the existence of C-H bending C-O stretch, respectively. The band in the region 680 to 700 Cm⁻¹ was attributed to the NiO stretching, vibration mode, whereas, the vibration bonds for Pt-O and MgO exists in the far IR region [23, 15]. However, all the acetylactonate peaks was disappearing after reduction at 700 °C, as shown in Fig 6B.

The BET specific surface area (S_{BET}) value and pore properties of catalyst supports are shown in <u>Table 2</u>. After impregnation, the S_{BET} value and pore volume decreased in all three of the catalysts. This phenomenon might be caused by pore blocking during the impregnation process. Consistent with earlier reports [24], the platinum doped Mg_{0.97}Ni_{0.03}O sample showed a higher surface area as compared to the magnesium oxide sample. The dispersed platinum particles along with the support prevent the agglomeration of catalyst particles leading to an enhanced surface area. Furthermore, the pore volume of the samples was decreased trend with increasing nickel oxide in the magnesium oxide. It can be assumed that the incorporation of NiO prevents surface area loss during high temperature calcinations resulting in increase the surface area of the samples. There was no obvious connection between the S_{BET} value and pore volume of catalysts, but the pore volume/ S_{BET} ratio decreased in the order Pt / MgO > Pt / Mg_{0.97}Ni_{0.03}O > Pt / Mg_{0.95}Ni_{0.05}O > Pt / Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O, which was in accordance with the NiO dispersion order. This result is consistent with the result reported by Saha et al. [24] that the high pore volume/ S_{BE-T} ratio contributes to high catalytic performance. The XRF results in Table 2 show that the Pt-loading was slightly lower than the set value of 10%. This might be caused by weight loss during the pre-calcinations of the supports, resulting in a higher Ni content in the catalysts [25].

To estimate the morphology of the catalyst, TEM images of one samples were acquired. Fig 7 illustrates the morphology and size distribution of the synthesized catalysts. The smallest crystals were characterized by TEM, which reveals crystals with a size of about 50–80 nm, and even resolves the cubic structure. The average size of the Pt nanoparticle with various sizes from 4–7 nm. The morphology of the catalyst could be supported by FESEM analysis (Fig 8). The supports particles are regular inshape Pt particles were uniformly distributed on supports. A two-dimensional cubic texture is assigned to the catalyst and uniform pore size of ~5 nm was observed (Table 2). This is consistent with the results reported by other researchers [26]. The particle size of supported Pt increased in the order of Pt/Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O <Pt/Mg_{0.93}Ni_{0.07}O < Pt/Mg_{0.97}Ni_{0.03}O, which was in agreement with the Scherrer's equation results.

Catalytic performance

Effect of reactant concentration on conversion. Effect of catalyst type on CH₄, CO₂ and H₂/CO conversion with the CO₂/CH₄ ratio of 1:2 at 900°C was shown in Fig 9. The conversion of CH₄ and CO₂ to syngas was expressed by the H₂/CO ratio. The detection of H₂ and CO after the blank tests (reaction without catalyst) performed at 900°C was indicated the decomposition of methane reaction according to the reaction (Eq 1). When MgO was used alone as a support without NiO, the conversion of CH₄ and CO₂ was low, as shown in Fig 9A. These results indicated that the conversion of syngas using MgO is very low. When support with promoter (Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O) was used, the conversion of syngas was increased (Fig 9B). The enhancement was due to the presence of promoter NiO. On the other hand, the conversion and the H₂/CO ratio increased sharply for the case of Pt/Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O catalyst (Fig 9C). The result revealed that the main catalyst (Pt metal) doped on the support plays the main role in the catalyst. By using the Pt/Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O catalyst, the average conversion of CH₄ and CO₂ gases at the ratio (2:1) was 81 and 97%, respectively, whereas the conversion of the gases at the ratio (1:1) was 76 and 95% respectively (Fig 10). This could be due the best resistance to the deactivation of the catalyst and thus, the high selectivity towards the conversion of H₂ and CO was observed.

Effect of catalyst concentration on conversion. The effect of catalyst concentration on conversion was shown in Fig 11. The increasing order of conversion of CH_4 , CO_2 and the H_2/CO ratio was $Pt/MgO < Pt/Mg_{0.97}Ni_{0.03}O < Pt/Mg_{0.93}Ni_{0.07}O < Pt/Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O$. No change in conversion, selectivity of CH_4 and CO_2 with increasing the Ni concentration in the support, was observed. This could be attributed to the formation of strong Lewis basicity with a metal oxide support. The increase of the Lewis basicity of the support could be enhanced the ability of the catalyst to chemisorbs CO_2 in the CO_2 reforming of methane. The adsorbed CO_2 can reacts with C to form CO, resulting the reduction of coke formation according to the equation (Eq 10).

$$CO_2 + C \rightarrow 2CO$$
 $\Delta H = 171 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (10)

The formation of NiO-MgO solid solution provides a unique approach to inhibit the



Fig 7. TEM image of catalysts(a) Pt/MgO(b) Pt/Mg_{0.97}Ni_{0.03}O(c) Pt/Mg_{0.93}Ni_{0.07}O (d) Pt/Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145862.g007

carbon deposition. The support MgO is strong Lewis bases, which has a strong adsorption of CO_2 to reduce or inhibit carbon deposition. Furthermore, XPS results revealed that the reduction of NiO in NiO–MgO solid solution was much more difficult than that of pure NiO, leading to small nickel metal particles formed on the surface [27–28].

PLOS ONE





doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145862.g008

PLOS ONE

Effect of temperature on conversion. Fig 12 shows the activity and selectivity results of the Pt/Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O catalyst. The conversion of both CH₄:CO₂ (2:1) increased as the temperature was increased from 700 to 900°C. The dry-reforming of methane reaction is a strong endothermic reaction (Eq 1) and resulting the high temperature can be increased the conversion rate, as observed in earlier studies [29]. The CH₄ conversion on Pt / Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O increased from 34% to 82% and the CO₂ conversion increased from 38% to 98% when the temperature increased from 700 to 900°C. At temperatures above 900°C, no increase in CH₄ and CO₂ conversion was evident. The H₂/CO ratio of the catalyst at various temperatures is shown in Fig 12A–12C. The H₂/CO ratio of the samples was less than 1 at the temperature above 900°C. The reverse water-gas-shift reaction (Eq 11) can consume the additional H₂ and produces CO,



Fig 9. Effect of catalyst type on CH₄, CO₂ and H₂/CO conversion with CO₂/CH₄ = 1:2 at 900°C; (a) Support(MgO); b) Support with promoter (Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O) and c) Catalyst (Pt/Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O)

which lowers the H_2/CO ratio [29].

$$CO_2 + H_2 \rightarrow CO + H_2O \quad \Delta H = +41.2 \text{ kJ/mol}$$
 (11)

Stability tests

Temperature tests, as shown in Fig 13, was indicated the higher conversion of CH_4 and CO_2 conversion at 900°C. The detection of H_2 and CO after the blank test at 900°C indicates the decomposition methane (Eq 4). Therefore, 900°C was chosen as the appropriate temperature to conduct stability tests. Fig 13A shows the 200 h on-stream catalyst test results for the catalyst sample at 900°C. The sample showed good stability during the 200 h on-stream, and no decay of activity was found in the sample. The conversion of CH_4 was lower than the conversion of CO_2 . The average conversion of CH_4 and CO_2 , were 82 and 98% respectively which was reduced to. after 46 h of reaction time. Following that the conversion remained stable till it reached to 200 h. The higher the degree of the reverse water gas shift reaction process, the larger difference between CH_4 and CO_2 conversion, and the lower the H_2/CO ratio. These





Fig 10. Effect of CH₄/CO₂ ratio on the on CH₄, CO₂ and H₂/CO conversion at 900°C; (a) 2:1; b) 1:1.

theoretical considerations are confirmed by the actual test results shown in Fig 13A. Further the catalyst was reused in successive cycle for 200 h and the results was shown in Fig 13B. No significant reduction of CH4, CO_2 conversion was observed.

TPO-MS post-reaction test was used to evaluate coke formation on the Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O and Pt/Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O catalysts (Fig 14). The TPO profiles in Fig 14A shows the desorption at 600°C indicating the coke deposition on Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O catalyst surface. However, No coke deposition was observed on the Pt/Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O catalyst as evident from Fig 14B. TEM analysis for the spent Pt/Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O and Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O was supported the above finding represented in Fig 15. The results of TPO and TEM indicated the role of platinum metal (main catalyst) on the surface of the catalyst. However, aggregation of the Pt particle after reaction at 900°C as shown in Fig 15A and 15B, can be described by a simple Oswald ripening approach. A size-dependent morphology of the supported Pt nanoparticles may influence the ripening process in several ways. The observed growth of the projected nanoparticle areas in the TEM images depends on the nanoparticle volume, which is described in terms of the height-to-diameter ratio [30]. The nanoparticle perimeter at the Pt-oxide interface can emit or absorb diffusing species resulting in agglomeration of particle. According to Maillard et al. [30] high concentration of metal loading may results, in increase of the average diameter of isolated Pt nanoparticles

Temperature tests, as shown in Fig 12 indicated that both CH_4 and CO_2 conversion was increased at 900°C. However, the carbon can be deposited on the Pt metal surface, according to the following mechanism (Eqs 12–16), as proposed by Topalidis et al. [31].

$$CH_4 + 2Pt_{(as)} \rightarrow CH_3Pt_{(as)} + HPt_{(as)}$$
(12)

$$CH_3Pt_{(as)} + Pt_{(as)} \rightarrow CH_2Pt_{(as)} + HPt_{(as)}$$
(13)

$$CH_2Pt_{(as)} + Pt_{(as)} \rightarrow CHPt_{(as)} + HPt_{(as)}$$
 (14)

$$CHPt_{(as)} + Pt_{(as)} \rightarrow CPt_{(as)} + HPt_{(as)}$$
(15)

$$2HPt_{(as)} \rightarrow H_{2(g)} + 2Pt_{(as)} \tag{16}$$



Fig 11. Effect of catalyst concentration on H₄, CO₂ and H₂/CO conversion with CO₂/CH₄ = 1:2, at 900°C; (a) Pt/Mg0 b) Pt/Mg0.97}Ni0.03O c) Pt/Mg0.93Ni0.07O d) Pt/Mg0.85Ni0.15

PLOS ONE

The effect of NiO promoter in the catalyst on dry reforming of methane has been proposed by Nakamura et al. [32]. According to the mechanism (Eqs <u>17–21</u>), CO₂ is activated on the support in proximity to the metal particle to form a carbonate species. Following that, the carbonate is reduced by CH_x species to form carbon monoxide (CO).

$$CO_{2(g)} \rightarrow CO_{2 (support)}$$
 (17)

$$CO_{2 (support)} + O^{2-}_{(support)} \rightarrow CO_{3}^{2-}_{(support)}$$
 (18)

$$CO_{2(g)} \rightarrow CO_{2 (support)}$$
 (19)

$$CO_{3}^{2-}{}_{(support)} + 2H_{(support)} \rightarrow HCO_{3}^{2-}{}_{(support)} + OH^{-}{}_{(support)}$$
 (20)

$$CO_{(support)} \rightarrow CO_{(g)}$$
 (21)



Fig 12. Effect of temperature on H₄, CO₂ and H₂/CO conversion for Pt/Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O catalyst with CO₂/CH₄ = 1:2; (a) 700°C (b)800°C (c) 900°C doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145862.g012

Carbon formation on the main catalyst (Pt metal) of the dry reforming of methane reaction was eliminated by the role of Ni metal as shown in <u>S1 Fig</u> The CO₂ adsorption was enhanced by the presence of NiO as it increases the basicity of the catalyst. Following the adsorption, formation of carbonate species, mostly occurred on the NiO, which later dissociated to CO₂ into CO and O. The oxygen atom then took by Ni metal on the surface reacted with the carbon deposited on the metal and produced CO [<u>33</u>]. When the lower concentrations of NiO, the CO₂ conversion was increased by forming strongly ionic oxides NiCO₃, which resulted in the attraction of CO₂ to the catalyst surface and, therefore, increased CH₄ conversion. When NiO was present in higher concentrations, the conversion of both CH₄ and CO₂ decreased. This probably happened as a result of increase in Pt electron density [<u>34</u>]. The NiCO₃ species participated directly in DRM by decomposing to produce CO and providing oxygen species to react with the carbon deposited at the interface of Pt-NiCO₃. Likewise, NiO supported catalysts can facilitate the dissociation of adsorbed CO₂.

Dry reforming of biogas reaction can be enhanced by carried out with the presence of low concentrations of oxygen flow (1.25%). Fig 16 shows the increament of CH₄ conversion from 82 to 95% due to adding supply of oxygen to the DRM reactant mixture [35]. However, the CO₂ conversion and the H₂/CO ratio were not affected might be due to the reaction of oxygen with CH₄ to produce CO and H₂O (Eq 22), and finally the steam reacts with the deposited





PLOS ONE

carbon to give syngas according to the Eq 23. Furthermore, O₂ can be reduced coke deposition on the catalyst (Eq 24). Dry reforming of methane has been investigated with noble (Rh, Ru, Pd and Pt) and non-noble metal (Ni, Co and Fe) based catalysts [36]. A conclusion has been by the researchers [36–38] that their superior coking resistance, higher stability and activity are especially for higher temperature applications (>750°C). Hou et al. [37] incorporated different active metals (Rh, Ru, Pt, Pd, Ir, Ni and Co) over alumina and the influence of noble metals (Rh, Ru, Pt, Pd, Ir) on the coking resistance ability of the catalyst has been examined. The catalytic activity and stability trend of the catalysts reported by Hou et al. [37] was Rh/ α -Al₂O₃>Ru/ α -Al₂O₃>Ir/ α -Al₂O₃>Pd/ α -Al₂O₃>Pt/ α -Al₂O₃. Similarly, the catalytic activity





PLOS ONE

and stability for Pd, Pt and Au catalysts as investigated by Tsyganok et al. [39] were Pd/MgAlOx>Pt/MgAlOx>Au/MgAlOx. It was reported that high dispersion of Pt or Au and and smaller particle size assisted in the reduction of carbon deposits, agglomeration and sintering [39]. A comparison of noble (Rh, Ru, Pd, Ir and Pt) and non-noble (Ni and Co) metal catalysts was made by Tsyganok et al. [39] and reported that the Ni and Co catalysts showed higher catalytic activities compared to the noble metal supported catalysts. However, the higher coke deposition for Ni (24.0) and Co catalysts indicate their poor coke resistance ability compared





to noble metal catalysts. Thus, the deactivation arising from the coke deposition is the major obstacle in the application of Ni-based catalyst. Other workers [40] have discussed a similar phenomenon and reported that bimetallic catalyst $(0.4Pt-Ni/\gamma-Al_2O_3)$ showed lower carbon deposition (6 wt%) compared to the monometallic $(Ni/\gamma-Al_2O_3)$ catalyst (45 wt%). This indicates that addition of noble metals to Ni catalyst leads to the reduction of carbon deposition and produced smaller particle size compared to monometallic Ni catalysts. As a result of this process the carbon deposition is reduced and consequently the lifetime of the catalyst could be

PLOS ONE





Fig 16. DRM reaction of the Pt/Mg_{0.85}Ni_{0.15}O catalyst under 900°C with 1.25% O_2.

improved using Pt/Mg_{1-x}Ni_xO catalyst.

$$CH_4 + 1.5 O_2 \rightarrow CO + 2H_2 O_{(\nu)}$$
 (22)

$$C_{(s)} + H_2 O \rightarrow CO + H_2$$
 (23)

$$C_{(s)} + O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 \tag{24}$$

Conclusion

The results presented in this paper demonstrate that the addition of nickel to the support has several promotional effects. The promoters can increase the thermal stability of the Pt/ $Mg_{1-x}Ni_xO$ catalyst by stabilizing the cubic phase of magnesia. The narrow scan of Mg2p, Ni2p and Pt4f revealed that the oxide species of these metals are a mixture of MgO and Mg(OH)₂, NiO and Ni(OH)₂ and PtO respectively. This stabilization results in an increase in the surface area and thus, increases in the density of CO₂ adsorption sites near the metal particle. Hence, the ability of the support to enhance the dissociation of CO₂ near the Pt particle and the transfer of oxygen to the coked metal greatly accelerates the cleaning mechanism. These facts emphasize that the incorporation of Pt and Ni species in the catalyst prevent coke formation onto the catalyst and increase the syngas production from greenhouse gasses over Pt/ $Mg_{1-x}Ni_xO$ catalysts.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Effect of promoter to remove carbon from Pt. (TIF)

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: FAJA AI. Performed the experiments: AI FAJA. Analyzed the data: AI FAJA ZZ YHTY. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: AI FAJA ZZ YHTY. Wrote the paper: MIS ZE AI FAJA YHTY.

References

- Sutthiumporn K., Maneerung T., Kathiraser Y., Kawi S., 2012. CO₂ dry-reforming of methane over La_{0.8}Sr_{0.2}Ni_{0.8}M_{0.2}O₃ perovskite (M = Bi, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe) roles of lattice oxygen on C-H activation and carbon suppression. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 37, 11195–11207.
- Chen P., Zhang H. B., Lin G. D., Tsai K. R., 1998. Development of coking-resistant Ni-based catalyst for partial oxidation and CO₂ reforming of methane to syngas. Appl. Catal. 166, 343–50.
- Hu Y. H., Ruckenstein E., 1997. CH₄ TPR-MS of NiO/MgO solid solution catalysts. Langmuir, 13(7), 2055–2058.
- Choudhary V. R., Mamman A. S., 2000. Energy efficient conversion of methane to syngas over NiO– MgO solid solution. Appl. Energy, 66(2), 161–175.
- Xu X., Li P., Shen Y., 2013.Small-scale reforming of diesel and jet fuels to make hydrogen and syngas for fuel cells: A review. Appl. Energy 108, 202–217.
- Maciel C. G., de Freitas Silva T., Assaf E. M., Assaf J. M., 2013. Hydrogen production and purification from the water–gas shift reaction on CuO/CeO₂–TiO₂ catalysts. Appl. Energy 112, 52–59.
- Khobragade M., Majhi S., Pant K. K, 2012. Effect of K and CeO₂ promoters on the activity of Co/SiO₂ catalyst for liquid fuel production from syngas. Appl. Energy 94, 385–394.
- Choudhary V. R., Mondal K. C., 2006. CO₂ reforming of methane combined with steam reforming or partial oxidation of methane to syngas over NdCoO₃ perovskite-type mixed metal-oxide catalyst. Appl. Energy 83(9), 1024–1032.
- Li C., Xu H., Hou S., Sun J., Meng F., Ma J. et al. 2013. SiC foam monolith catalyst for pressurized adiabatic methane reforming. Appl. Energy, 107, 297–303.
- Kim T., Jo S., Song Y. H., Lee D. H., 2014. Synergetic mechanism of methanol–steam reforming reaction in a catalytic reactor with electric discharges. Appl. Energy 113, 1692–1699.
- 11. Wei-Hsin C., Shih-Cheng L., 2015. Characterization of catalytic partial oxidation of methane with carbon dioxide utilization and excess enthalpy recovery, Appl Energy, Available online 7 February.
- Ferreira-Aparicio P., Fernandez-Garcia M., Guerrero-Ruiz A., Rodrı& 'guez-Ramos I., 2000. Evaluation of the Role of the Metal–Support Interfacial Centers in the Dry Reforming of Methane on Alumina-Supported Rhodium Catalysts. J. Catal. 190(2), 296–308.
- 13. Ocsachoque M., Pompeo F., & Gonzalez G., 2011. Rh–Ni/CeO 2–Al 2 O 3 catalysts for methane dry reforming. Catal. Today, 172(1), 226–231
- Aldbea F. W., Ibrahim N. B., Mustaffa H. A., Ramadan E. S., 2012. Structural and Magnetic Properties of Tb _xY _{3-x}Fe ₅O ₁₂ (0 ea, F. W., Ibrahim, N. B., Mustaffa, H. A., RamaJ. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol. 62 (3),483–89.
- Mojović Z., Mentus S., Tešić Ž., 2004. Introduction of Pt and Pd nanoclusters in zeolite cavities by thermal degradation of acetylacetonates. In Mate. Sci. Forum 453, 257–262.
- Mei Z., Li Y., Fan M., Zhao L., Zhao J., 2015. Effect of the interactions between Pt species and ceria on Pt/ceria catalysts for water gas shift: The XPS studies. Chem. Eng. J. 259, 293–302.
- Hidalgo-Carrillo Jesús et al., 2012. XPS Evidence for Structure-Performance Relationship in Selective Hydrogenation of Crotonaldehyde to Crotyl Alcohol on Platinum Systems Supported on Natural Phosphates.J. Colloid Interface Sci. 382(1), 67–73. doi: 10.1016/j.jcis.2012.05.050 PMID: 22762986
- 18. Grange P., 1980. Catalytic Hydrodesulfurization. Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng. 21(1), 135–181.
- Wang X., et al., 2012. Efficient Conversion of Microcrystalline Cellulose to 1,2-Alkanediols over Supported Ni CatalystsGreen Chem. 14(3), 758.
- Jogalekar A. Y., Jaiswal R. G., Jayaram R. V., 1998. Activity of modified SnO₂ catalysts for acid catalysed reactions. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 72(4), 368–368.

- 21. Abatzoglou N., Fauteux-Lefebvre C., 2015. Review of catalytic syngas production through steam or dry reforming and partial oxidation of study liquid compounds. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Energy and Environment.
- Wu G., Zhang C., Li S., Han Z., Wang T., Ma X., Gong J., 2013. Hydrogen production via glycerol steam reforming over Ni/Al₂O₃: influence of nickel precursors. ACS Sust. Chem. Eng. 1 (8), 1052– 1062.
- Qiao H., Wei Z., Hua Y., Lin Z., Xiaoyan Y. X., 2009. Preparation and Characterization of NiO Nanoparticles by Anodic Arc Plasma Method. J. Nanomater. 239, 238–243.
- 24. Saha B., Khan A., Ibrahim H., Idem R., 2014. Evaluating the performance of non-precious metal based catalysts for sulfur-tolerance during the dry reforming of biogas. Fuel 120, 202–217.
- Chen X., Yik E., Butler J., Schwank J. W., 2014. Gasification characteristics of carbon species derived from model reforming compound over Ni/Ce–Zr–O catalysts. Catal. Today 233, 14–20.
- Qian X., et al., 2014. A Novel Low Temperature Vapor Phase Hydrolysis Method for the Production of Nano-Structured Silica Materials Using Silicon Tetrachloride, RSC Adv. 4(17), 8703.
- Hu Y. H., 2009.Solid-Solution Catalysts for CO₂ Reforming of Methane. Catal. Today 148(3–4), 206– 11.
- Tomishige K., Himen Y., Matsuo Y., Yoshinaga Y., Fujimoto K., 2000. Catalytic performance and carbon deposition behavior of a NiO-MgO solid solution in methane reforming with carbon dioxide under pressurized conditions. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 39(6), 1891–1897.
- Appari S., Janardhanan V. M., Bauri R., Jayanti S., Deutschmann O., 2014. A Detailed Kinetic Model for Biogas Steam Reforming on Ni and Catalyst Deactivation due to Sulfur Poisoning. Appl. Catal. A-Gen. 471, 118–25.
- Maillard F., Schreier S., Hanzlik M., Savinova E. R., Weinkauf S., Stimming U., 2005. Influence of particle agglomeration on the catalytic activity of carbon-supported Pt nanoparticles in CO monolayer oxidation. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 7(2), 385–393.
- Topalidis A., Petrakis D. E., Ladavos A., Loukatzikou L., Pomonis P. J., 2007. A kinetic study of methane and carbon dioxide interconversion over 0.5% Pt/SrTiO₃ catalysts. Catal. Today 127(1), 238–245.
- Nakamura J., Aikawa K., Sato K., Uchijima T., 1994. Role of support in reforming of CH₄ with CO₂ over Rh catalysts, Catal. Lett. 25, 265–270.
- **33.** Osaki T., Mori T., 2001. Design and Preparation of Ni-Co Bimetallic Nanocatalyst for Carbon Dioxide Reforming of Methan, J. Catal. 204, 89–97.
- Dias J. C., Assaf J. M., 2003. Influence of cadmium content in NiO/CaO/Al₂O₃ Catalysis for CO₂reforming of methane. Catal. Today 85, 59–68.
- Tomishige K., 2004. Syngas Production from Methane Reforming with CO₂/H₂O and O₂ over NiO-MgO Solid Solution Catalyst in Fluidized Bed Reactors. Catal. Today 89(4), 405–18.
- Liu D., Quek X. Y., Cheo W. N. E., Lau R., Borgna A., Yang Y., 2009. MCM-41 supported nickel-based bimetallic catalysts with superior stability during carbon dioxide reforming of methane: Effect of strong metal–support interaction. J. Catal. 266(2), 380–390.
- Hou Z., Chen P., Fang H., Zheng X., & Yashima T., 2006. Production of synthesis gas via methane reforming with CO2 on noble metals and small amount of noble-(Rh-) promoted Ni catalysts. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 31(5), 555–561.
- Djinović P., Črnivec I. G. O., Batista J., Levec J., Pintar A., 2011. Catalytic syngas production from greenhouse gasses: Performance comparison of Ru-Al 2 O 3 and Rh-CeO 2 catalysts. Chem. Eng. Process. 50(10), 1054–1062.
- Tsyganok A. I., Inaba M., Tsunoda T., Hamakawa S., Suzuki K., Hayakawa T., 2003. Dry reforming of methane over supported noble metals: a novel approach to preparing catalysts. Catal. Commun. 4(9), 493–498.
- 40. Rostrupnielsen J. R., & Hansen J. B., 1993. CO2-reforming of methane over transition metals. J. Catal. 144(1), 38–49.